

JÑĀNAPĪṬHA MŪRTIDEVĪ GRANTHAMĀLĀ English Series 3

Published on the Occasion of the Celebration of
the 2500th Nirvāṇa Mahotsava of
Bhagavān Mahāvira

JAINA LITERATURE IN TAMIL

by

The Late Prof

MAVARTI, M.A , I E S (Retd)



An Introduction, Footnotes, Appendix and Index

by

Dr K V RAMESH

Deputy Superintending Epigraphist,
Archaeological Survey of India,
Mysore



BHĀRATĪYA JÑĀNAPĪṬHA PUBLICATION

VIRA NIRVANA SAMVAT 2500,
VIKRAMA SAMVAT 2031, 1974, A D
First Revised Edition Price Rs 20-00

BHĀRATĪYA JÑĀNAPĪṬHA MŪRTIDEVĪ

JAINA GRANTHAMĀLĀ

FOUNDED BY

SĀHU SHĀNTIPRASĀD JAIN

IN MEMORY OF HIS LATE BENEVOLENT MOTHER

SHRĪ MŪRTIDEVĪ

In this Granthamālā critically edited Jaina Āgamic,
Philosophical, Paurāṇic, Literary, Historical and
other original texts available in Prākṛit,
Sanskrit, Apabhraṃśa, Hindi, Kannaḍa,
Tamil etc, are being published in
their respective languages
with their translations in
modern languages

AND

Catalogues of Jaina Bhaṇḍāras, Inscriptions, Studies
of competent scholars & popular Jain literature
are also being published.



General Editors
Dr A. N. Upadhye
Pt Kailash Chandra Shastri



Published by
Bhāratiya Jñānapīṭha

Head office B/45-47, Connaught Place, New Delhi-110001

Printed at The Vidyasagar Printing and Publishing House,
Mysore-570009



Founded on Phalguna Krishna 9, Vira Sam 2470,
Vikrama Sam 2000, 18th Feb., 1944
All Rights Reserved

Table of Contents

	Pages
General Editorial	iii
Introduction	v
Preface (first edition)	xx
Prof. A. Chakravarti (A Biography)	xxi
Jaina Literature in Tamil	1-137
Cultural Background of Jainism	1-10
Jainas in the Tamil Country	10-14
Three Saṅgams and Jaina Influence	14-19
Tolkāppiyam and its commentaries	19-28
Kuṟaḷ - Its Authorship and date	28-40
Nālaḍiyār	40-44
Minor Didactic Works	44-48
Kāvya Literature	48-50
Śilappadikāram - Its Author and contents	50-63
Jīvaka Cintāmaṇi . Its contents	63-83
Minor Kāvya	83-110
Yaśōdhara Kāvya	84-90
Cūḷamaṇi - Its contents	90-94
Nīlakēśi : its Analysis	94-110
Feruṅkathai	110-118
Mērumandirapurāṇam	118-126
Śrīpurāṇa	126-127
Works on Prosody and Grammar	127-131
Tamil Lexicons	131-134
Miscellaneous Works	134-136
Estimate of Jaina Influence	136-137
Appendix: Jaina Epigraphs in Tamil	139-205
Index	206-232

General Editorial

'Jaina Literature in Tamil' by the renowned Tamil scholar, the late Prof A. Chakravarti, M A., I E S (Retd), was published as early as July, 1941 by the Jaina Siddhanta Bhavana, Arrah This learned introduction to the contribution of the Jainas in the field of Tamil literature had been long out of print. The thought of having the book reprinted with the addition of up-to-date information and all necessary references had occurred to one of us more than a decade ago; and all along attempts were being made to find a suitable scholar who could be entrusted with this onerous task. It was only some two years ago that our friend Dr. G. S. Gai, Chief Epigraphist, Archaeological Survey of India, Mysore kindly suggested the name of Dr. K V Ramesh, serving as Deputy Superintending Epigraphist in his office, to whom this work was assigned. It was so good of Dr. Ramesh that, in the midst of his official duties, he was able to devote time and attention to this work. He has revised and enlarged Prof Chakravarti's book by providing exhaustive footnotes containing additional information, references, bibliography, etc. He has also given, in an appendix, the texts of some published Jaina Tamil epigraphs besides providing an exhaustive index at the end. We are so thankful to him that he undertook this responsibility and discharged it quite satisfactorily. It is fondly hoped that this revised edition of the late Professor's pioneering work will be found useful by students and scholars interested in the

history of Jainism in South India and that it would prove a substantial aid for further studies in Tamil Literature.

The 2500th Nirvāṇa Anniversary of Bhagavān Mahāvīra is being celebrated from November 13, 1974 onwards for a period of one year. It is to mark this great event that the Bhāratiya Jñānapīṭha is publishing the late Professor A. Chakravarti's 'Jaina Literature in Tamil' in its present revised form. Words are inadequate to express how much we feel obliged to the authorities of the Bhāratiya Jñānapīṭha, especially to its enlightened President, Shrimati Rama Jain, and to its benign Patron, Shri Sahu Shanti Prasadaji, for arranging the publication of this work. Our thanks are due to Shri Lakshmi Chandra Jain who is enthusiastically implementing the scheme of publications undertaken by the Jñānapīṭh.

A. N. UPADHYE

November 13, 1974

KAILASH CHANDRA SHASTRI

INTRODUCTION

Apart from their distinctive *Ahiṃsā* doctrine in the field of religious thought and practice, the most lasting contributions of the Jains to the wealth of our national heritage lie in the fields of literature and art and architecture. In particular, the role which Jaina scholars, poets and authors have played in enriching Prākṛit and Sanskrit literature and grammar at the all-India level and as pioneers in the growth of Tamiḷ and Kannaḍa literature and grammar as far as South India is concerned is, it may be safely asserted, varied and, from a practical stand-point, highly utilitarian. Though the early literature of the Jains is in Prākṛit, in the different linguistic regions to which their missionary zeal had taken them in the distant past, they unhesitatingly adopted, as the media of their doctrinal preachings and instructions and their prolific writings, the different local dialects and languages which were being spoken in those regions. Thereby Jaina scholars have been able to make, through successive centuries and in a phenomenal measure, pioneering and lasting contributions to the growth of the various branches of literature in such regional languages as Rājasthānī, Hindī and Gujarātī in the north and Kannaḍa and Tamiḷ in the south.

The present work by Prof. A. Chakravarti being a learned introduction to Tamiḷ works written by Jaina authors, treating of their *kāvyas* and *mahākāvyas*, *purāṇas* and didactic and devotional poems, lyrics, tales, romances and allegories, their works on logic,

dialectics, ethics, politics, grammar, poetics and prosody, lexicon and medicine, it will not be out of place to trace here briefly the history of the advent, growth and decline of Jainism in Tamiḷnāḍu, based on the scanty historical evidence that is available.

The questions as to when exactly Jainism entered the Tamil country and whether it did so through Āndhra or *via* Karnāṭaka are matters of mere academic interest. While the presence of Jainism in Ceylon as early as in the 4th century B.C. indirectly hints at the possible prevalence of that faith in the Tamiḷ country at that early period, on the strength of the credible tradition as recorded by Ratnanandī of the 15th century in his *Bhadrabāhu-carita* and by Dēvacandra of the last century in his *Rājavalī-kathe*, it may be safely concluded that the Jaina faith had entered the Cōḷa and Pāṇḍya kingdoms at the latest by the 3rd century B.C. through the missionary activities of Viśākhacārya, the accredited disciple of Bhadrabāhu.¹ “The spread of the faith in the south after Bhadrabāhu is attested by the presence of Jain vestiges in the natural caverns to which Jain ascetics resorted for their penance. Inside these caverns are ‘beds’ with ‘pillows’ cut on the floor and on their brows are long and deep ‘driplines’ scooped out to prevent rain water from running into the caverns. In some of these caverns, particularly in the districts of Trichinopoly including Pudukkottai, Madurai, Ramnad and Tirunelveli, there are also

1. See P. B. Desai *Jainism in South India* (Sholapur, 1957), pp. 25-27.

inscriptions"¹ The fact that many, if not all of these early cave inscriptions which, as revealed by their palaeography, were written in Brāhmī characters during 3rd century B C.-3rd century A.D., were caused to be engraved by the early Jainas of the Tamiḷ country affords historical support to the alleged antiquity of Jainism in Tamiḷnāḍu. The existence of Jaina *paḷḷis*, *śramaṇas* and their abodes in the Madurai-Tiruchirappalli region during this early period is clearly borne out by these inscriptions. Later inscriptions afford evidence that these caverns were occupied as late as the 12th century A.D.

The celebrated literary giant Kundakundācārya, who probably belonged to the 1st century A.D., is the earliest tangible historical personality in the annals of Tamiḷnāḍu Jainism. He is believed to have founded the Mūla-saṃgha,² tradition gives him the secondary name of Ēlācārya and attributes to him the authorship of the *Tnukkuraḷ*, revered by the Tamilians as the Tamiḷ *Vēda*.³ The 2nd century A.D. saw Jainism making further strides in the Tamiḷ country through the efforts of another important Jaina scholar, Samantabhadra, 'one of those prominent Jaina *gurus* of early times who were responsible for the diffusion of Jainism in the Tamiḷ country'.⁴ The *Kathākōṣas* of Prabhā-

1 K R Venkatarama Ayyar. *Transactions for the Year 1957-58 Archaeological Society of South India*, pp 24-25

2 P B Desai, *Op cit*, p 55

3 See below, p 29

4 B A Saletore *Medieval Jainism* (Bombay, 1938), p 224, M S Ramaswamy Ayyangar *Studies in the South Indian Jainism* (Madras, 1922), p 44.

candra (11th century) and Nēmidatta (16th century) describe him as the naked ascetic of Kāñcī.

Pūjyapāda was yet another Jaina luminary and the credit of reviving Kundakundācārya's Mūla-saṃgha under the name of Drāviḍa-saṃgha in the 5th century A.D. with Madurai for its headquarters, goes to his disciple Vajraṇandī. "There is an account in the *Periyapurāṇam* of a host of 'Karnāṭaka Jainas' pouring into Madurai like a cloud-burst and uprooting the ruling dynasty ; this might have preceded the formation in that city of the Drāviḍa-saṃgha of the Jains by Vajraṇandī The *Saṃgha* perhaps became a proselytising centre with branches all over the Tamiḻ country." It was in the 5th century (in 458 A.D.) that the *Lōkavibhāga*, a Digambara work in Sanskrit on Jaina cosmography, was copied by Sarvanandī in the village Pāṭalikā, i e., modern Tiruppādirippuliyūr (South Arcot District)².

In the history of Tamiḻnāḍu, the first three centuries of the Christian era have come to be popularly known to students of history as the 'Saṅgam age' and it was during this age and in the three succeeding centuries that Jainism reached its zenith in the Tamiḻ country. It was during the post-Saṅgam age (4th-6th centuries A.D.), an otherwise historically dark period, that most of the 'Eighteen minor works' (*paḍimeṇkīḷkkaṇakku*) and the *Śilappadikāram* and *Maṇimēkalai* were written, many of them by Jaina authors. As for the prevalence

1. K R. Venkatarama Ayyar, *Op cit.*, p 25

2. *Mys. Arch Rep.*, 1909-10, p. 45

and popularity of Jainism in Tamīḷnāḍu during the Saṅgam age, N. Subramaniam says¹ "During the period lighted by the Saṅgam literature, we see as much of Jainism as of Buddhism but both are clearly subordinate to the indigenous practices of the Brahmanical Vēdic religion. There were in important cities like Puhār a concentration of Jains known as the *Śamaṇar* and their places of stay were called *Śamaṇappaḷḷi* or *Amaṇappaḷḷi*. Cāvakar, (a common designation of a Jaina layman)², a sect among Jainas, are mentioned in the *Maduraikkāñci*; they were householders observing religious observances, the lay Jains were called *Uḷaga-Nōnbiḡaḷ*. At Uraiṇūr there was an Aruḷaṇ temple called 'Śrī Kandappaḷḷi', also called 'Nikkandappaḷḷi' (*nikkanda* = *nigāntha*, a Jaina)³ or 'Nikkandakkōṭṭam' and the deity in the Uraiṇūr Jaina temple was called 'Uraiṇūr Śrī Kōil Nāyaṇār'. Nikkanda-kkōṭṭam was usually abbreviated as Kandakkōṭṭam and it was easy to confuse this, at a later period, with a Murugaṇ temple, the expression 'Kōṭṭam' usually associated with Jaina *Paḷḷis* will give away its origin, anyway. . . The Amaṇappaḷḷis were retiring or resting places of the Jaina anchorites and these places were surrounded by gardens full of flowering plants".

We learn from the *Maṇimēkalai* that the Jaina philosophical system was one of the subjects of study at Kāñci, one of the most important centres of education in early South India³

1 *Samgam Polity* (1966), p. 367

2 The contents within the brackets are mine [—K V R.]

3 K. A. Nilakanta Sastri *A History of South India* (III edn, 1966), p. 423.

maṇ Avaṇiṣūlāmaṇi (A.D. 620-44) or his grandson Arikēsari Māṇavarmaṇ (A.D. 670-700), till then a Jain, to the Śaiva faith. There is a tradition that the newly converted Pāṇḍyan ruler persecuted and impaled 8000 Jains at the instance of Tirujñānasambandhar and a series of frescoes on the *maṇḍapa* of the famous Mīnākṣī temple tank at Madurai illustrates this gruesome event. Exaggeration apart, the *Periyapurāṇam* account of the saints and the hymns of Appar also make it evident that both in the Pallava and Pāṇḍya countries the Jains were subjected to some degree of persecution in the 7th-8th centuries A.D. Of the *āḷvār* saints, Tirumaḷisai, an elder contemporary of Mahēndravarman I, had for sometime been a follower of Jainism before he finally became an ardent Vaiṣṇava saint; Tirumaṅgai, who lived in the middle of the 8th century and Toṇḍaraḍippoḍi, who followed him a century later, included in their hymns attacks and invectives against Jainism¹.

This organised and sustained campaign conducted during the 7th-10th centuries did finally break all tangible resistance on the part of Jainism which lost much ground in Tamiḷnāḍu during the later part of Pallava and Pāṇḍya rule. Flourishing Jaina strongholds such as Pāṭaliputra (modern Tiruppāpuliyūr, Cuddalore), Āṇṇākkam, Magaral and Madurai, lost their importance². In the subsequent period, the Cōḷas, who were

1. See M. S. Ramaswami Ayyangar: *Studies in South Indian Jainism* (1922, Madras), pp. 67, 79; K.A. Nilakanta Sastri: *History of South India* (III edn., 1966), pp. 424 and 426

2. *TASSI*, 1957-58, p. 23.

ardent followers of Śaivism, were not inclined to actively support the cause of Jainism. It may, therefore, be concluded that from the 10th century onwards Jainism lost its importance in the Tamiḷ country after having thrived as a great vehicle of faith and knowledge for centuries at a stretch. Nevertheless, as one scholar has rightly pointed out¹, even during the period of its decadence, Jainism retained its intellectual vitality as is borne out by the composition of many books on grammar, lexicon and astronomy besides the *Jivakacintāmaṇi*, which made its appearance sometime after the 8th century A.D., and Pavaṇandi's *Nappūl* was composed in the 13th century A.D. The occurrence of Jaina epigraphs right down to the 16th century A.D. at Tirupparutukkuṇṇam, popularly known as Jina-kāñcī also attests to the fact that Jainism continued to be a religious force to reckon with atleast in some pockets in Tamiḷnāḍu for long after its overall popularity had waned.

In attempting to delineate briefly the chequered history of Jainism in Tamiḷnāḍu from the sixth century onwards, culling out and interpreting the information that is available from Jaina epigraphs, most of which find place in the appendix appearing at the end of this work, one can do no better than quote K. R. Venkatarāma Ayyar².

“The vitality of Jainism was not exhausted, Śaiva and Vaiṣṇava opposition notwithstanding Jaina

1 M. S. Ramswami Ayyangar *Op cit*, p. 73

2 *TASSI*, 1957-58pp. 25-28

scholars enriched the Tamil language, they composed elegant poems, wrote books on grammar and prosody, compiled lexicons, and presented lofty ideals of ethics in pithy verses. This gave them quite a strong hold on the minds of the people until after the ninth century, by which time had grown up a vast literature of Śaivism and Vaiṣṇavism. Both by example and precept monks and nuns in their monastic retreats influenced the lives of the people. Among such monastic centres were Chitral or Tiruccāṇūrūmalai (South Travancore) and Tirukkāṭṭāmbaḷi in Kuṇṇḍi-Veṇbunāḍu (Madurai-Ramnad). Ajjaṇandi Aṣṭōpavāsi, Guṇasēna, Nāganandi, Guṇavira and others of these establishments were teachers of eminence. An inscription in the Aiññūṟṟuva-peurmbaḷi (called after the merchant corporation, the 'Five Hundred') now in ruins at Tiruveṇṇāyil (now called Cheṭṭipaṭṭi in Pudukottai), built during the reign of Rājārāja I (985-1016), mentions Matisāgara, the guru of Dayāpāla and Vādirāja. Ammāchatram (Pudukottai) had more than one *paḷḷi*, and inscriptions mention two *ācāryas* of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries. Cholaṇḍipurm (South Arcot) and Tirumalai and Tirakkōl (North Arcot) were other large centres mentioned in the inscriptions. At Tiruppāṇmalai (North Arcot) is an image of Nāganandi. Ariṣṭanēmi, a pupil of Paravādimalla, lived in Tiruppāṇmalai, where one of his pupils, the nun Paṭṭṭinattuk-kuratti opened a celebrated nunnery. In the large monastery at Veḍāl (North Arcot) misunderstanding arose among the monks on the one hand and the chief nun and her disciples on the other, and the intrepid nun took upon

herself the responsibility of running the feeding house attached to the monastery Kīrapākkam (Chingleput) was the centre of the Yāpanīya-saṅgha, Kumīla-gaṇa. At Poṇṇūr (Sanskrit: Hēmagrāma or Svarṇapuri) Ēlācārya popularised the Jvālāmālīnī (Vahnidēvatā) cult and built a temple for that goddess. His teachings were later codified by Indranandī in his *Jvālāmālīnī-kalpa*. The Jinagiripaḷḷi at Ānandamaṅgalam (Chingleput) was a monastery of considerable importance, and so was Vaḷḷimalai where is enshrined the image of Dēvasēna, a pupil of Bhavanandin. A Munibhadra and Samantabhadra, disciples of Puṣpasēna, figure in the inscriptions from Karandai (North Arcot). The grammarian Maṇḍalapuruṣa and the prosadist Amita-sāgara also deserve mention. And Jinakāñci (Tirupparuttikuṇram) boasts of a line of *Ṛṣi-samudāya*, among whom the names of Mallisēna, Vāmana and Puṣpasēna are still cherished.

From the seventh century onwards, the Tamīl country was studded with temples to Śiva and Viṣṇu. The Pallavas, the Pāṇḍyas and then the Cōḷas set the lead by building new temples and richly endowing them. Since Jainism was no longer the faith of the ruling houses, the erection of *paḷlis* and temples was mostly the work of the Saṅgha drawing what help it could from the State, the local assemblies and the merchant guilds. Tamīl kings on their part were neither slow nor parsimonious in their benefactions to their Jaina subjects. The Śittanṇavāśal Jaina cave temple, which was till lately believed to have been built by Mahēndravarmaṇ I, is now known to have definite

Pāṇḍya associations. The Candraprabha temple at Jinakāñci was fostered by a Pallava king of the eighth or ninth century. Rājasimha II Pāṇḍya (900-920) endowed several *paḷḷiccandams*. Kundavai, sister of Rājarāja I, a princess remarkable for learning and charity alike, built two Jaina temples, one at Tirumalai and the other at Rājarājapuram (now called Dādāpuram) and these shared with the numerous Śiva temples that she built, costly presents of vessels and ornaments of gold, silver and pearls. Virāśōḷa, a Lāṭa vassal of Rājarāja I, remitted at the request of his queen, taxes payable by the Tiruppāṇmalai-paḷḷi. A *perumbaḷḷi* at Karandai was named after Virarājēndra-Cōḷa (1063-9) and another at Kuhur (Tanjore) after Kulōttuṅga I (1070-1120). Among the donors to the temple at Tiṇunaruṅgoṇḍai (South Arcot) were Virkamacōḷa (1128) and Vikrama Pāṇḍya (1187) and the Kāḍavarāyas of Sēndamaṅgalam, and those of Śīrrāmūr and Perumandūr (South Arcot) were the Śambuvarāya chiefs of the twelfth century. An image at Tuḍiyūrmāmalai (Singipatti, Tirunelveli) was named after a minister of Māravarman Sundara Pāṇḍya II (1238-54). Pāṇḍya benefactions to the monasteries at Śaḍayappārai, near Pudukottai town, and at Nārttāmalaḷ are also on record. The incursion of an Oriya force under Kapilēśvara Gajapati (1465) as far south as the Kāvērī left villages robbed and deserted, and temples of all the sects looted and closed. Sāḷuva Narasiṃha (1486-91) restored worship in all these temples including the Jaina temples, and exempted their lands from taxes. The *jōḍi* imposed by the Vijayanagar agent Rāmappa Nayak hit temples hard,

enlarged version, ably succeeds in narrating the glorious contributions made by Jaina scholars in the field of Tamil literature not only during the period of Jaina ascendancy in Tamīṇnāḍu but also in the subsequent centuries. Dr A. N. Upadhye, who had rightly felt that the work, published more than three decades ago, would be much useful as a source book if it is made up-to-date and if necessary references are provided, kindly entrusted the pleasant task to me at the instance of my vocational teacher and guide Dr G. S. Gai, Chief Epigraphist, Archaeological Survey of India, Mysore. I am greatly indebted to Dr Upadhye and Dr Gai for the confidence they have reposed in me.

In providing references, I have not merely confined myself to those source books which the late Professor might have consulted at the time of writing his book but have also referred to subsequent publications. I have also given detailed information in the footnotes on some works, not mentioned by the author, which had subsequently been decided upon as the creations of Jaina authors. At the instance of Dr. Upadhye, I have also provided, as far as possible, exhaustive lists of different publications and editions with regard to each of the works discussed by Prof. Chakravarti.

An important feature of this second edition is the inclusion of the texts of Tamil Jaina inscriptions. All of them are already published in different publications and are brought together here for the first time. These epigraphs are not only an index to the antiquity and

PREFACE

(First edition)

Jaina authors have made remarkable contributions to the various domains of Indian literature in Sanskrit, Prākṛit and other languages. The classical dignity and literary refinement which Tamil and Kannaḍa languages have reached are entirely due to the pioneer work of Jaina authors in the field. Jainism being a religion of pre-eminently humanitarian values, these authors have left behind a noble heritage for the benefit of the society through their literary productions.

In the following pages a modest attempt has been made to take a survey of Jaina contributions to Tamil literature. Prof. A. Chakravartī is a great Tamil scholar, and there could not have been a better choice for this undertaking. This survey, we are sure, would be quite useful for preparing a systematic history of Tamil literature on the one hand and for a comparative study of Jaina literature in different languages on the other.

It was very kind of Prof. Chakravartī to have allowed the Editors to publish this excellent essay in the Jaina Antiquary; for this they are highly thankful to him. It is their earnest desire to publish similar monographs in English on the Jaina contributions to Sanskrit, Prākṛit, Kannaḍa, Telugu, Hindi and Gujarati literatures with the co-operation of different specialists.

Thanks are due to Dr. Raghavan, Madras University, who kindly added diacritical points on Tamil words in the press-copy. The Table of contents and Index are added by Dr. A. N. Upadhye hoping that they would heighten the referential value of the book.



Prof. A. Chakravarti Nayanar

A Biography

The late lamented Prof Chakravarti was born in the year 1880. After passing his M A with distinction from the Christian College, Madras in 1905, he saw service for a year or two, first as teacher in the Wesley Girls' School and then as a clerk in the Accountant General's Office, Madras. He entered the academic field in 1906 when he was appointed Assistant Professor of Philosophy in the Presidency College, Madras. He became Professor in 1917 and in the course of over three decades of useful academic career he served with distinction in the Government Colleges at Rajahmundry, Madras and Kumbakonam before finally retiring from active service as Principal in 1938. In the same year the title of Rao Bahadur was conferred upon him in recognition of the meritorious service rendered by him in the fields of learning, research and education.

A pious Jaina *śrāvaka* and a deep scholar of Jainism, Prof Chakravarti was also well-versed in the various schools of western philosophy and brought his wide learning and erudition to bear upon his studies of Jaina Philosophy. His introduction to the *Pañcāstikāya* (Arrah, 1920) is a valuable exposition of Jaina metaphysics and ontology. In 1937 he delivered Principal Miller Lectures which are published under the title 'Humanism and Indian Thought'.

The late Prof Chakravarti has edited a number of Tamil works by Jaina authors with their commentaries and, in some cases, with his learned exposition in English. For instance, *Neelakesi*, the text, and the commentary of Samaya Divākara Muni, along with his elaborate introduction in English, were published in 1936 (Madras), his edition of *Tirukkuraḷ* by Tēvar, along with the Tamil commentary by Kavirāja Paṇḍitar, has been published by the Bhāratiya Jñāna Pīṭha as No 1 in their Tamil series, with an English

introduction (Madras, 1949) Professor Chakravarti has also edited the Tirukkural with English translation and commentary and an exhaustive introduction which has been hailed as 'an indispensable aid to the study of Tirukkural'. He has also edited the *Māiṃandūrapurāṇam* in Tamil. His 'Religion of Ahimsā', a learned exposition in English of some aspects of Jainism, is published by Shri Ratanchand Hirachand (Bombay 1957).

He has written a commentary in English on the *Samayasāra* of Kundakunda (Bhāratiya Jñānapīṭha, 1971). Based mainly on the Sanskrit commentary of Amṛtacandra, his exposition and his evaluation of the contents of *Samayasāra* have rendered the principles of Kundakunda intelligible to the modern world.

Besides contributing an elaborate and scholarly essay on Jainism in the prestigious publication 'Cultural Heritage of India', Prof Chakravarti has contributed a number of essays and articles on Jainism, *Ahimsā* and contemporary thought to various other publications such as Philosophy of the East and West, *Jaina Gazette*, Aryan Path and Tamil Academy. Some of his papers are reprinted in the 'Yesterday and To-day' Madras, 1946. He was a member of a number of Associations and Institutions in Madras.

Prof Chakravarti's abiding interest in Jaina Tamil literature prompted him to write 'Jaina Literature in Tamil' (Arrah, 1941), which highlights in a lucid way the noteworthy contributions made by Jaina authors to Tamil literature. Through the generous patronage of the Bhāratiya Jñānapīṭha, of which Prof. Chakravarti was a wellwisher, this work is now being reprinted with the addition of useful references, index, an appendix of Tamil Jaina epigraphs and an Introduction. It is hoped that the present edition will serve the purpose of introducing to the world of scholars and rousing their interest in Tamil works on different subjects by Jaina authors of yore so that the pioneering work done by the late Professor will pave the way for further research and studies on Jainism in the Tamil country.

JAINA LITERATURE IN TAMIL

A casual perusal of Tamil Literature will reveal the fact that, from the earliest times, it was influenced by Jaina culture and religion. It is a well-known fact that Jainism was a religion originated in Northern India and thus must be associated with Āryan culture. When the Jainas migrated to the South and how they came in contact with the original Tamilians are problems which still remain obscure. But some light may be thrown on these problems if we turn our attention to the fact that even from the earliest times of Āryan settlement in the Indus valley, there was a section among the Āryans which was opposed to the religion of sacrifice and which was standing by the doctrine of *ahimsā*. Even in the *Ṛgvēda* Hymns we have evidence to substantiate this proposition. The story¹ of Sunahṣēpha, a Brahmin youth, who was released by Viśvāmitra from being sacrificed is an important fact. The conflict between the *Rājarṣi* Viśvāmitra and Vaśiṣṭha² probably represents the start-

1 *Ṛgvēda Saṃhitā*, I-24-12/13, V-2-7, For an English rendering, see R T H Griffith *The Hymns of the R̥gveda*, Vol I (1920), pp 31-32, 467, See also, *Vedic Index*, Vol II (1912) pp 311, 385-86, Winternitz *A History of Indian Literature*, Vol I (1927), pp 213-16, P V Kane *History of Dharmaśāstra*, Vol II (1941), p 35, *The Vedic Age* (1951), p 285

2 Muir *Original Sanskrit Texts*, part I, pp 75 ff, *Vedic Index*, Vol II, pp 274-77, Weber *The History of Indian Literature* (1914), pp 37-38, *The Cambridge History of India*, Vol I; *Ancient* (Contd.)

ing point of a great conflict between the school of sacrificial ritualism led by *brāhmaṇa* Ṛṣis and the anti-sacrificial doctrine of *ahimsā* led by the *kṣatriya* heroes. Even in the *Ṛgveda Saṃhitā* we have references to Ṛṣabha,¹ Ariṣṭanēmi², the former the first of the Jaina Tīrthaṅkaras, and the latter the 22nd Tīrthaṅkara, a cousin of Śrī Kṛṣṇa.

When we leave the period of the *Saṃhitās* and enter the second period known as the period of the *Brāhmaṇas*, we come across some interesting facts relating to this cleavage among the Āryans. About this time the Āryans migrated towards the Gangetic valley, and they built kingdoms and settled down in the countries of Kāśī, Kōsala, Vidēha and Magadha³. Āryans living in these countries were generally designated as the Eastern Āryans (*pīācyā*) as distinguished from the Western Āryans living in the Kuru Pāñcāla countries of the Indus valley. The latter looked down upon the Eastern Āryans as distinctly inferior to themselves in as much as they lost the orthodoxy

India (1922), pp 82-83, Winternitz *op cit*, pp 402-03 : V Rangacharya *Pre-Muslim India*, Vol II, *Vedic India*, Part I (1937), pp. 191-95, *The Vedic Age*, p. 245

1 *Ṛgveda Saṃhitā*, VI-16-47, VI-28-8, X-91-14, X-166-1; See *Vedic Index*, Vol I (1912), p 115, wherein it is stated that the word Ṛṣabha, occurring in the *Ṛgveda* is the common name of the bull

2 *Ṛgveda Saṃhitā*, I-89-6, I-180-10, III-53-17, X-178-1.

3 *The Cambridge History of India*, Vol I, *Ancient India*, p. 117, *The Vedic Age*, p 255

associated with the Kuru Pāñcāla Āryans.¹ The Orientalists suggest² that the Eastern Āryans in the Gangetic valley probably represent an earlier wave of invaders who were pushed towards the east by the later invading hordes who settled down in the Indus valley. It is necessary to hold some such view in order to explain certain fundamental differences between the sections. The *Brāhmaṇa* literature distinctly reveals the existence of political and cultural differences between the two groups of Āryans.³ On several occasions, armies were led to the eastern country against the Eastern Āryans. But there are two or three important facts mentioned in the *Brāhmaṇa* literature which constitute interesting evidence as to the difference of culture. In the *Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa* the orthodox *brāhmaṇas* of the Kuru Pāñcāla countries are warned about their treatment in the eastern countries of Kāśī, Kōsala, Vīdēha and Magadha.⁴ It is mentioned there

1 *The Cambridge History of India*, Vol I, *Ancient India*, pp 123-24.

2 *Vedic Index*, Vol I, pp 168-69, *The Cambridge History of India*, Vol. I, *Ancient India*, p 123, V Rangacharya *op cit*, pp 349-50

3 *The Vedic Age*, p. 255

4 I am not aware of any such specific injunction in the *Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa*. However, *Baudhāyana Dharmasāstra*. *Mitākṣarā*, the commentary on *Yājñavalkya-smṛiti* and *Ādiya Purāṇa* speak of countries outside Āryāvarta, among them Kālīṅga in the east, as unfit for *Brāhmaṇas*. See P. V Kane *History of Dharmasāstra*, Vol II, part I, pp 16 and notes and 18 and note, *The Mahābhāṣya* (Bombay), Vol II (1906), pp 119-20. III-2-115 See J N Samaddar *The Glories of Magadha* (II edn, 1927), pp 6 ff.

that it is not safe for the *brāhmaṇas* of the Kuru Pāñcāla countries to go to these countries of the east 'because Āryans in these countries have forgotten their *dharma*s of Vedic ritualism; not merely that they have given up the sacrifice but they have started a new *dharma* according to which non-sacrificing is itself real *dharma*. What kind of respect can you expect from such a lot of heterodox Āryans who have lost reverence to *dharma*? Not merely this, they have also lost touch with the language of the *Vēdas*. They cannot pronounce Sanskrit words with accuracy. For example, wherever *ra* occurs in Sanskrit words they can pronounce only *la*!

Again, in these eastern countries, *kṣātrīyas* have attained social supremacy in as much they claim to be higher than the *brāhmaṇas*.¹ Consistent with the social aggrandisement, the eastern Āryans led by the *kṣātrīyas* maintain² that *Rājasūya-yāga* is the highest type of sacrifice as against the *Vājapēya* sacrifice which is the highest according to the orthodox Kuru Pāñcālas. These are some of the reasons given why orthodox Kuru Pāñcāla *brāhmaṇas* should avoid travelling in the eastern countries.

1 *Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa*, III-2-1-23 and 24. However, while writing on Jainism elsewhere, the author attributes (*The Cultural Heritage of India*, Calcutta, p. 187) this statement on the language inferiority of the easterners to the *Vājasaneyi Samhitā*.

2 *Vedic Index*, Vol. I, p. 204, note 11.

3 *Ibid.*, Vol. II, p. 281.

Again from an evidence in the *Pañcaviṃśa Brāhmaṇa*¹ it may be inferred that on certain periods anti-ritualistic sections among the Āryans were more dominant and thus preached against Indra worship which did involve sacrifice. The persons who preached against Indra worship and anti-sacrificial ritualism are described as *yatis* with clean-shaven heads. When Indra worship was revived once again by a powerful king, under the influence of the orthodox section, the revivalism led to the destruction of these *yatis* whose heads were cut off and cast to the wolves. These facts given from non-Jaina literature are of great value in as much as they give us an inkling as to the antiquity of the religion of *ahiṃsā*.

Now turn to the Jaina literature. What do you find there? Of the 24 Jaina Tīrthaṅkaras beginning with Ṛṣabha and ending with Mahāvīra, all are from the *ḷṣatriya* clan. It is said that Lord Ṛṣabha, the first of the Tīrthaṅkaras, was the first to preach the doctrine of *ahiṃsā* and turn the attention of the thinkers to the realisation of Self or *ātman* by the path of *tapas* or *yōga*. Most of these Jaina leaders of religion are associated with eastern countries; Ṛṣabha from Ayōdhyā and Mahāvīra from Magadha and of the intervening 22 mostly from countries generally grouped

¹ *Pañcaviṃśa Brāhmaṇa*, 8-1-4, 13-8-17, 14-11-28, Muir: *op cit.*, Vol. IV, pp 491-93, P.V. Kane *op cit.*, Vol II, part I, pp. 418-19.

as Eastern Āryan countries.¹ The language in which the Jainas preached their message was not Sanskrit, but a dialect of Sanskrit in the form of Māgadhi Prākṛit. The early sacred literature of the Jainas is mostly in Prākṛit language evidently a spoken language of the masses in those days.² This liberal section of the Āryans evidently adopted this spoken language for the purpose of preaching to the masses their religious doctrine of *ahiṃsā*.

When we come down to the period of the *Upaniṣads* we see again the clash between the two different cultures : the sacrificial ritualism of the Kuru Pāṇcālas and the *ātmaśānti* of the Eastern Āryans.³ The Upaniṣadic doctrine of *ātmaśānti* is associated mainly with *kṣatriya* heroes, and scholars from the Kuru Pāṇcāla countries are seen at the courts of these eastern kings,⁴ waiting for the purpose of being initiated into the new wisdom of *ātmaśānti*. The Upaniṣadic world represents a stage at which these two sections were attempting to come to an understanding and compromise.

1 Jaini *Outlines of Jainism* (1940), Table opp p 6; V Rangacharya (*op cit*, pp. 348-49) holds that Buddhism and Jainism were movements organised by the eastern *Kṣatriyas*

2 Winternitz *op cit*, Vol II (1933), p 427

3 Winternitz *op cit*, Vol. I (1927), pp 227-32; See *Vedic Index*, Vol I, p 272 wherein it is argued that 'the home of the philosophy of the *Upaniṣads* was in the Kuru Pāṇcāla country rather than in the east', but see below, p 7, note 1

4 Macdonell *A History of Sanskrit Literature* (1925), pp. 213-14

King Janaka represents such a spirit of compromise and Yājñavalkya, an eastern Āryan scholar,¹ probably represents the force that effected the compromise and adjustment. The old sacrificial ritualism, instead of being discarded altogether, is retained as an inferior culture side by side with the new wisdom of *ātmavidyā* which is recognised as distinctly higher. Such a compromise, no doubt, was a victory to the orthodox section of the Āryans. But such a compromise must have been unacceptable to the members of the liberal school who must have stood aloof; that such was the fact is evidenced by a small instance mentioned in the Jaina *Rāmāyaṇa*. When there was a talk of Rāma's marriage mooted in Daśaratha's court one of the ministers suggested that Janaka's daughter Sītā would be the proper bride. But it was seriously objected to by many ministers who pointed out that Janaka was no more the follower of the doctrine of *ahiṃsā* in as much as he went back to the opposite camp. But it was finally decided that, from the political and military point of view, the alliance would be desirable in spite of this religious difference. This fact clearly suggests that Janaka was considered

1 The authors of the *Vedic Index* (Vol. II, p. 190) hold that the suggestion that Yājñavalkya was an eastern Āryan is not wholly acceptable. However, Macdonell, one of the authors of the *Vedic Index*, says in his *History of Sanskrit Literature* (1925) that certain passages of the *Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa* 'render it highly probable that Yājñavalkya was a native of Vīdēha', which is an eastern country.

as one of the liberal Āryans till he changed side. It would not be far wrong to suggest that the Eastern Āryans who were opposed to the sacrificial ritualism and who were led by the *kṣatriya* heroes were believers in *ahiṃsā* doctrines and as such the forefathers of the Jainas. This liberal school created out of itself, about the time of Mahāvīra, another radical school led by another *kṣatriya* hero in the person of Gautama Śākya-muni, the founder of Buddhism. In the life of Gautama Buddha the Śākya clan to which he belongs is traced¹ to the Ikṣvāku dynasty which played a very important part in shaping the culture of ancient India. But even in Purāṇic Hinduism the services of the *kṣatriya* heroes are recognised in as much as they are elevated as *avatāras* of Viṣṇu for whom temples are raised and worship is conducted. It is strange that this doctrine of *ahiṃsā* should be preached by *kṣatriya* heroes who were generally associated with military exploits and who went about with bow and arrow.

How *ahiṃsā* came to be associated with them remains a mystery. But the fact that they were the founders of the doctrine of *ahiṃsā* is a fact which cannot be doubted. That these *kṣatriya* leaders, wher-

1 For a detailed discussion of the sources which trace the origin of the Śākya clan to the Ikṣvākus, see B. C. Law : *Some Kṣatriya Tribes of Ancient India* (1924), pp. 162 ff. Also see *Sumaṅgalavilāsinī*, part I, pp. 258-60 : *Mahāvastu* (Ed. Geiger), Chapter II, verses 1-24; *Viṣṇu Purāṇa* (Ed. Wilson), Vol. IV, Chapter XXII, pp. 167-72; *Mahāvastu* (Ed. Senart), Vol. I, pp. 348-52.

ever they went, carried with them their fundamental doctrine of *ahiṃsā*, preached against animal sacrifice and promulgated vegetarianism are facts which every student of Indian History ought to acknowledge. In the drama *Uttarārāmacarita* by Bhavabhūti this fact is well borne out in one of the scenes laid in Vālmīki's *āśrama*. Both Janaka and Vasiṣṭha visit the *āśrama* as guests. When Janaka is entertained as guest he is given pure vegetarian food and the *āśrama* is cleaned and kept pure. But on the day when Vasiṣṭha visits the *āśrama*, a fat calf is killed in honour of his visit. One of the disciples of the *āśrama* cynically asks a co-disciple of his whether any tiger had visited the *āśrama*; and the other rebukes him for his disrespectful references to Vasiṣṭha. The former apologises and explains himself by saying that, 'because a fat calf did disappear, I had to infer that some carnivorous animal like tiger must have entered the *āśrama*,' over which the former offers the explanation that the *Rājāṣi* being a strict vegetarian must be entertained accordingly, whereas Vasiṣṭha not being a strict vegetarian was entertained to suit his taste.¹ These facts clearly indicate the significance and potency of the *ahiṃsā* doctrine, and it is well reflected in Tamil literature after the migration of the Jainas to the south and due to their participation in the creation of Tamil literature. The early Jainas should have adopted the propaganda work of their

¹ Bhavabhūti's *Uttarārāmacaritam* (Ed M R Kale, 1934, pp 99-103), Act iv, dialogue between Sandhyātaka and Dāṇḍāyāna-

hearty welcome from a friendly race for thousands of monks is the only reasonable suggestion. It is clear from the Hāthigumphā inscription¹ of Khāravēla that the Pāṇḍyan king sent shiploads of presents to King Khāravēla at the time of the latter's *rājyābhīṣekā*.² That Khāravēla was an important Jaina emperor³ and that the Pāṇḍyan king was also the follower of the same religion⁴ are facts independently borne out by the inscription and Tamil literature. In connection with the Tamil work called *Nālaḍiyār*, it is said⁵ that eight thousand Jaina monks who came and settled in the Pāṇḍyan country on account of the famine in the north wanted to go back to their country, which the Pāṇḍyan prince did not like. Hence they all left in a body the Pāṇḍyan capital, one night, each recording a stanza on a palm leaf which was left behind. The collection of these individual stanzas constitutes a book

1 *Ep Ind*, Vol XX, pp 71-89

2 The relevant part of the text (p 80, text line 13) says that Khāravēla caused horses, elephants, jewels and rubies as well as numerous pearls in hundreds to be brought from the Pāṇḍya king. There is no reference to Khāravēla's coronation and to 'ship loads' in that context.

3 *The Cambridge History of India*, Vol I, *Ancient India* (1922), pp. 64, 166, 223, P B Desai *op cit.*, p 17

4 Khāravēla's inscription does not say that the Pāṇḍya ruler was a Jaina by faith. Nor is it possible to deduce from available Tamil Literature that the Pāṇḍya contemporary of Khāravēla was a Jaina.

5 *The Nālaḍiyār or The Four Hundred Quatrains in Tamil* (Ed. G. U. Pope, 1893), General Introduction, pp. viii-ix.

called *Nālaḍiyāi* ; and this tradition is generally accepted in the south both among the Jainas and the non-Jainas. This fact also supports the view that even before the migration of Bhadrabāhu there must have been Jaina princes in the Tamil land. This naturally creates a problem as to the exact period of the migration of the Jainas to the Tamil land and what occasioned this. But it is enough for our purpose if we maintain that the introduction of Jainism in the south must be somewhere prior to the 4th century B. C.¹ This view is in conformity with the conclusions obtained by the Tamil scholars after careful research. Mr. Sivaraja Pillai in his *Chronology of the Early Tamils* writes² about the early Tamilians : "Before their contact with the Āryans, Dravidians, as I have elsewhere pointed out, were mainly engaged in building up material civilisation and securing for themselves the many amenities of life, individual and communal. Naturally, therefore, their lives took on a secular colour and came to be reflected as such in the literature of that period. The impulse of religion, which came to possess them at a later period, was then absent. And when the first infiltration of the Āryans began, the Jains and Buddhists

1 P. T. Srinivasa Iyengar *History of the Tamils* (1929), p. 246, P. B. Desai. *op cit*, pp. 25-26, M. S. Venkataswamy *Samanaṁam Tanuḷam* (1959) pp. 36-40

2 K. N. Sivaraja Pillai *Chronology of the Early Tamils* (1932), pp. 15-19

must have first brought in the idea of a Saṅgam to the Tamil country. It is more than likely that, following closely the persecution of the Jains ruthlessly carried out in the 7th century A. D., the orthodox Hindu party must have tried to put their own house in order and resorted to the creation of Saṅgams with divinity too playing a part therein, for the purpose of adding to the authority and dignity of their literature. It was the sacerdotal 'Saṅgam' of the early Jains that most probably supplied the orthodox party with a clue for the story of a literary Saṅgam of their own on that model. The very name 'Saṅgam', unknown to the early Tamils, proclaims its late origin and to attempt to foisting the idea it signified on the so-called Saṅgam literature as its inspiring cause is little short of perpetrating a glaring and absurd anachronism."

The only thing that I want to add to this is the existence of the *Drāviḍa Saṅgha*, otherwise known as *Mūla Saṅgha*, about the first century B. C. at the southern Pāṭalīputra, identified with modern Tiruppāppuliyūr, a suburb of Cuddalore.¹ This *Drāviḍa Saṅgha*

1 See *Ep Ind*, Vol. XIV (1917-18), p 334, P. B. Desai (*op. cit*, pp 48-49) says "Pāṭalīputra in the South Arcot Dt. was another center of Jaina preceptors. The Digambara Jaina work named *Lōkavibhāga* which was rendered into Sanskrit by Śiṃhasūri contains a reference to the effect that it was written (possibly in Prakṛit) by Munī Sarvanandī in Śāka 380 or A D 458 at a place called Pāṭalīkā in Pāna Rāṣṭra. This Pāṭalīkā has been identified with Pāṭalīpura, Pāḍirppuliyūr or Tiruppāppuliyūr, a suburb of Cuddalore in South Arcot Dt. - It is believed that there existed the Drāviḍa Saṅgha in this place about the 1st century B. C."

was presided over by Śrī Kundakundācārya, a great Jaina teacher who is held in high veneration by the Jainas all over India. The attempt by Vajranandi to revive the Tamil *Saṅgam* in Tamil Nāḍu¹ implies rather the downfall of the earlier *Mūla Saṅgha* associated with Śrī Kundakundācārya. This fact is mentioned merely for the information of research students who may be interested in the chronology of the Jaina influence in the Tamil land. One rather interesting fact which deserves to be mentioned, in this connection, is the reference to the Prākṛta language and its prevalence in all countries. The collection of *sūtras* supposed to be the remnants of the great grammatical

1. Dēvasēna, in his *Darśanasāra*, written in 853 A D , says that Vajranandi, the pupil of Śrī Pūjyapāda, founded the Drāviḍa *Saṅgha* in Mathurā in the South in V.E 525 = 468-69 A D —

*Srī-Pūjyapāda-sīso Dāviḍa-Saṅghassa-kārago duṭṭho ḥ
nāmeṇa Vajjanāndi pāhuda-vedī mahāsatto ḥ
paṇca-sae chaḷvīse Vikkamarāyassa marana-pattassa ḥ
dakkhiṇa-Mahurū-jādo Dāviḍa-saṅgho mahāmoho ḥ*

(See *JBBAS* , Vol. XVII, part I, No xlvī, p. 74 , P T Srinivasa Iyengar . *op. cit* , p 247)

It has been suggested elsewhere [T V Sadasiva Pandarattar. *A History of Tamil Literature* (250-600 A D), 1957 (Tamil, pp 26-27)] that three works, *Nariviruttam*, *Eliviruttam* and *Kiviruttam*, the first one mentioned by the Śaiva saint-poet Tirunāvukkarasu and the next two mentioned by his contemporary, Tirujāna-Sambandar, may be assigned to about the 5th century A D , and that they were, in all probability, written by Jaina authors who belonged to the Jaina *Saṅgha* established at Madurai by Vajranandi

work of Agastya contains a section on northern languages, the Sanskritic languages. Here, after referring to Sanskrit and Apabhramśa, it speaks of 'Pāhatam' as a language used by all the countries¹ On a former occasion we had to refer² to the fact of Prākṛta being specially associated with the Jaina leaders of thought in the North. A reference to this in the Tamil grammar as a language spoken all over the land is a very significant fact in as much as it would imply the early introduction of Prākṛta literature and the migration of Prākṛta-speaking people into the Tamil land. Another relevant fact is the description of *vaḍakkiruttal* or *sallēkhanā* found in some of the so-called *Saṅgam* collections.³ This *vaḍakkiruttal* is said to be practised by some kings who were followed by their friends. An important religious practice associated with the Jainas is known as *sallēkhanā*. When a person, suffering from illness or otherwise, realises that death is at hand and that it is no use to waste time in drugging the body he resolves to spend the rest of his life in meditation and prayer. He no more accepts food or medicine till the end of life. This practice is called *sallēkhanā* and a reference to this is found in the earliest Tamil collections where it is spoken of as *vaḍakkiruttal*.

1. *Śentamīl* (Madurai), Vol VIII (1909-10), p 471, *Pēragattiyām*, *Vaḍapadappadalam*. *Pāhatam* is described therein as 'ellā nāṭṭilum iyalvaḍu', i.e. 'current in all countries'

2. *The Cultural Heritage of India* (Ramakrishna centenary Memorial Volume), Vol I, p 187

3. *Puṛaṇāṇḍu* (Ed by U V Swaminatha Iyer, 1894), *Puṛaṇas* 65-66, 191, 214, 218-20, 223, 236, *Sūpāṇcamūlam*, 73

There is some doubt as to the derivation of this word, though the significance is quite clear.¹ All these facts taken together constrain us to believe that we have traces of Jaina influence discernible even in the earliest Tamil literature extant, not to speak of the Jaina contributions to the literature with which we are directly concerned.

1. Tolkāppiyam^a—This authoritative work on Tamil grammar is supposed to be written by a Jaina

1 M S Venkataswamy (*op cit*, p 182) is of the opinion that *vadakkiruttal* is merely the Tamil equivalent of *sallēkhanā*. According to him the term originated from the fact that, since all the Tīrthaṅkaras ended their worldly existence in the north, the Jainas, at the time of observing *sallēkhana*, faced the holy north and hence the term *vadakkiruttal* (*vadakku*= north, *iruttal*= seated or lying) Also see N Subramanian. *Pre-Pallavan Tamil Index* (1969), p 729 “*Vadakkiruttal* The penance of starving facing the north and self-immolation by slow starvation”.

2 The following are some of the editions, either in full or in part, of *Tolkāppiyam* and research treatises on that work -

(i) *Colladikāram* with Naccinārkkiniyar's commentary—Ed. by C V Damodaran Pillai, Nandana (1892),

(ii) *Tolkāpyam* with Naccinārkkiniyar's commentary—Ed. by S. Bavanandam Pillai, Vols I and II (1916) and Vols III and IV (1917),

(iii) *Eḷuttadikāram* with Naccinārkkiniyar's commentary—Ed by T Kanakasundaram Pillai, 2nd Edn (1933),

(iv) *Eḷuttadikāram* and *Colladikāram*—Ed. by Namach-chivaya Mudaliar (1922),

(v) *Poruḷadikāram*—English translation by R Vasudeva Sarma (1933),

(Contd)

scholar. The fact is disputed by some scholars and various views¹ are entertained as to the religion of the author. We shall merely state some of the facts of internal evidence and leave it to the reader to judge for himself. Though it is a work of grammar, it contains a mine of information about the social polity of the early Tamilians ; and research scholars are mainly dependent upon this work for information relating to the customs and manners of the early Tamilians. It has not been fully availed of by students of historical research. It is supposed to be based on earlier works on grammar such as *Aindra* which probably refers to a system of Sanskrit grammar. This is considered to be an authoritative work on grammar, and all later writers in Tamil language faithfully conform to the rules of diction enunciated therein. The author of this work, *Tolkāppiyam*, was supposed to be a student

(vi) *Colladikāram* with Śēnāvaraiyar's commentary—
Ed by Arumuga Navalar (1934),

(vii) *Eḷuttadikāravārāycci* by V Venkatarajulu Reddiyar (1944),

(viii) *Colladikāram* with an English commentary by
P S. Subrahmanya Sastrī (Annamalai University Tamil Series, No 9, 1945),

(ix) *Poruḷadikāram* with Naccinārkkiniyar's commentary—Ed by K. Sundaramurti (1965)

1 See K. Vellaivarananar 'Tolkāppiyam', pp 159-72 for the differing views of scholars on the religious leanings of the author of *Tolkāppiyam*.

of Agastya, the mythical founder of Tamil literature.¹ It contains a preface by a contemporary author, Panampāraṇār, who certifies that the *Aindiram-niraiṇca Tolkāppiyam*, i.e., the *Tolkāppiyam* full of the *Aindra* grammar system, was read in the Pāṇḍyan assembly and approved by Adaṅkōṭṭāśāṇ.² Dr Burnell maintains³ that the author of the *Tolkāppiyam* was a Buddhist or Jaina and that he is one of the unquestionably old Tamil authors. In the same preface of Panampāraṇār, Tolkāppiyar is referred to as the "great and famous *Paḍimaiyōṇ*".⁴ The word *Paḍimaiyōṇ* is explained by the commentator as one who performs *tapas*.⁵ It is well known to students of Jaina literature that *Pratimā-yōga* is a Jaina technical term and some Jaina *yōgis* were spoken of as *Pradhāna-Yōgadhāris*. On this basis,

1 The prefatory verses of *Paṇḍurupaḍalam*, *Puṇḍaroruṭ-veṇbāmālai* and *Agapporuṭ-viṭakkam* make this claim. For relevant quotations, see K. N. Sivaraja Pillai *Agastya in the Tamil Land*, p. 47.

2 The relevant lines read :

*nīlan- taru tiruvir-Pāṇḍiyaṇ avaiyattu aṟangaṟai nāviṇ nāṇ-
maṟai muṟṟiya Adaṅkōṭṭāśāṇku aṟitapatterittu.*

See, also, S. Vairapuri Pillai : *Tamiḷ-ccudarmaṇigaḷ* (1949),

p. 1.

3. *The Aindra System of Sanskrit Grammar* (1875).

4. '*Paḷ-pugaḷ-niṟutta Paḍimaiyōṇ*'

5. The commentator Iḷampūraṇavaḍigaḷ explains *Paḍimaiyōṇ* as '*tavan-oḷukkattiṇaiy-udaiyōṇ*', i.e., 'he who observes the norms of *tapas*'. *Pratimā* is a Jaina technical term. It refers to the stages of religious life of a pious householder. There are eleven *Pratimās*.

scholars like S. Vaiyapuri Pillai¹ infer that the author of *Tolkāppiyam* was a Jaina by religion. The same author strengthens his conclusion by quoting the *sūtras*² from *Tolkāppiyam* referring to the classification of *jīvas* according to the sense-organs possessed by the *jīvas*. In the section called *Marabiyal*, *Tolkāppiyam* speaks of *jīvas* with one sense, such as grass and trees, *jīvas* with two senses such as snails, *jīvas* with three senses such as ants, *jīvas* with four senses such as crabs and *jīvas* of five senses, such as higher animals and *jīvas* with six senses such as human beings. It is not necessary for me to point out and emphasise the fact that this forms a philosophical doctrine of Jaina thought. This classification of *jīvas* is found in all the important Jaina philosophical works both in Sanskrit and Tamil. Works, such as *Mērumandira-purāṇam* and *Nilakēṣi*, two of the important Jaina philosophical works, contain description³ of *jīvas* in this manner. It is but natural to conclude that this refers to the Jaina conception of life, and it goes without saying that the author was well-versed in Jaina philosophy. There is one other fact, not noticed by the research students, which must also be considered as an important evidence in favour of this conclusion.

1. *Sentamil*, Vol. XVIII (1919-20), p. 339; S. Vaiyapuri Pillai : *op. cit.*, pp. 7-11. Also see M. S. Ramaswami Ayyangar : *op. cit.*, p. 39, footnote 3.

2. The *sūtras* quoted by Vaiyapuri Pillai are *Marabiyal*, 27-33.

3. *Mērumandira Purāṇam*, *Vaccirāyudanaṇuttam*, 10, 11; *Neelakeṣi* (Ed. by A. Chakravarti, 1936), p. 139.

In another *sūtra* in the same *Marabiyal*, *Tolkāppiyam* introduces the classification of literary works, according to Tamil tradition, into *mudal-nūl* and *vaḷi-nūl*, primary and basic work and secondary and derivative work. When he defines 'primary and basic work', *mudal-nūl*, he speaks of *mudal-nūl* as that which is revealed by the Lord of *Jñāna* obtained after complete liberation from *kaṁmas*,¹ i.e., knowledge revealed by Sarvajña after *kaṁmakṣaya*. It is not necessary to emphasise the fact that, according to Jaina tradition, almost every writer would trace the first source of his information through his previous *ācāryas* and through *gaṇadharas* to the Tirthaṅkara himself propounding his *dharma* in the *Samavasaṇa*. But to every unbiased student who is acquainted with this Jaina tradition it would be clear that the reference contained in this definition of the basic work is distinctly a reference to Sarvajña-Vītarāga as the fountain source of all knowledge. From all these it would be clear that the view that the author was a Jaina is more probable than the opposite view. The persons who tried to reject this suggestion have cited no serious argument in support of their view. One critic refers to the fact that such a classification of *jīvas* as is contained in this work is also contained in an obscure *tantra* work. But the verses referred to are not fully quoted. Even granting for argument's sake that it is referred to in that *tantra* work, it will be of doubtful value as an

1 *Vīṇaiy-nīṅṅi viḷunṅiyav-aṇṇiṇ Muṇaiṇaṇ kaṇḍadu mudaṇṇūl-āḍuṁ* [*Tolkāppiyam*, 649]

evidence. Here it is necessary to point out that this classification of *jīvas* based on sense-organs is not found in any of the other *darsanas* or systems of Indian thought. It is peculiar to Jaina philosophy and Jaina philosophy alone. We may leave further discussion of this point to other competent scholars interested in such research. It is enough for us to note, at this stage, that the composition of this work on grammar, one of the earliest Tamil works, was probably by a Jaina author who was equally well-versed in Sanskrit grammar and literature. As to the exact age at which it was composed there is a good deal of controversy,¹ and we need not enter into that discussion for the present.

This grammatical treatise consists of three great chapters *Eḷuttu*, *Sol* and *Poruḷ*—letters, words and meaning respectively. Each chapter consists of nine *Iyals* or sections. On the whole it contains 1612 *sūtras*. This forms the foundation of the later grammatical

1. V. R. Ramachandra Dikshitar. *op cit*, pp 132-35. For a well documented and convincing argument for assigning *Tolkāppiyaṇār* to the 4th-5th century A D, see S Vayayuri Pillai : *Tamiḷ-ccudarmanigaḷ* (1949), pp 27-39. In an equally painstaking dissertation K N Sivaraja Pillai arrives at the conclusion (*Chronology of the Early Tamils*, App XV, 258-65, *The Age of Tolkāppiyam*) that the author of that work could not have lived earlier than the 6th century A.D. Among recent opinions expressed on the subject, that of M Rajamanikkanar (*Tamiḷ-moḷi Ilakkīya Varalāḡu*, 1963, p 84) would place *Tolkāppiyaṇār* in about 300 B C

of fact, grammar is but a science of language codifying the literary usages and as such must presuppose the existence of a vast literature in that particular language. Even the Tamil grammarians have recognised this fact in as much as they speak of 'literature first and grammar second'. Hence if we are to accept the tradition that *Tolkāppiyam* belongs to the period of the middle *Saṅgam*, we have to assume a vast literature prior to that, now somehow lost completely. Such a supposition would not be altogether improbable, if we call to our mind the condition of the early Dravidian civilisation. About the time of Aśōka, the Tamil land consisted of three great kingdoms, Cēra, Cōḷa and Pāṇḍya. Aśōka does not refer to having subdued these kingdoms. They are mentioned in the list as friendly states around the Aśōkan empire.¹ That the Tamil land contained excellent harbours,² carried a flourishing sea-borne trade with the European nations around the Mediterranean basin,³ that the Tamil language contributed important words to foreign vocabulary⁴ and that Roman gold coins indicating contact with the Roman empire are found in various places in the Tamil country⁵ are all facts well known to students

1. Radhagovinda Basak . *Aśokan Inscriptions* (1959), p. 5.

2. P. T. Srinivasa Iyengar . *op cit.*, pp. 189, 293-300

3. P. T. Srinivasa Iyengar *Ibid* , pp. 36-43, 96-102, 129-34, 192-206, 301-21

4. Caldwell . *A Comparative Grammar of the Dravidian Languages* (1915), pp. 89-91 , S. Vayapuri Pillai . *History of Tamil Language and Literature* (1956), pp. 8-10

5. *JRAS* , 1904, pp. 623-34 ; *Ancient India* (Archaeological Survey of India, 1949), No. 2, pp. 118-19, 121.

of history. This, taken together with the recent explorations and discoveries in Mohenjodaro and Harappa, reveals a civilisation prior to that of the Āryans¹ and gives us an idea of the high state of civilisation that must have been attained by the early Dravidians. For the present all these would remain in the field of speculation till we come across sufficient evidence to reconstruct this early Dravidian culture. Since the extant Tamil literature is said mainly to belong to the 3rd *San̄gam* period, most of the works that we are going to consider must be assigned to this period. This would probably mean from 2nd century B. C. to the 7th century A. D. Since the institution of the *San̄gam* or Academy is taken to be a doubtful entity, the term *San̄gam* is merely used as a conventional term to indicate a certain period in the history of the Tamils.

The classification of Tamil literature into three distinct periods, viz., natural, ethical and religious suggested by Mr. Sivaraja Pillai² may be taken as a convenient frame-work, since it broadly represents the historical developments of Tamil literature. Some of the ethical works such as the *Kuṟaḷ* and *Nāḷaḍiyār* are freely quoted in the later literature. Hence it could not be altogether a mistake if we suppose that ethical literature seems to be earlier than the *kāvya* literature. In this group of ethical literature, the influence of Jaina teachers is

1. There is no unanimity among scholars on whether the Indus Valley (Harappa and Mohenjodaro) Civilisation is pre-Āryan, Āryan or Dravidian

2 K. N. Sivaraja Pillai : *op. cit* , pp 8-10

prominently felt. The two great works, *Kuṛaḷ* and *Nālaḍiyār*, were the work of Jaina teachers who settled down in the Tamiḷ country.

*Kuṛaḷ*¹—The ethical work called *Kuṛaḷ* is a most

1. The following are the editions, either in full or in part of *Tirukkūṛaḷ* .-

(i) *Tirukkūṛaḷ*, 11-20 *adikārams*—Ed by U. Pushparatha Chettiyar, Madras, 1868,

(ii) *Tirukkūṛaḷ*, text - Ed by U Pushparatha Chettiyar, Madras, 1868,

(iii) *Tirukkūṛaḷ* with Śaravaṇapperumāḷaiyar's commentary—Ed. by Karunananda Swamīgal and Kesava Mudaliyar, Madras, 1869,

(iv) *Nūṟukūṛaḷ* (100 select *kūṛaḷs*)— Ed by H W Lorry, Madras, 1870 and 1876,

(v) *Tiruvalluvarin Kuṛaḷ*, text and commentary—Ed by R. M Babu, 1870,

(vi) *Tirukkūṛaḷ* with *Padavurai*, 31-40 *adikārams* with English translation, 1873;

(vii) *Tirukkūṛaḷ*—Ed by Itta Kuppasami Nayudu, Madras, 1873;

(viii) *Kuṛaḷ* (*Aṟattuppāl* and *Poruṣpāl*), text and commentary—Ed by E F Hobusch, Tarangampadi, 1873,

(ix) *Tirukkūṛaḷ*, text and commentary—Ed. by Veerasami Pillai, 1875;

(x) *Tirukkūṛaḷ*, text and commentary—Ed. by Ponnusami Mudaliyar, 1884,

(xi) *Tirukkūṛaḷ*—Ed. by Subbaraya Chettiyar, Madras, 1885;

(xii) *Tirukkūṛaḷ* with Parimēlaḷagar's commentary—Ed by Murugesu Mudaliyar, Madras, 1885,

(xiii) *Tirukkūṛaḷ*, text—Ed by A Ramaswami, Madras, 1886,

(xiv) *The Sacred Kurraḷ*, text—Ed. with Translation by G U Pope, London, 1886;

(Contd)

important work in Tamil literature, judged from its popularity among the Tamil speaking people. It is composed in the form of couplets known as *Kuṛaḷ-veṇbā*, a metre peculiar to the Tamil literature. The term *kuṛaḷ* means 'short' as opposed to the other type of *veṇbā* which is also a metre peculiar to the Tamil literature. The book derives its name *Kuṛaḷ* from the metre employed in its composition. It is a work based on the doctrine of *ahimsā*; and throughout, you have the praising of this *ahimsā-dharma* and the criticism of views opposed to this. The work is considered so important by the Tamils that they use various names to designate this great work, such as *Uttaravēda*, *Tamīl-vēda*, 'divine scripture,' 'the great truth,' 'non-denominational *Vēda*' and so on. The work is claimed by

(xv) *Tiukkūṇḷ* with Parimēlaḷagar's commentary—Ed by S P Rajaram, Madras, 1907,

(xvi) *The Kuṛaḷ* or *The Maxims of Tuvaḷḷuvar*—English Translation by V V S. Aiyar, Madras, 1915, 1925, 1952, 1961.

(xvii) *Tirukkūṇḷ*, *Aṇattuppāl* with Parimēlaḷagar's commentary (with notes by V M Sadagoparamanujacharya Swamikal), 1937,

(xviii) *Tiukkūṇḷ*—*Poruṭpāl* and *Kāmattuppāl* with Parimēlaḷagar's commentary, 1938,

(xix) *Tirukkūṇḷ*—*Kāmattuppāl* with Kalinḡar and Parippemāḷ's commentaries—Ed by T P Palaniappa Pillai, Tirupati, 1945,

(xx) *Tirukkūṇḷ* - *Poruṭpāl* with Kalinḡar and Parippemāḷ's commentaries—Ed by T P Palaniappa Pillai, Tirupati, 1948;

(xxi) *Tirukkūṇḷ*, text, Tamil Paraphrase, English translation—Ed by A Ranganada Mudaliar, Madras, 1949,

(xxii) *Tirukkūṇḷ*, text, commentary and exhaustive introduction—Ed by A Chakravarti, 1949

almost all the religious sects of the Tamil land.¹ The Śaivaite claims that it was composed by a Śaivaite author. The Vaiṣṇavaite claim it as their own. The Reverend Pope who translated this into English even suggests² that it is the work of an author influenced by Christianity. The fact that the different communities are vying with one another in their claim to the authorship of this great work is itself an indication of its great eminence and importance. In the midst of all such various claimants we have the Jaina who maintains that it is the work of a great Jaina *ācārya*. The Jaina tradition associates³ this great ethical work with Ēlācāriyar which is the other name for Śrī Kundakundācārya. The period of Śrī Kundakundācārya is covered by the later half of the first century B. C. and the former half of the first century A. D. We have referred to Śrī Kundakundācārya as the chief of the Dravidian *San̄gha* at southern Pāṭalipura.⁴

We are not merely to depend upon this tradition to base our conclusions.

We have sufficient internal evidence as well as circumstantial evidence to substantiate our view. To any unbiased student who critically examines the con-

1. See V R Ramachandra Dikshitar *op cit*, pp 136-38 where Tiruvalluvar's religious outlook is discussed *vis-a-vis* Christianity, Jainism, Buddhism, Vaiṣṇavism and Śaivism

2. *The Sacred Kurral* (1886), Introduction, pp. ii-iv and vi

3. M S. Ramaswami Ayyangar *op cit*, pp 43-44

4. Above, pp 8-9.

tents of this work it would be quite clear that it is replete with the *ahimsā* doctrine and therefore must be a product of Jaina imagination. Unbiased Tamil scholars who are entitled to pronounce opinion on this point have expressed similar opinion as to the authorship of this work. But the majority of the Tamil scholars among the non-Jainas are not willing to accept such a verdict based upon scientific investigation. This opposition is mainly traceable to religious feeling. About the time of the Hindu revival (about the 7th century A. D.) the clash between the Jaina religion and the Vedic sacrificial religion of the Hindu reformers must have been so tremendous that echoes of it are felt even now. In this conflict the Jaina teachers were evidently worsted by the Hindu revivalists who had the support of the newly converted Pāṇḍyan king on their side. As a result of this it is said that several Jaina teachers were put to death by impaling them.¹ How much of this is history and how much of this is the creation of fertile imagination fed by religious animosity, we are not able to assess clearly. But even to this day we have this story of impaling the Jainas painted on the walls of the Madurā temple, and annual festivals are conducted celebrating the defeat and destruction of religious rivals. This would give us an insight into the attitude of the Tamil scholars towards

1 Caldwell (*A Comparative Grammar of Dravidian Languages*, II edn, 1875, Introduction pp 139-40) is of the opinion that it was Sundarapāṇḍya, a Pāṇḍya ruler of the 12th century, who was responsible for the persecution of the Jains of the Tamil country.

the early Jinas. It is no secret, therefore, that they generally resent the very suggestion that this great ethical work must have been written by a Jaina scholar.

According to one tradition the author of this work is said to be one Tiruvaḷḷuvar about whom nothing is known except what is concocted by the imagination of a modern writer who is responsible for the fictitious story relating to Tiruvaḷḷuvar. That he is born of a Cāṇḍāla woman, that he was a brother and contemporary of almost all great Tamiḷ writers are some of the absurd instances mentioned in this life of Tiruvaḷḷuvar.¹ To mention it is enough to discredit it. But the more enthusiastic among the modern Tamiḷ scholars and modern Tamils have elevated him into a God-head and built temples in his name and conducted annual festivals analogous to the festivals associated with the other Hindu deities. And the author is claimed to be one of the Hindu deities and the work is considered to be the revelation by such a deity. From such quarters, one cannot ordinarily expect application of historical criticism. So much so, whenever any hypothesis is suggested as a result of critical examination of the contents, it is rejected with a vehemence characteristic of uninstructed religious zeal. Many so-called critics who have written something or other about this great work have been careful to maintain that peculiar intellectual attitude which Samuel Johnson had when

1 G. U. Pope *The Sacred Kurāḷ* (1886), Introduction, pp. 1-11.

he had to report the proceedings of the House of Commons. He was particular to see that the Whigs had not the better of it. When such is the general mentality of the Tamil students and when the real spirit of research adopting the scientific and historical method is still in its infancy, it is no wonder that we have nothing worth the name of Tamil literature. Hence we are handicapped in our own attempt in presenting anything like a historical account of Jaina literature.

Turning from this digression to an examination of our work, we have to mention certain salient facts contained in the book itself. The book contains three great topics, *aṣṭam*, *poruḷ*, *inbam i e., dharmā, artha* and *kāma*. These three topics are interpreted and expounded as to be in thorough conformity with the basic doctrine of *ahiṃsā*. Hence it need not be emphasised that the terms here mean slightly different from what they imply in the ordinary Hindu religious works. Later Hindu religious systems, in as much as they are resting on the Vedic sacrificial ritualism, cannot completely throw overboard the practice of animal sacrifice enjoined in the *Vēdas*. The term *dharma* could mean, therefore, to them only *varṇāśrama-dharma* based upon Vedic sacrifice. Only three Indian systems were opposed to this doctrine of Vedic sacrifice: Jaina *darśana*, Sāṅkhya *darśana* and Bauddha *darśana*. Representatives of these three *darśanas* were present in the Tamil land in the pre-revivalistic period. In the very

beginning of the work, in the chapter on *dharma*, the author gives this as his own view that it is far better and more virtuous to abstain from killing and eating any animal than to perform 1000 sacrifices.¹ This one single verse is enough to point out that the author would not have acquiesced in any form of such sacrificial ritualism. The verse is nothing more than the paraphrase of the Sanskrit words *ahiṃsā paramō dharmah*. I was surprised to see this same verse quoted by a Śaivaite Tamil scholar to prove that the author had as his religion Vedic sacrificial ritualism.

In the same section devoted to vegetarian food the author distinctly condemns² the Bauddha principle of purchasing meat from the butcher. Buddhists who offer lip service to the doctrine of *ahiṃsā* console themselves by saying that they are not to kill with their own hands but may purchase meat from the slaughter-house. The author of the *Kuṇḍaḷ* in unmistakable terms points out that the butcher's trade thrives only because of the

1. The relevant *kuṇḍaḷ* reads :

*Ai-śorind -āyirān vēṭṭali-onṭaṇ-
uṇṇirēgutt-uṇṇāmai naṇṇu* (1-26-9).

'Than thousand rich oblations, with libations rare.

Better the flesh of slaughtered beings not to share'. (G.U.

Pope).

2. The relevant *kuṇḍaḷ* reads :

*Tiṇṇaḷ-poruṭṭāḷ-kolḷād-ulageṇṇin yārum
vilai-pporuṭṭāḷ-uṇṇaruṇār-il* (1-26-6).

'We eat the slain', you say. 'by us no living creatures die;
Who'd kill and sell. I pray, if none come there the flesh
to buy ?' (G.U. Pope).

demand for meat. The butcher's interest is merely to make money and hence he adopts a particular trade determined by the principle of 'supply and demand.' Therefore the responsibility of killing animals for food is mainly on your head and not upon the butcher's. When there is such an open condemnation of animal sacrifice sanctioned by Vedic ritualism and the Buddhist practice of eating meat by a convenient interpretation of the *ahimsā* doctrine, it is clear by a process of elimination that the only religion that conforms to the principles enunciated in the book is the religion of *ahimsā* as upheld by the Jainas. It is maintained by a well-known Tamil scholar living, that the work is a faithful translation of the *Dharma-sāstra* by Bōdhāyana. Though very many Sanskrit words are found in this work and though from among the traditional doctrines some are also treated therein, still it would not be accurate to maintain that it is merely an echo of what appeared in the Sanskrit literature because many of these doctrines are re-interpreted and re-emphasised in the light of the *ahimsā* doctrine. It is enough to mention only two points. This *Bōdhāyana-Dharma-sāstra*, since it is based upon the traditional *varṇāśrama*, keeps to the traditional four castes and their duties¹. According to this conception of *dharma*, cultivation of the land is left to the last class of *śūdras* and would certainly be *infra dig* for the upper classes to have any-

1 Cf eg *Baudhāyana-Dharma-sūtra* (Kashī Sanskrit Series, No. 104, Benaras, 1934) 1st *prasna*, 16th *khaṇḍa*, 1st *sūtra* 'Cat-vārō varṇā brāhmaṇa-kṣatriya-vaṣṭu-śūdrāḥ'.

thing to do with agriculture¹. The author of the *Kuṛaḷ*, on the other hand, probably because of the fact that he is one of the *vēṭṭāḷa* or the agricultural class of the land, placed agriculture first among the professions. For he says, "living par excellence is living by tilling the land and every other mode of life is parasitical and hence next to that of the tiller of the soil."² It is too much to swallow that such a doctrine is borrowed from the Sanskrit *Dharma-sāstras*. Another interesting fact mentioned in the *Dharma-sāstras* is the mode of entertaining guests by the householders. Such an entertainment is always associated with killing a fat calf, the chapter on guests in *Bōdhāyana-Dharma-sāstra* gives a list of animals that ought to be killed for the

1 *Dharma-sāstra* authors are not unanimous in assigning specific duties and functions to the four castes. While Manu (*Manu-smṛiti*—Ed. by Vasudeva Sarma, Bombay, 1925, chapter 13) prohibits *brāhmaṇas* and *kṣātrīyas* from cultivation of land which, according to him, is the duty of the *vaiśyas*, Bōdhāyana (*Baudhāyana-Dharma-sūtra*, Kashi Sanskrit Series, No. 104, Benaras, 1934), who also assigns cultivation of land as the task of the *vaiśyas* (1st *praśna*, 18th *khaṇḍa*, 4th *sūtra*: *Vīṣṣi-adhyayana-yajana-dāna-kṛṣi-ānījya-paśupālana-samyuktam karmaṇām vṛddh-jai*), prohibits the *brāhmaṇas* from cultivating lands only if that should come in the way of their Vedic studies (*ibid*, 1st *praśna*, 10th *khaṇḍa*, 31st *sūtra*. *Vēdaḥ kṛṣi-vināśāya kṛṣir-Vēda-vināśini* ! *Śaktimān-ubhayaṁ kuryād-aśaktas-tu kṛṣim tyajēt* ").

2. The relevant *kuṛaḷ* reads :

*uḷud-uṇḍu vāḷvārē vāḷvār maṟṟ-ellān-
toḷud-uṇḍu piṇ-śelbavar* (2-104-3).

"Who ploughing eat their food they truly live;
The rest to others bend subservient, eating what they
give". (G. U. Pope).

purpose of entertaining guests.¹ This is a necessary part of *dharma* and that violation of it will entail curse from the guests is the firm belief of those who accept Vedic ritualism as religion. A cursory glance at the corresponding chapter² in the *Kuṛaḷ* will convince any reader that *dharma* here means quite a different thing from what it means in the *Dharma-sāstras* of the Hindus. Hence we have to reject this suggestion that the work represents merely a translation of the *Dharma-sāstras* for the benefit of the Tamil reading public.

Turning to circumstantial evidence, we have to note the following facts. The Jaina commentator of the Tamil work called *Nīlakēṣi* freely quotes from this *Kuṛaḷ*; and whenever he quotes he introduces the quotation with the words “as is mentioned in our scripture.”³ From this it is clear that the commentator considered this work as an important Jaina scripture in Tamil. Secondly, the same implication is found in a non-Jaina Tamil work called *Prabōdhacandrōdaya*⁴. This Tamil work is evidently modelled

1. *Baudhāyana-Dharma-sūtra*, 3rd *praśna*, 3rd *khaṇḍa*, 6th *sūtra*.

2. *Tirukkūṇḍaḷ*, *Aṟattuppāl*, chapter 9, verses 1-10

3. The expression used by the commentator, *emm-ōttu*, is rendered into English by M. S. Ramaswamy Ayyangar (*op. cit.*, pp 42-43) as ‘Our own Bible’.

4. The following are the editions of this work :—

(1) *Prabōdhacandrōdayam*—Ed by Arumugaswamiḷal, 1876;

after the Sanskrit drama *Prabōdhacandrōdaya*. This Tamil work is in *viruttam* metre, consisting of four lines. It is also in the form of a drama where the representatives of the various religions are introduced on the stage. Each one is introduced while reciting a characteristic verse containing the essence of his religion. When the Jaina *sanyāsi* appears on the stage, he is made to recite that particular verse¹ from the *Kuṟaḷ* which praises the *ahiṃsā* doctrine that “not killing a single life for the purpose of eating is far better than performing 1000 *yāgas*.” It will not be far wrong to suggest that in the eyes of this dramatist the *Kuṟaḷ* was characteristically a Jaina work. Otherwise he would not have put this verse in the mouth of the *nigantavādi*. This much is enough. We may end this discussion by saying that this great ethical work is specially composed for the purpose of inculcating the principle of *ahiṃsā* in all its multifarious aspects, probably by a great Jaina scholar of eminence about the first century of the Christian era.²

This great ethical work, which contains the essence of Tamil wisdom, consists of three parts and of 133 chapters. Each chapter contains 10 verses.

(11) *Prabōdhacandrōdayam*—Ed. by Subbarayaswamiḡal, Ramanuja Mudaliar and Venkataramayyar, Madras, 1898

1. See, above, p. 34, footnote 1

2. S Varyapuri Pillai (*History of Tamil Language and Literature*, 1956, pp. 81-85) is of the view that Tīruvaḷḷuvar lived about 600 A.D.

Thus we have 1330 verses in the form of couplets. It has three or four important commentaries. Of these, one is by the great commentator Naccinārkkiniyar. It is supposed to be by a Jaina according to the Jaina tradition, but is unfortunately lost to the world. The commentary that is popular at present is by one Parimēlaḷagar and it is certainly later than Naccinārkkiniyar's and differs from the latter in the interpretation of many important points. Recently another commentary by Maṇakkuḍavar was published. Students of Tamil literature entertain the hope of obtaining and publishing the commentary by the great Naccinārkkiniyar. But up to the present there has not been any trace of it.

The work is translated into almost all the European languages, the very good English translation being the work of Rev. G. U. Pope. This great work, together with the other work *Nālaḍiyār*, of which we shall speak presently, must have been one of the important factors in shaping the character and ideals of the Tamil people. Speaking of these two ethical masterpieces, Dr. Pope writes¹ as follows :— “Yet pervading these verses there seems to me to be a strong sense of moral obligation, an earnest aspiration after righteousness, a fervant and unselfish charity and generally a loftiness of aim that are very impressive. I have felt sometimes as if there must be a blessing in

1. G. U. Pope: *The Nālaḍiyār or the Four Hundred Quatrains in Tamil* (1883), General Introduction, p xi.

store for a people that delight so utterly in composition thus remarkably expressive of a hunger and thirst after righteousness. They are the foremost among the peoples of India, and the *Kuṛaḷ* and *Nāḷaḍiyār* have helped to make them so."

Let us turn our attention to the last mentioned work *Nāḷaḍiyār*¹. *Kuṛaḷ* and *Nāḷaḍiyār* serve as mutual commentaries and together 'throw a flood of light upon the whole ethical and social philosophy of the Tamil people.'² *Nāḷaḍiyār* derives its name from the nature of the metre, just as the *Kuṛaḷ*. *Nāḷaḍiyār* means a quatrain or 4 lines in *veṇbā* metre. The work consists of 400 quatrains and is also called the *Vēṇāḷar-vēdam*,

- 1 The following are the editions of *Nāḷaḍiyār* -

(i) *Nāḷaḍiyār*, text—Ed. by U Pushparatha Chettiyar, Madras, 1869;

(ii) *Nāḷaḍiyār* (*Nāḷaḍi-nāṇṇūṟu*), text and commentary—Ed. by U Pushparatha Chettiyar, Madras, 1873;

(iii) *Nāḷaḍiyār*, text and commentary—Ed. by Maunaguru Rudramurthi, 1883;

(iv) *Nāḷaḍiyār*, text and explanatory notes, Madras, 1885;

(v) *Nāḷaḍiyār*, text—Ed. by A Ramaswamigal, Madras, 1886,

(vi) *Nāḷaḍiyār*, text, commentary and English translation, Madras, 1892;

(vii) *The Nāḷaḍiyār or The Four Hundred Quatrains in Tamil*—Ed. with English translation by G U Pope, Oxford, 1893.

- 2 G. U. Pope. *The Nāḷaḍiyār or The Four Hundred Quatrains in Tamil*, Oxford, 1893, General Introduction, p xi.

the Bible of the cultivators.¹ It is not the work of a single author. Tradition supposes that each verse is composed by a separate Jaina monk. The current tradition is briefly this.² Once upon a time 8000 Jaina ascetics, driven by famine in the north, migrated to to the Pāṇḍyan country whose king supported them. When the period of famine was over they wanted to return to their country, while the king desired to retain these scholars at his court. At last the ascetics resolved to depart secretly without the knowledge of the king. Thus they left in a body one night. In the next morning it was found that each had left on his seat a palm leaf containing a quatrain. The king ordered them to be thrown into the river Vaigai, when it was found that some of the palm leaves were seen swimming up the river against the current and came to the bank. These were collected by the order of the king and this collection is known by the name *Nālaḍiyāi*. We are not in a position to estimate the amount of historical truth contained in this tradition. We have to connect these 8000 Jaina ascetics with the followers of Bhadrabāhu who migrated to the south on account of the 12 years famine in northern India ; and this would place the composition of this work somewhere about the 3rd century B.C. We cannot dogmatise upon it. All that we can say, with a certain amount of certainty, is that it is one of the earliest didactic works in the Tamil language and is probably of the same age or slightly

1. See G. U. Pope *Ibid*, p. vii

2. G. U. Pope *Ibid*, p. viii, M. S. Ramaswami Ayyangar : *op. cit*, pp. 56-67

earlier than the *Kuṛaḷ*. The 400 isolated stanzas are arranged according to a certain plan after the model of the *Kuṛaḷ*. Each chapter consists of 10 stanzas. The first part on *aṟam* i.e., *dharma*, consists of 13 chapters and 130 quatrains. The second section on *poruḷ* i.e., *artha*, contains 26 chapters and 260 quatrains; and the 3rd chapter on 'love' contains 10 quatrains. Thus 400 quatrains are arranged into 3 sections. This arrangement is attributed by one tradition to the Pāṇḍya king, Ugraperuvaḷudi,¹ and by another tradition to the Jaina scholar named Padumaṇār.² Of the 18 didactic works³ in the Tamil language *Kuṛaḷ* and *Nālaḍiyār* are considered to be the most important. The moral principles enunciated in this work are accepted by all classes without any difference of caste or religion. The traditional course of Tamil study necessarily involves the study of these two works.

1. According to tradition only *Aganāṇūru* and not *Nālaḍiyār* was caused to be compiled by Ugraperuvaḷudi. See P T Srinivasa Iyengar . *op cit* , p 156 , M Rajamanickanar . *op cit* , p 129. On the other hand, M. S Purnalingam Pillai (*Tamil Literature*, 1929, p 68) speaks of a tradition according to which *Nālaḍiyār* was compiled under the auspices of the *Saṅgam* established by Vajra-nandi, a Jaina, at Madurai, in about 450 A D The origin of this tradition, obviously quite a late one, must be attributed to the confusion which characterises the legend of the *Saṅgams*

2 G U Pope *The Nālaḍiyār or The Four Hundred Quatrains in Tamil*, Oxford, 1893, General Introduction, p. ix ; V. R. Ramachandra Dikshitar *op cit* , pp. 38-39

3 i.e. 'Padinen-kīḷkkanakku, which is generally rendered into English as 'The eighteen minor poems' For a list of the 18 works and their authors, see M S Purnalingam Pillai . *op cit* , p 68

None is entitled to be called a Tamiḷ scholar unless he is thorough with these two great works.

On account of the word *Muttaraiyar* which occurs¹ in one or two quatrains, it is contended by some scholars that the work must be brought down to this side of the 8th century. They take their stand on the fact that this word *Muttaraiyar* refers to a minor chief within the Pallava empire.² This conclusion is entirely resting upon a meagre philological evidence of this single word. There is no further evidence to connect this chieftain with the Jaina ascetics who were no doubt responsible for the composition of the quatrains. On the other hand, the word *Muttaraiyar* may very well be interpreted as "King of pearls" referring to the Pāṇḍya kings. It is a well-known fact of ancient history that pearl-fishery was an important industry of the Pāṇḍyan country, and that pearls were exported to foreign countries from the Pāṇḍyan ports. It is but fitting and natural that the Jaina *munis* should pay a glowing compliment to their patron belonging to the Pāṇḍya dynasty. There is another line of argument

1. The references to *Muttaraiyar* occur in stanzas 200 and 296 of the *Nāḷadiyār*

2. S. Varyapuri Pillai (*History of Tamil Language and Literature*, 1956, p 19), for instance, says 'The Muttaraiya family came into prominence only at the beginning of the seventh century and Peru-muttaraiyar referred to was most probably Perum-bīḍugu-muttaraiya, the feudatory of Paramēśvaravarman Pallava I who had the title *perum-bīḍugu* and who flourished in the middle of the seventh century'

which tries to bring the age of this work to the later period of the Christian era. Scholars are of opinion that several stanzas in this work are but the echo of the Sanskrit work by Bhartṛhari. Bhartṛhari's *Niṭisataka* was composed about 650 A. D. and, therefore, *Nālaḍiyār* is supposed to be later than the 7th century A.D. This argument must also be rejected, because the Jaina scholars who are experts in both the languages, viz., Tamil and Sanskrit, were probably acquainted with certain old Sanskrit sayings that were perhaps incorporated by Bhartṛhari in his work. Even if you maintain that the Jaina ascetics responsible for the *Nālaḍiyār* were probably members of the Drāviḍa *Saṅgha* presided over by Śrī Kundakundācārya, the work could not be assigned to a period later than the first century A.D. It is relevant to mention, in this connection, that quatrains from this *Nālaḍiyār* are found quoted in the well-known commentaries in Tamil language from very early times. Besides these two great works, several others (such as *Aṅaneṇiccāram* 'the essence of the way of virtue', *Paḷamoḷi*, 'Proverbs', *Elādi*, etc.) included in the 18 didactic works, probably owe their origin to Jaina authors. Of these we may notice a few in short.

1. *Aṅaneṇiccāram*¹—'The essence of the way of virtue' is composed by a Jaina author by name

1 Most of the lists of *Padineṇ-kīḷkkaṇakku* works do not include *Aṅaneṇiccāram*. This is generally accepted to be the work of a Jaina poet, *Tirumūṇaippāḍiyār*, who is assigned by some (Contd.)

Tirumugappāḍiyār. He is said to have flourished in the last *Saivam* period. He describes in this great work five moral principles, associated with Jainism, though common to the other religions in the south. These principles go by the name of *pañca-vratas*, the five rules of conduct governing the householder as well as the ascetic. These are *ahiṃsa* (non-killing), *satya* (truth-speaking), *aśteya* (non-stealing), *brahmacarya* and *parimita-parivraha* (avoiding unnecessary luxury and paraphernalia and limiting oneself to the bare necessities of life). These constitute the five-fold principle of ethereal conduct, and they are enunciated in this work, called *Aṅgagrāharam*:

quatrain of *veṇḇā* metre like the *Nālaḍiyār*. It consists of valuable old sayings containing not merely principles of conduct, but also a good deal of worldly wisdom. It is assigned a third place in the enumeration of the 18 didactic works which begins with the *Kuraḷ* and the *Nālaḍiyār*.

3. Another work belonging to this group of 18 is *Tīṇamālai-nūṟṟaṇṇambadu*¹ by Kaṇimēdayār. This Jaina author is also said to be one of the *San̄gam* poets. This work treats of the principles of love and war and is quoted freely by the great commentators of the later age. Stanzas from this work are found quoted by Naccinārkkiniyar and others.

4. Another work of this group is *Nāṇmaṇikkāḍigai*, 'the solver of the four gems', by the Jaina author by name Vīlambināthar. This is also in the *veṇḇā* metre well-known in the other works. Each stanza deals with four important moral principles like jewels; and hence the name *Nāṇmaṇikkāḍigai*.

moḷi is largely indebted to the *Nālaḍiyār* and other works and was probably composed in the 8th century A D. See S Vairapuri Pillai, *History of Tamil Language and Literature* (1956), p. 90, M S Ramaswamy Ayyangar *op cit*, pp. 92-93.

1 *Tīṇamālai-nūṟṟaṇṇambadu* contains 155 stanzas in *veṇḇā* metre. This work has set the pattern for many a later work on the love theme. In this work Kaṇimēdayār devotes 31 songs each for the five *tīṇais*, viz., *kuṟṟiṇṇi*, *neydal*, *pālai*, *mullai* and *maṇḍam*. Like his other work *Ēḷādi*, *Tīṇamālai-nūṟṟaṇṇambadu* also belongs to the *kiḷḷkanakku* group. See T V Sadasiva Pandarathar, *A History of Tamil Literature* (250-600 A D), Annamalainagar, (1957), p. 67.

5. Next *Ēlādi*, 'Cardamom and others'. The name *Ēlādi* refers to the mixture of the perfumes of *ēla*, (cardamom), *kaipūram* (camphor), *erikaiaṣu* (the odorous wood), *candanam* (sandal) and *tēṇ* (honey). The name is given to this work because each quatrain is supposed to contain five or six such fragrant topics. The work is of Jaina origin, and the author's name is Kaṇimēdaiyār¹ whose knowledge is appreciated by all. It is also one of the 18 lesser classics of *Saṅgam* literature. Nothing is known of the author except that he is said to be a disciple of Mākkāyaṇār², son of Tamiḷāṣiriyar, a member of the Madurai *Saṅgam*. Though these works are usually included in the general group of the 18 minor classics³, it should not be assumed that they

1. See above, p. 46 and note 1

2. Another Jaina disciple of Mākkāyaṇār, Mākkāriyāśāṇ by name, is the author of *Śirupaṇcamūlam*, yet another *kīḷkkanakku* work. The name of the work is derived from the five (*pañca*) medicinal roots *kandankatturi*, *siṟuvaḷutunai*, *śirumalli*, *perumalli* and *neruṇṇi*. *Śirupaṇcamūlam* contains in all 104 songs in *venbā* metre, each song dealing with five essentials for human living. That this Jaina author was a disciple of Mākkāyaṇār is known from the *pāyirappādal* at the end of the work (*Mākkāyaṇ mānākkāṇ Mākkāriyāśāṇ Śirupaṇcamūlamseydāṇ*). See T V Sadasiva Pandarathar *op cit*, pp. 49-52.

3. *Amtinai-eḷubadu* is another *kīḷkkanakku* work which set the trend for all later works which have *agapporuḷ* for their main theme, each of the five *tinais* of this work consists of 70 stanzas called *pā* and hence the suffix *eḷubadu* (70). Only 64 poems are now extant, the rest being probably lost. Though this work commences with an invocation to the Elephant-faced god, i.e., Vināyaka, some scholars are of the opinion that its author, Mūvādiyār, was a Jaina by faith. He lived probably in the 5th century A.D. See T V Sadasiva Pandarathar, *op cit*, pp. 65-66.

all belong to the same century. They must be spread over several centuries; and the only thing that we may assert with a certain amount of certainty is that they all belong to the pre-revivalistic period of the Hindu religion in the south. Hence they must be assigned to the period before the 7th century A. D.

Next we turn to *kāvya* literature. *Kāvya* literature is generally divided into 2 groups: major *kāryas* and the minor *kāryas*. The major *kāryas* are five in number:¹ *Cintāmaṇi*, *Śilappadikāram*, *Maṇimēkalai*, *Vaḷaiyāpati* and *Kuṇḍalakēśi*. Of these five, *Cintāmaṇi*, *Śilappadikāram* and *Vaḷaiyāpati* are by Jaina authors and the other two by Buddhistic scholars. Of these five, only three are available now, because *Vaḷaiyāpati* and *Kuṇḍalakēśi* are almost completely lost to the world. Except a few stanzas quoted here and there by commentators, nothing is known of these works. From the stray stanzas available, it is evident that *Vaḷaiyāpati* was composed by a Jaina author²: what the frame-work of

1. They are generally referred to in Tamil as *Aimperuṅ-kāppiyāṅgaḷ*.

2. The following additional information pertaining to *Vaḷaiyāpati* is provided by S. Vaiyapuri Pillai (*A History of Tamil Language and Literature*, 1956, pp. 160-61): 'The *Vaḷaiyāpati* has, except for a few citations, completely disappeared. Even the story of the poem is not known. A later *Purāṇa* in Tamil, *Vaiśī,apurāṇam*, gives a story, purporting to be the theme of the *Vaḷaiyāpati* wherein Kāḷī is made the supreme goddess. But this is impossible. From its stanzas cited by ancient commentators, we might infer that its author was a Jain. There cannot be any

the story was, who the author was, and when he lived are all matters of mere conjecture. Similarly, in the case of *Kuṇḍalakēṣi*, the Buddhistic work, nothing is known about the author or his time. From the stanzas quoted in the work of *Nīlakēṣi* it is clear that *Kuṇḍalakēṣi* was a work of philosophical controversy, trying to establish the Bauddha *darśana* by refuting the other *darśanas* such as the Vedic and the Jaina *darśanas*. Unfortunately, there is no hope to recover these two great *kāvyas*. Only the other three are now available, thanks to the labours of the eminent Tamil scholar Dr. V Swaminatha Ayyar. Though in the enumeration of the *kāvyas*, *Cintāmāṇi* occupies the place of honour, because of the unopposed literary eminence of the classic, it could not be supposed that the enumeration is based upon any historical succession. Probably, the two lost works *Vaḷaiyāpati* and *Kuṇḍalakēṣi* deserve to be considered as historically earlier than the others, but since nothing is known about these works, we can-

reasonable doubt that this was a Jaina *kāvya*. Some 66 stanzas from it are included in the *Purattuaṭṭu*. Two other stanzas are found in the commentary of *Yāppai ungalam* and we might surmise that some of the stanzas occurring in the commentary of *Śilappadikāram* belong to this work. The commentary on *Takkayāgapparan* says that the poet Oṭtakkūttar thought highly of *Vaḷaiyāpati* for its poetic beauty. It is interesting to note that this work also, like the *Śilappadikāram*, the *Manimēkalai* and the *Cintāman*, has incorporated a *kuṟal* in one of its stanzas. Being one of the earliest works in the *viruttam* metre, we may be justified in ascribing it to the first half of the tenth century.

not speak with any certainty. Of the remaining three, tradition makes *Śilappadikāram* and *Maṇimēkalai* contemporary works whereas *Cintāmaṇi* is probably a later one. *Maṇimēkalai*, being a Buddhistic work, cannot be brought in our review, though the story is connected with that of *Śilappadikāram*, which is distinctly a Jaina work.

*Śilappadikāram*¹, 'the epic of the anklet' is a very important Tamil classic, in as much as it is considered to serve as a land-mark for the chronology of Tamil literature. Its author is the Cēra prince, who became a Jaina ascetic, by name Ilaṅgōvaḍigaḷ. This great work is taken as an authority for literary usage and is quoted as such by the later commentators. It is associated with a great mercantile family in the city of Puhār, Kāvēripūmpaṭṭiṇam, which was the capital of the Cōḷa empire. The heroine Kaṇṇakī was from this mercantile family and was famous for her chastity and

1 The following are the editions of the *Śilappadikāram*·

(i) *Śilappadikāram*, *Puhār-kkāṇḍam*—Ed by T. E. Srinivasaraghavachari, 1872;

(ii) *Śilappadikāram*, text and Aḍiyārkkū-Nallār's commentary—Ed. by V Swaminatha Aiyar, Madras, 1892,

(iii) *Śilappadikāram*, text with *Arumpadavurai* and Aḍiyārkkū-Nallār's commentary—Ed by V Swaminatha Aiyar, Madras, 1920,

(iv) *The Śilappadikāram or The Lay of the Anklet*, translated with Introduction and notes by V. R. Ramachandra Dikshitar, Madras, 1939,

(v) *Śilappadikāram*, text with Venkataswamy Nattar's commentary, Madras, 1942, 1947, 1950 and 1953

devotion to her husband. Since the story is associated with the attempt to sell the anklet or *Śilambu* in Madurai, the capital of the Pāṇḍyan kingdom and the consequent tragedy, the work is called the epic of the anklet or *Śilambu*. Since the three great kingdoms are involved in this story, the author who is a Cēra prince elaborately describes all the three great capitals Puhār, Madurai and Vañji, the last being the capital of the Cēra empire.

The author of this work, *lāṅgōvaḍigaḷ*, was the younger son of the Cēra king Cēralādaṇ whose capital was Vañji. *lāṅgōvaḍigaḷ* was the younger brother of *Seṅguṭṭuvaṇ*, the ruling king after Cēralādaṇ. Hence the name *lāṅgō*, the younger prince. After he became an ascetic he was called *lāṅgōvaḍigaḷ*, the term *aḍigaḷ* being an honorific term referring to an ascetic. One day when this ascetic prince was in the temple of Jina situated at Vañji, the capital, some members of the hill tribe went to him and narrated to him the strange vision which they had witnessed relating to the heroine Kaṇṇakī. How they had witnessed on the hill a lady who had lost one of her breasts, how Indra appeared before her, how her husband Kōvalaṇ was introduced to her as a *dēva*, and how finally Indra carried both of them in a divine chariot: all these were narrated to the Cēra prince in the presence of his friend and poet Kūlavāṇigaṇ Śāttāṇ, the renowned author of *Maṇimēkalai*. This friend narrated the full story of the hero and the heroine which was listened to

with interest by the royal ascetic¹. The story narrated by Śāttan contained three important and valuable truths in which the royal ascetic took great interest. First, if a king deviates from the path of righteousness even to a slight extent, he will bring down upon himself and his kingdom a catastrophe as a proof of his inequity ; secondly, a woman walking the path of chastity is deserving of adoration and worship not only by human beings but also by *dēvas* and *munis* ; and thirdly, the working of *karma* is such that there is an inevitable fatality from which no one can escape, and the fruits of one's previous *karma* must necessarily be experienced in a later period. In order to illustrate these three eternal truths the royal prince undertook the task of composing this story for the benefit of mankind.

In this classic called *Śilappadikāram* or the epic of the anklet, the first scene is laid in Puhār,² the Cōḷa capital. This was evidently an important port at the mouth of the Cauvery, and it was the capital of the Cōḷa king Karikāla.³ Being an important commercial centre, several great commercial houses were situated in the capital. Of these there was one Māsattuvaṇ, a merchant prince belonging to this noble family of

1. *Śilappadikāram, Padigam*. [This and the following references to this work are to be referred to V Swaminatha Aiyar's edition (1892) and V R Ramachandra Dikshitar's translation (1939)]

2. *Ibid*, *Puhār-kkāṇḍam*

3. P T. Srinivasa Iyengar : *op cit*, pp. 224, 376.

commercial magnates. His son was Kōvalaṇ, the hero of our story. He was married to Kaṇṇaki, the daughter of another commercial magnate of the same city whose name was Mā Nāyakaṇ.¹ Kōvalaṇ and his wife Kaṇṇaki set up an independent home on a grand scale befitting their social status and were living happily for some time in conformity with the rules and conduct associated with the householders. Their happiness consisted in lavish hospitality to all the deserving ones among the householders as well as the ascetics.²

While they were thus spending their life happily, Kōvalaṇ once met a very beautiful and accomplished dancing girl by name Mādhavi. He fell in love with the actress³ who reciprocated it; and therefore he spent most of his time in the company of Mādhavi, to the great grief of his wedded wife Kaṇṇaki. In this erotic extravagance, he practically spent all his wealth; but Kaṇṇaki never expressed her grief, and she was quite devoted to him as she was in the beginning of her wedded life. There was the Indra festival celebrated as usual.⁴ Kōvalaṇ with his mistress also went to the sea-shore to take part in the festival.⁵ While they were

1 *Śilappadikāram*, Canto 1 *Mangala-vāṭṭu-ppādal*, 'The song of benediction'.

2 *Ibid*, Canto 2 *Maṇṇiyāṇṇampadutta-kāḍai*, 'Setting up home'.

3 *Ibid*, Canto 3, *Aṇṇēṇṇu-kāḍai*, 'The debut'.

4 *Ibid*, Canto 5 *Indira-viṭṭāṇ-ūredutta-kāḍai*, 'The celebration of Indra's festival'.

5 *Ibid*, Canto 6 *Kaḍal-ādu-kāḍai*, 'Sea bathing'.

seated in one corner, Kōvalaṇ took out the *viṇā* from the hands of Mādhavī and began to play some fine tunes of love. Mādhavī gently suspected that his attachment to her was waning. But when she took the *viṇā* from his hand and began to play her own tunes, that aroused his suspicion that she was secretly attached to some other person.¹ This mutual suspicion resulted in a break-off, and Kōvalaṇ returned home in a state of complete poverty with a noble resolution of starting life again as an honourable householder. His chaste wife, instead of rebuking him for his past waywardness, consoled him with that kindness characteristic of a chaste wife and encouraged him in his resolve to start life again by reviving his business. He was practically penniless, since he had lost everything when he was associated with his mistress Mādhavī. But his wife had two anklets still remaining. She was willing to part with these if he would care to sell these and have the sale proceeds as the capital for reviving his business. But he was not willing to stay in his own capital any longer. Hence he decided to go to the Pāṇḍyan capital, Madurai, for the purpose of disposing off these anklets. Without the knowledge of anybody, he left the Cōḷa capital the same night accompanied by his wife and started for Madurai². On his way he reached an *āśrama* of the Jaina ascetics on the northern banks of the Cauvery. In that *āśrama* he met the female ascetic Kaundhi who was quite willing to

1, *Ibid.*, Canto 7 : *Kāṇaḷvari*, The sea shore song'

2. *Ibid* , Canto 9 : *Kaṇṭṭīram-uranta-kādai*, 'The tale of the dream'.

accompany these two, in order that she might have the opportunity of meeting the great Jaina *ācāryas* in the Pāṇḍyan capital of Madurai. These three continued their march towards Madurai when, after crossing the Cauvery, resting on the banks of a tank, Kōvalaṇ and his wife were insulted by a wicked fellow who was wandering there with his equally wicked mistress. This provoked their ascetic friend Kaundhi who cursed these two creatures to become jackals. But after the earnest requests of Kōvalaṇ and Kaṇṇaki the curse was revoked that they would resume their normal human form in a year.¹

After undergoing the troubles of the tedious journey, they reached the outskirts of Madurai, the Pāṇḍyan capital². Leaving his wife Kaṇṇaki in the company and charge of Kaundhi, Kōvalaṇ entered the city for the purpose of ascertaining the proper place where he could begin his business³. While Kōvalaṇ was spending his time in the city with his friend Mādalaṇ-Kaundhi wanted to leave Kaṇṇaki in the house of Mādhari, a good natured shepherdess of that locality.⁴ When Kōvalaṇ returned from the city, he and his wife were taken to Āyarpāḍi and were lodged in the shepherdess' house. Her daughter was placed at the service

1 *Ibid*, Canto 10. *Nāḍu-kāṇ-kāḍai*, 'The sight of the kingdom'.

2. Here starts the second part of the work, viz, *Madurai-kkāṇḍam*.

3 *Ibid*, Canto 14. *Ur-kāṇ-kāḍai*, 'Seeing the City'.

4. *Ibid.*, Canto 15. *Aḍakkala-kkāḍai*, 'The Haven'.

of Kaṇṇaki who and her husband were the honoured guests in that Āyarpāḍi. After feeling sorry for the troubles and privations, Kōvalaṇ took leave of his wife and returned to the city for the purpose of selling one of the anklets. When he entered the principal market street he met a goldsmith. He spotted him out as a goldsmith patronised by the king and told him that he had an anklet worthy of being worn by the queen and wanted him to estimate the value of the same. The goldsmith wanted to see the value of the anklet which was accordingly delivered by the owner. The wicked goldsmith thought within himself of deceiving Kōvalaṇ, asked him to wait in a house next to his own and promised to strike a very good bargain with the king, for the anklet was so valuable that only the queen could offer the price of it. Thus leaving poor Kōvalaṇ alone he took the anklet to the king where he misrepresented facts reporting that Kōvalaṇ was a thief having in possession one of the queen's anklets which was stolen from the palace a few days before. The king without further enquiry issued orders that the thief must be killed and the anklet must be recovered at once. The wicked goldsmith returned with the king's officers who carried out the orders of the foolish king to the very letter ; and thus Kōvalaṇ had to end his life, while attempting to start life again, in the foreign country¹. In the meanwhile Kaṇṇaki who resided in the shepherdess' quarters had observed several evil omens prophetic of the great calamity awaiting her.

¹ *Ibid.*, Canto 16 *Kolaikkāḷa-kkāḍai*, 'The place of execution'

When Mādhari, the shepherdess, went to bathe in the Vaigai river, she learnt from a shepherdess returning from the city the fate of Kōvalaṇ who was killed by the command of the king on a charge of theft of the queen's anklet. When this was reported to Kaṇṇaki, she, in a rage entered the city with her other anklet in hand in order to vindicate the innocence of her husband before the king¹. Reaching the palace Kaṇṇaki intimated through the sentinel that she wanted to have an interview with the king in order to vindicate the innocence of her husband who was cruelly put to death without proper enquiry. She demonstrated before the king that her anklet taken from her husband as the stolen one contained gems inside whereas the queen's anklet contained pearls inside. When this fact was demonstrated to the king by breaking open Kaṇṇaki's anklet, the king realised the immensity of his blunder in cruelly putting to death an innocent member of a noble family of merchants. He cried that he was foolishly led into this blunder by the wicked goldsmith, fell down unconscious from his royal throne and lost his life immediately². After vindicating the innocence of her husband, Kaṇṇaki, with unabated rage and anger, cursed the whole city of Madurai that it should be consumed by fire and tore off her left breast and cast it away over the city with her curse. The curse

1 *Ibid*, Cantos 18-19. *Tuṇba-mālai*, 'The garland of sorrow' and *Uṣūṣvari-kāḍai*, 'The talk of the town'

2 *Ibid*, Canto 20 *Vaṣakkuraṭ-kāḍai*, 'The demand for justice'.

took effect and the city was burnt to ashes¹. Having learnt from the Goddess of Madurai that all this was but the inevitable result of her past *karma* and being consoled by the fact that she would meet her husband as a *dēva* in a fortnight, Kaṇṇaki left Madurai and went westwards towards Malaināḍu. Ascending the hill called Tiruccēṅṅaṇṇam she waited under the shade of a *vēṅgai* tree for fourteen days when she met her husband Kōvalaṇ in the form of a *dēva* who took her in a *vimāna* to *svarga*, while being adored by the *dēvas* themselves.² Thus ends the second chapter called *Madurai-kkāṇḍam*.

Next is the third part of the work called *Vaṅji-kkāṇḍam* relating to the Cēra capital Vaṅji. The members of the hill tribe, who witnessed this great scene of Kaṇṇaki being carried by her husband in the divine chariot, celebrated this event in their hamlet, in the form of *kuravai-kūttu*, evidently a form of inspired folk-dance. Then these hunters wanted to narrate this wonder to their king Śēṅguṭṭuvaṇ and they marched towards the capital, each carrying a present to the king. There they met the Cēra king Śēṅguṭṭuvaṇ who was with his queen and his younger brother in the midst of his four-fold army. When the king heard this narration as to how Kōvalaṇ was killed in Madurai, how the city was consumed by fire by the curse of Kaṇṇaki and how the Pāṇḍyan king lost his

1. *Ibid.*, Cantos 21-22: *Vaṅjina-mālai*, 'The great wail' and *Aḷarpaḍu-kāḍai*, 'The conflagration'.

2. *Ibid.*, Canto 23: *Kaṭṭavai-kāḍai*, 'The Explanation'.

life, he was very greatly impressed by the greatness and chastity of Kaṇṇaki. As desired by his queen, he wanted to build a temple for this Goddess of chastity.¹ With this object in view he set out with his ministers and army towards the Himālayas for the purpose of bringing a rock to be sculptured into the idol of Kaṇṇaki to establish it within the temple built in her name. There he met with the opposition of the several Āryan princes who were defeated by this Cēra king and were brought as captives back to the Cēra capital. There he had the temple built in the name of Kaṇṇaki and celebrated the *pratishṭhā-mahōtsava* according to which the idol of Kaṇṇaki, the Goddess of chastity, was placed in the temple for the purpose of worship.² In the meanwhile, the parents of both Kōvalaṇ and Kaṇṇaki, learning the fate of their children, renounced their property and became ascetics.³ When the Cēra king Śeṅguṭṭuvaṇ built the temple in honour of the Goddess of chastity, several kings of Āryāvarta, the Mālava king, Gajabāhu the king of Laṅkā, who were all there at the Cēra capital, decided to build similar temples for Kaṇṇaki at their own capitals and wanted to conduct worship in a similar manner, so that they might also obtain the Goddess of chastity's blessing.⁴

1. *Ibid.*, Cantos 24-25. *Kuṇṇakkuravaṇi*, 'The dance of the hill-maidens' and *Kāṭci-kkāḍai*, 'The decision to march north'

2. *Ibid.*, Canto 26-28. *Kāḷkōṭ-kāḍai*, 'Bringing the stone', *Nirppaḍai-kkāḍai*, 'Bathing the stone' and *Naḍu-kaṭ-kāḍai*, 'The consecration'.

3. *Ibid.*, Canto 29. *Vāḷttu-kkāḍai*, 'The Blessing'

4. *Ibid.*, Canto 30. *Varantaru-kkāḍai*, 'The Boon'

Thus arose the Kaṇṇaki worship which brought all prosperity and plenty to the worshippers. Thus ends the story of *Śilappadikāram*.

It consists of three great divisions and 30 chapters on the whole. The great work has a very valuable commentary by one Aḍiyārkkunallār¹. Nothing definite is known about this commentator. Since he is referred to by Naccinārkkiniyar, another commentator of a later period, all that we can say is that he is of a period earlier than Naccinārkkiniyar's. That he must have been a very great scholar is evident from his valuable commentary on this work. That he was well versed in the principles of music, dance and drama is very well borne out by the elaborate details given by him in his commentary elucidating the text relating to those topics. This work, the epic of the anklets, contains a lot of historical information interesting to the students of South Indian history. From the time of Kanakasabhai Pillai, the author of the *The Tamils 1800 years ago* up to the present day, this work has been the source of information and guidance to the research students in the Tamil land. The information that Gajabāhu, the king of Ceylon, was one of the royal visitors to the Vañji capital² is emphasised as an important point for determining the chronology

1 For additional information on this accomplished commentator, see *The Śilappadikāram* (Madars, 1939), V R Ramachandra Dikshitar's Introduction to his translation, pp 71-73

2 *Śilappadikāram*, Canto 30 *Vaiṇṭan-kāḍai* text line 153, *The Śilappadikāram* (V R Ramachandra Dikshitar's Translation), p. 343.

of the work. This Gajabāhu is assigned to the 2nd century A.D. according to the Buddhistic account contained in *Mahāvamsa*¹. Relying upon this, critics are of opinion that the Cēra king Śeṅguṭṭuvan and his brother Iṅgōvaḍigaḷ must have lived somewhere about 150 A.D. and hence the work must be assigned to that period². All are not agreed on this point, but those who are opposed to this view would bring down the period several centuries later to the period of another Gajabāhu mentioned in the same *Mahāvamsa*³. Mr. Logan in his *Malabar District Manual* states several important points indicating the Jaina influence over the people of the Malabar coast before the introduction of Hinduism. Since we are not directly concerned with the chronology, we may leave that topic to the students of history. In our opinion the view associating this work with the earlier Gajabāhu of the 2nd century is not altogether improbable. But we want to emphasise one important fact

1 *The Mahāvamsa* (Translated by Wijesinha Mudaliar, 1889), part II, p. iv. List of kings. V. R. Ramachandra Dikshitar. *The Śilappadikāram* (Translation, 1939) Introduction, p. 14

2 V. Swaminatha Iyer (ed.) *Śilappadikāram* (1892), Introduction, pp. 10-11. V. R. Ramachandra Dikshitar *The Śilappadikāram* (Translation, 1939), Introduction, pp. 8-10, K. V. Jagannathan *Tamiḷ-ĸḷāppiyangal* (1955), p. 221, M. Rajamanickanar *op cit*, p. 276

3 P. T. Srinivasa Iyengar *op cit*, pp. 602-03. K. N. Sivaraja Pillai *The Chronology of the Early Tamil* (1932), p. 42; S. Vayapuri Pillai *A History of Tamil Language and Literature* (1956), pp. 142-50

Throughout the work we find doctrines relating to *ahiṃsā* expounded and emphasised; and in some places we have reference to the form of temple worship described according to this doctrine. About the time, worship with flowers was prevalent throughout the Tamiḷ land. This is referred to as *pūppali*, that is, *bali* with flowers¹. The term *bali* refers to such sacrificial offering and *pūppali* is interpreted by the commentator as worship of God with flowers.

The Cēra prince is complimented by his *brāhmaṇa* friend Māḍalaṇ as one who introduced the purer form of *pūppali* in temple worship. Incidentally we may mention another interesting fact. There are two terms in early Tamiḷ literature, *andaṇaṇ* and *pārppāṇ*, each with a story behind. It is generally assumed that these two are synonyms. In several places they are probably used as synonyms. When in the same work these two terms are used in slightly different connotations, they must be taken as different. In this epic of the anklet the term *andaṇaṇ* is interpreted² by the commentator to mean *śrāvaka*, the householder among the Jainas. This is a very interesting piece of information. These two terms again occur in the famous *kuṟaḷ* where the term *pārppāṇ*³ is interpreted to mean one

1 *Śilappadikāram. Vaṇji-kkāṇḍam*. Canto 24: *Uraippāṭṭumaḍai*. line 18 : Canto 28 : *Naḍukaṟ-kāḍai*, line 231.

2 Unfortunately. a discrepancy has crept in here. In *Śilappadikāram*. Canto 16, *Kolaikkāḷa-kkāḍai*. line 71 reads-*Aṟavōrkk-aḷittalum-ōmbalum* and the commentator interprets the word *Aṟavōr*. and not *andaṇar*, as *Śāvakā-nōṇbigaḷ*.

3 The *pārppāṇ* and his Vedic lore are mentioned in *kuṟaḷ* 134.

who makes *vēdādhyayana* whereas the term *andaṇaṇ*¹ is defined in a different manner as “as one who is all love and mercy to all the living creatures.” Evidently the term *andaṇaṇ* was conventionally used by early Tamil authors to describe the followers of the *ahiṃsā* doctrine irrespective of birth, while the term *ūṇḍḍṇḍ* was reserved by them to designate the social caste of the *bīḥmaṇas*. This suggestion is worth investigating by scholars interested in the social reconstruction of the early Tamils.

Jivaka-Cintāmaṇi :² This work, the greatest of the five *mahākāvyas*, is undoubtedly ‘the greatest existing Tamil literary monument.’ In grandeur of conception, in elegance of literary diction and in beauty of description of nature it remains unrivalled in Tamil literature. For the later Tamil authors it has been not only a model to follow but an ideal to aspire to. The story is told of Kamban, the author of the great Tamil

- 1 *Kuṇaḷ* 30 which mentions the *andaṇar*, reads as follows :-

*Andaṇar-enbōr-aṭṭaṇ-ōr-marṭṭ-ēv-uyirkkuñ-
Cendaṇmai-pūnd-oḷugal-āṇ*

‘Towards all that breathe, with seemly graciousness
adorned they live .

And thus to virtue’s sons the name of

‘Anthānar’ men give’. - (G U Pope)

- 2 The following are the editions of the *Jivaka-Cintāmaṇi* -
- (i) *Jivaka-Cintāmaṇi*, part I—Ed by Rangaswami Pillai, Madras, 1883 ,
 - (ii) *Jivaka-Cintāmaṇi*, text and commentary—Ed. by V Swaminatha Iyer, Madras. 1887,
 - (iii) *Jivaka-Cintāmaṇi*, 1888

Rāmāyaṇa that when he introduced his *Rāmāyaṇa* before the academy of scholars, when some of the scholars remarked that they discerned traces of *Cintāmaṇi* there, Kamban, characteristic of intellectual courage and honesty, acknowledged his debt with the following words: "Yes, I have sipped a spoonful of the nectar from *Cintāmaṇi*".

This indicates with what veneration the classic was held by the Tamil scholars. 'This greatromantic epic which is at once the Iliad and the Odyssey of the Tamil language' is said¹ to have been composed in the early youth of the poet named Tiruttakkadēva. Nothing is known about the author except his name and that he was born in Mylapore, a suburb of Madras, where the author of the *Kuṇḍaḷ* also lived. The youthful poet together with his master migrated to Madurai, the great capital of the Pāṇḍyan kingdom and a centre of religious activities. With the permission of the teacher the young ascetic-poet got introduced to the members of the Tamil Academy or *San̄gam* at Madurai. While in social conversation with some of the fellow members of the academy, he was reproached by them for the incapacity of producing erotic work in Tamil language. To this he replied that few Jainas

1 V. Swaminatha Iyer (ed). *Jivaka-Cintāmaṇi* (1922), Preface to the 3rd edition. According to the learned editor, this incident involving Kamban was found noted down on an old manuscript copy of *Jivaka-Cintāmaṇi*.

2 For the traditional biographical account of Tiruttakkadēvar, see also M S Ramaswami Ayyangar. *op. cit.*, pp 95-96.

cared to write poetry in *śṛṅgāṭa-rasa*. They could very well do it as well as the others, but the fact that they did not indulge in such literary compositions was merely the result of their dislike of such sensual subjects and not due to literary incapacity. But when his friends taunted whether he could produce one, he accepted the challenge. Returning to his *āśrama* he reported the matter to his master. While himself and his master were seated together there ran a jackal in front of them which was pointed out by the master to his disciple who was asked to compose a few verses relating to the jackal. Immediately Tiruttakkadēva, the disciple, composed verses relating to the jackal, hence called *Nari-viruttam*, illustrating the instability of the body, the ephemeral nature of wealth and such other topics. The master was pleased with the extraordinary poetic ability of his disciple and gave him permission to compose a classic describing the life history of Jivaka. It contained all the various aspects of love and beauty. To mark his consent the master composed an invocatory verse to be used by the disciple as the first verse of his would-be work. Then his disciple Tiruttakkadēva started composing another verse in adoration of Siddha which was accepted by his *guru* as much more beautiful than his own and instructed him to keep this as his first verse while his own was assigned a second place. Thus the verses containing *Siddha-namaskāra* starting with the words *mūvāmudalā* is the first verse in *Jivaka-Cintāmaṇi* while *aḥan-namaskāra* composed by the *guru* beginning with the words *semponvai aimēl*

is assigned the second place in the work. Thus as the result of the challenge from his friendly poet of Madurai *Saṅgam*, the *Cintāmaṇi* was composed by Tiruttakka-dēva to prove that a Jaina author also could produce a work containing *śṛṅgāra-rasa*. It was admitted on all sides that he had succeeded wonderfully well. When the work was produced before the academy, the tradition says, the author was asked by his friends how he, from his childhood pledged to perfect purity and celibacy, could compose a poem exhibiting such unequalled familiarity with sensual pleasures. In order to clear up this doubt it is said he took up a red-hot ball of iron with these words "Let this burn me, if I am not pure"; and it is said he came out of the ordeal unscathed, and his friends apologised to him for casting doubt on the purity of his conduct¹.

Unlike the previous work *Śilappadikāram* which is supposed to deal with the historical events which took place during the life-time of the author, this classic deals with the *purāṇic* story of Jīvaka. The story of Jīvaka is found in Sanskrit literature in plenty. The continuation work of the *Mahāpurāṇam* by Jinasēna, composed by his disciple Guṇabhadra², contains the

1. V. Swaminatha Iyer, who also narrates this tradition in detail in his edition of the *Jīvaka-Cintāmaṇi* (1923), says (Introduction, pp 12-14) that the tradition is current among the Jains of the Tamil country.

2. The *Mahāpurāṇa*, also known as *Triṣaṣṭilakṣaṇa-Mahāpurāṇa*, consists of the *Ādipurāṇa* in fortyseven chapters and the

(Contd)

story of Jivaka in a chapter of *Mahāpurāṇa*. The story is again found in *Śīpurāṇam* which is a prose in *maṇipravāḷa* style, probably a rendering of this *Mahāpurāṇam*. In *Kṣātracūdāmaṇi*, in *Gadyacintāmaṇi*¹ and *Jivandhara-campū*² we have the same story worked out. Whether the author of the Tamil work had any of these Sanskrit works as the basis for his composition we cannot assert with any definiteness³.

Of all these Sanskrit works, *Mahāpurāṇa* is certainly the oldest and we have definite information that it belongs to the 8th century A D , since it was composed by Jinasēna, the spiritual teacher of Amōghavarṣa of the

Uttarapurāṇa The first 42 chapters of the *Ādipurāṇa* are by Jinasēna while the last 5 chapters as also the whole of the *Uttarapurāṇa* are by his disciple Guṇabhadra. Critically edited with Hindi translation and introduction by Pt Pannalal Jain, Sanskrit Grantha Nos 8, 9 and 14, II edn , 1963-68

1 Both the *Kṣātracūdāmaṇi* and *Gadyacintāmaṇi* are by the Digambara Jaina author Oḍeyadēva-Vādībhasiṃha of the beginning of the 11th century (Winternitz . *op cit* , p 535)

2 The Digambara Jaina Haricandra is the author of *Jivandhara-campū*. This work has been edited with Sanskrit commentary, Hindi translation and introduction by Pt Pannalal Jain, Sanskrit Grantha No 18, 1958

3 M S Ramaswami Ayyangar (*op cit* , p 95) and S Vayyapuri Pillai (*History of Tamil Language and Literature*. 1956. p 160) are of the opinion that the work is noticeably influenced by the two works of Oḍeyadēva-Vādībhasiṃha referred to above. Pillai, as a matter of fact, draws attention to the fact that there are in the *Jivaka-Cintāmaṇi*, literal translations from the two works of Vādībhasiṃha.

Rāṣṭrakūṭa dynasty.¹ But Jinasēna himself speaks of several previous works on which he bases his own composition. Anyhow it is generally agreed by scholars that this Tamil classic *Jivaka-Cintāmaṇi* is probably later than the 8th century A.D.² We may accept this verdict for the present. The work is divided into 13 *ilambakas* or chapters, the first beginning with the birth and education of the hero and the last ending with his *Nirvāṇa*.

1. *Nāmagai-ilambakam*—The story begins with the description of the country Hēmāṅgada in Bharata-khaṇḍa. Rājamāpuram is the capital of Hēmāṅgada. The ruler was Saccandaṇ of the Kuru dynasty. This Saccandaṇ married his maternal uncle Śrīdattaṇ's daughter named Vijayā. This Śrīdattaṇ was ruling over the country of Vidēha. Since the king Saccandaṇ was so much in love with his wife who was extremely beautiful, he spent most of his time in her apartment without attending to his state affairs. He delegated to one of his ministers Kaṭṭiyaṅgāraṇ the royal privileges to be exercised. This Kaṭṭiyaṅgāraṇ, when once he

1. Amōghavarsha I who reigned from A.D. 814 to 886 was a mere boy of twelve at the time of his accession and hence his teacher Jinasēna should properly be assigned to the 9th century.

2. T. A. Gopinatha Rao (*Sentamīl*, Vol. V. p. 15) T. S. Kuppuswami Sastri (*Tamiḷaham*, Vol. I. p. 130) and S. Vaiyapuri Pillai (*History of Tamil Language and Literature*, 1956, p. 161), among others, assign this work to the early decades of the 10th century.

tasted the power and privilege of royalty, desired to usurp the same. The king, ignorant of such a Machievallian policy of his minister, to whom he foolishly entrusted the state affairs, discovered the mistake a little too late. In the meanwhile, the queen had three dreams of rather an unpleasant nature. When she wanted their interpretation from the king, he somehow consoled her not to worry about the dreams. Anticipating troubles from his ungrateful minister, it is said that he had constructed for him a sort of aerial vehicle like the modern aeroplane in the form of a peacock. This peacock machine was secretly constructed within the palace in order to carry two persons in the air, and he instructed his queen on how to manipulate this machine. When the queen was in the state of advanced pregnancy, the ungrateful Kaṭṭiyaṅgāraṇ wanted to realise his wish to usurp the kingdom and thus besieged the palace. Since the peacock machine was constructed to carry the weight of two persons alone and since the queen was in an advanced state of pregnancy, the king thought it advisable to place the machine at her disposal and himself stayed behind. When the machine started up with the queen on it, the king with the drawn sword in his hand came out to meet the usurper. In the melee of the fight the king lost his life and the wicked Kaṭṭiyaṅgāraṇ proclaimed himself the king of Rājamāpuram. The queen, who had by that time reached the outskirts of the city, heard this royal proclamation resulting from the death of her royal husband and lost control of the machine which descended and landed on the cremation ground in the outskirts of this city. In the darkness of night she gave birth

to a son in those pitiable surroundings. The queen had nobody to help her and the child was crying helpless in the pitch-dark night on the cremation ground. It is said that one of the *dēvatās*, taking pity on the queen, assumed the form of one of her attendants in the palace and did service to her. Just then one of the merchants of the city carrying his dead child to be buried came there. There he met the beautiful child Jīvaka which was left alone by his mother at the advice of the *dēvatā*. The merchant, by name Kandukkaḍaṇṇ, was very much pleased at the sight of the royal baby which he recognised as such from the ring in the child's finger and took the live baby, the royal child, back to his house and gave it back to his wife, saying that her child was not dead. His wife gladly accepted this gift from her husband and brought him up thinking it her own. This child was Jīvaka, the hero of our story.

The queen Vijayā, accompanied by the *dēvatā*, went to Daṇḍakāraṇya where she assumed the form of a female ascetic and stayed in a *tāpasa-āśrama*. Jīvaka was brought up in the merchant's house with a number of his cousins. As a youth he was educated by one Accaṇandi-ācārya and also learnt archery and other arts requisite for a prince. The *guru* who was attracted by the ability of his student one day narrated to him the tragic story of his royal family and took a promise from the youthful prince that he should not rush to revenge and recover his state till the expiry of one complete year. After getting this promise from the youthful disciple, the *guru* blessed the prince that he

Kaluṣavēga. Learning from an astrologer that his daughter would marry someone in Rājamahāpura, he wanted to send his daughter to that city. When he was waiting for an opportunity for this a merchant from that city Rājamahāpura, by name Śrīdatta, was returning home with ship-loads of gold as a result of his sea-borne trade. Just like the magic ship-wreck effected by Prospero in Shakespeare's *Tempest*, this *Vidyādhara* king did create a magic ship-wreck and managed to bring the merchant Śrīdatta to his court. There he was intimated why he was brought to the *Vidyādhara* capital; and he was instructed to take with him the princess Gāndharvadattā to be given in marriage to anybody who would defeat her in a *viṇā* contest. Returning to his capital with this *Vidyādhara* princess, Śrīdatta proclaimed to the citizens the conditions of *viṇā-svayamvara* and offered the *Vidyādhara* princess to one who would succeed in this contest. This contest was arranged with the permission of Kaṭṭiyāṅgārāṇ the then ruling king. Members belonging to the first three *varṇas* were invited for the contest. Every one got defeated by this princess Gāndharvadattā. Thus elapsed six days. On the seventh day the prince Jīvaka, who was taken by the citizens for merely a merchant's son, wanted to try his chance in this music contest. When Jīvaka exhibited his musical skill in this contest, the *Vidyādhara* princess acknowledged him to be victorious and accepted him as her husband. Several princes who were assembled there, out of jealousy, wanted to fight Jīvaka but all these were defeated and finally Jīvaka took Gāndharvadattā home where he

celebrated the regular formal marriage. Thus ends the third chapter of the marriage of Gāndharvadattā.

4. *Guṇamalaiyār - ilambakam*—On another day during the *vasanta* festival, the youth of the city went to the adjoining park for play and enjoyment. Among these were two young ladies Suramañjarī and Guṇamālā. Between them there arose a discussion as to the quality of the fragrant powder used for the purpose of bathing. Each claimed that her powder was superior. The matter was referred to the wise youth Jīvaka, who gave a verdict in favour of Guṇamālā. Hearing the decision Suramañjarī was sorrow-struck and decided to shut herself up in the *kanyāmāḍa* with a vow that she would never see a male's face, till this very Jīvaka would come begging for her hand in marriage. While Suramañjarī desisted from taking part in the *vasanta* festival, Guṇamālā, encouraged by the verdict in her favour, went out to enjoy the festival. Jīvaka himself on his way observed a dog beaten to death by some *brāhmaṇas* whose food was touched by this poor dog. When he saw the dying dog, he tried to help the poor creature and whispered to him the *pañca-namaskāra* with the hope that it would help the creature to have a better future. Accordingly the animal was born in *dēvalōka* as a *dēva* called Sudañjaṇa. This Sudañjaṇa-dēva immediately appeared before Jīvaka to express his sense of gratitude and was willing to serve him. But Jīvaka sent him back with the instruction that he would send for him whenever he was in need. While he thus dismissed the *dēva*, he witnessed a terrible scene. The king's elephant escaped from its place and imme-

diately ran towards the *udayāna* in front of the people returning home after the festival. Just then he saw Guṇamālā returning home with her attendants. They were all frightened at the sight of the mad elephant. Jivaka rushed to their rescue, subdued the king's elephant and made it return home quietly; and thus made the way clear for Guṇamālā and her friends. While Guṇamālā saw the beautiful prince, she immediately fell in love with him. This was reported to her parents who arranged for the marriage of Guṇamālā with Jivaka, which was accordingly celebrated. But the king Kaṭṭiyaṅgāra came to know of the chastisement of his royal elephant and sent his sons and brother-in-law Maḍaṇa to bring this *chetty* boy Jivaka. They, with a number of soldiers, came and surrounded Kandukkadaṇ's house. Though Jivaka wanted to fight against them, he remembered his promise to his *guru* to keep quiet for one full year and therefore was not in a position to defend himself. Thus in difficulty he remembered his friend Sudañjaṇadēva who immediately brought about a cyclone and rain and thus created confusion among his enemies. In this confusion Jivaka was lifted and carried away by his friend Sudañjaṇadēva to his own place. The king's officers, in their confusion, were not able to find out Jivaka. They killed some one else; and reported the matter to the king that they could not bring Jivaka alive and therefore they had to kill him in the confusion created by the cyclone. The king was very much pleased with this result and rewarded them all amply.

5 *Padumaiyār-ilambakam*—Since Jivaka expressed his desire to return home, Sudañjaṇadēva, before parting with his friend Jivaka, instructed him in three imporant *vidyās* which might be useful to him in life. These were : (1) the capacity to assume a beautiful form to be envied even by the God of Love, (2) to cure the effects of deadly poison and (3) to take any form desired. After instructing him in these three useful *mantras*, the *dēva* directed his friend the way he is to take to reach home. Leaving the land of his friend Sudañjaṇadēva, he roamed about in several places doing useful service to very many who were in suffering. Finally he reached the city of Candrābha in Pallava-dēśa. There he became a friend of Lōkapāla, the Pallava prince. This prince's sister Padmā, one day, when she went to gather flowers, got bitten by a cobra. Jivaka saved her from the effect of poison through the *mantra* given to him by the *dēva* Sudañjaṇa. As a reward of this good service, he had Padmā given to him in marriage by the Pallava king. There he stayed for a few months when he left the city *in cognito* all of a sudden. The princess was in great sorrow because of the disappearance of her husband. The king sent messengers in search of his son-in-law Jivaka. They were told by Jivaka himself in disguise that there would be no use in searching for him now, and that he would of his own accord return after nine months. With this glad tidings the messengers went back and comforted the princess Padmā. Thus ends the *Padumaiyār-ilambakam*.

6. *Kēmaṣariyār-ilambakam*—Then Jīvaka reached Kēmapurī in Ṭakka-nāḍu. In that Kēmapurī there was a merchant by name Subhaddiraṇ. He had a daughter by name Kēmaṣari. Astrologers told this merchant that the youth who at the sight produced the emotion of modesty and love in his daughter would become her husband. The merchant in search of a son-in-law tried several times to bring about such a situation to discover the predicted emotion in his daughter. But all cases proved failures till he came upon Jīvaka. When Jīvaka was invited to his house, he observed to his great joy that his daughter Kēmaṣari at the first sight fell in love with Jīvaka. He gladly gave his daughter Kēmaṣari in marriage to Jīvaka who stayed with his wife for some time. Again he left the place in disguise, without the knowledge of anybody, to the great grief of his new wife Kēmaṣari.

7. *Kanakamālaiyār-ilambakam*—Then Jīvaka reached Hēmapura in Madhya-dēśa. Reaching the *udyāna* in the outskirts of the city, he met Vijaya the son of Daḍamittaṇ, the king of Hēmapura. This Vijaya was attempting to get a mango fruit from a tree in the garden with the help of his arrow. But he could not succeed. The stranger Jīvaka brought down the fruit at his first aim; at this Vijaya was very much delighted; and he reported the stranger's arrival to the king, his father. The king was very much pleased to receive Jīvaka and requested him to instruct his sons in archery. When his sons became experts in archery as a result of Jīvaka's instruction, the king out of gratitude and pleasure offered his daughter Kanakamālai in

marriage to Jivaka. He was living with Kanakamālai for some time. In the meanwhile, his cousin Nandaṭṭaṇ, not knowing the whereabouts of Jivaka, wanted to go about in search of him. Gāndharvadattā, the *Vidyādhara* princess and Jivaka's first wife, gave the information about the exact whereabouts of Jivaka at the moment. Through the help of her *vidyā*, she managed to lead Nandaṭṭaṇ to Hēmapura where he stayed with his friends. Jivaka's other friends went in search of him. On their way they met the old queen Vijayā in a *tavappaḷḷi*. She was informed of all that happened to Jivaka after she left the baby on the cremation ground. And she expressed a great desire to meet her son, and they promised to arrange for such a meeting within a month and left the *tavappaḷḷi* to go to Jivaka. While Jivaka was living with his new wife Kanakamālai, they pretended to besiege the town in order to meet Jivaka. Jivaka with his cousin Nandaṭṭaṇ gathered large forces and went out to meet the besieging army in battle. Padumuhaṇ who was in charge of the army outside and a friend of Jivaka despatched his first arrow with a message tied to it informing Jivaka of his own identity and the object of the visit. When the arrow fell at the feet of Jivaka, he picked it up and read the message to his great joy. Recognising that they were all his friends he invited them all into the city and introduced them to the king and father-in-law. When Jivaka learnt from his friends about his mother and her eagerness to meet him, he took leave of the king and his wife Kanakamālai who was asked to stay with her father. He started from the city with all his friends to meet his old mother. Jivaka

together with his associates reached Daṇḍakāraṇya and met his old mother Vijayā. Vijayā embraced her son with great joy because of the separation of several years. Thus he spent 6 days in the *tavappaḷḷi* with his mother Vijayā. She advised her son to meet his maternal uncle Gōvīndarāja and to take his advice and help for the purpose of recovering his father's lost kingdom. He sent his mother in the company of a few female ascetics to his uncle's place, while he himself with his friends went towards Rājamahāpuram. They all camped in a garden adjoining the city.

8. *Vimalaiyār - ilambakam*—Next day Jīvaka, leaving his friends there, went into the city assuming a beautiful form which could attract even the God of Love. While he was walking along the streets of the city, there appeared before him Vimalā who ran into the street to pick her ball which went astray while she was playing. At the sight of that handsome Jīvaka, she fell in love with him. She was the daughter of a merchant by name Sāgaradatta. Jīvaka went and sat in Sāgaradatta's shop just to take rest. The large stock of sugar, which he had in store for a long time unsold, was disposed off immediately after the visit of the stranger to the shop. This was taken to be a very good omen by the merchant Sāgaradatta, as he had learnt previously from the astrologers "that he whose presence would lead to the complete disposal of his unsold goods would be the proper son-in-law for him." He gladly offered his daughter Vimalā in marriage to this beautiful youth. Jīvaka accepted Vimalā in marriage and spent with her just two days and the

third morning he went back to his friends' camp in the garden outside the city.

9. *Suramañjarī-ilambakam*—His friends observed Jivaka with the marks of a fresh bridegroom and wanted to know the identity of his fresh matrimonial conquest. When Jivaka told them that he had married Vimalā, the merchant's daughter, they all congratulated him that he was the veritable Kāma. But one of his friends named Buddhīṣēṇa was not willing to congratulate him for this paltry achievement; for, in the city there was one Suramañjarī who would not brook to see a male's face: and if Jivaka succeeded in marrying her, then he would be congratulated as the veritable God of Love. Jivaka took up the challenge. Next day he assumed the form of a very old *bīāhmaṇa* mendicant and appeared before the gate of Suramañjarī. Suramañjarī's maid servants informed their mistress of the appearance of the *bīāhmaṇa* mendicant at her gate begging for some food. Suramañjarī, thinking that an old and frail *bīāhmaṇa* mendicant would not lead to the violation of her vow, instructed her servants to bring the old man into the house. There the old mendicant was received as an honoured guest and was offered the finest food that she could arrange for. After dinner the old man took rest on a beautiful bed prepared for him. After a few minutes' nap the old man sang a very beautiful song which was identified by Suramañjarī as Jivaka's. This roused in her the old desire to win over Jivaka for herself. She decided to go to the temple of Love the next day to offer worship to the God of Love that she might at last

get Jivaka as her husband. Even before Jivaka took the shape of a *brāhmaṇa* mendicant, he arranged with his friend Buddhīṣeṇa that he should remain hiding behind the God of Love in the temple and that when Suramañjarī begged the God to help her to win Jivaka he must answer her favourably from behind the idol. So next day when Suramañjarī with her attendants wanted to go to the temple of Love, she took with her in the carriage this old *brāhmaṇa* mendicant. He was left in one of the front rooms of the temple, while Suramañjarī went into the temple to offer *pūjā*. After the *pūjā* was over, she begged the God of Love to promise success in her adventure. Immediately there came a voice from inside the temple "Yes, you have won already Jivaka". In great delight she wanted to return home ; and when she went to pick up the old mendicant on her way, Lo ! she found there the youthful prince Jivaka instead of the old *brāhmaṇa* mendicant. There was no limit to her joy. She clasped him in great delight and announced that she would marry him. The matter was intimated to her father Kubēradatta who was very glad to have the marriage celebrated immediately. From this city of Rājamāpura he took leave of his foster-father and went out with his friends in the disguise of a horse dealer.

10. *Maṇmagaḷ-īlambakam*—Thus Jivaka with his friends entered Vidāya-nāḍu, the land of his uncle Gōvindarāja. He was received by his uncle with great joy. There he discussed with his uncle as to the method of reconquering his country Hēmāṅgada from the usurper Kaṭṭiyaṅgāraṇ. Gōvindarāja tried to

get Kaṭṭiyaṅgāraṇ to his place by a stratagem. This Gōvindarāja had a beautiful daughter named Ilakkaṇai. He proclaimed the conditions of a *svayaṃvara* and set up a machine in the form of a boar which was always rotating; he who successfully hit the rotating boar would be accepted as the fitting husband to the princess. Kaṭṭiyaṅgāraṇ and several other princes were assembled at the court of Gōvindarāja in order to try their luck at the *svayaṃvara*. But none was really successful. At last Jivaka appeared on the scene on the back of an elephant. The very sight made Kaṭṭiyaṅgāraṇ frightened. Jivaka, whom he considered to be dead and gone, was before him fully alive. He got down from the elephant's back and hit at the boar-mark successfully with his arrow, and won the hand of the princess in the *svayaṃvara*. Then his uncle Gōvindarāja openly announced who this young man was and sent an ultimatum to Kaṭṭiyaṅgāraṇ to return back his kingdom. But Kaṭṭiyaṅgāraṇ accepted the challenge and preferred to fight. He was defeated and killed in a regular battle together with his hundred sons. Jivaka was victorious. At the news of the victory, his old mother was in great joy and felt that her life-purpose was fulfilled.

11. *Pūmaṇaḷ-ilambakam*—Then Jivaka, after the victory, marched to his own city Rājamāpuram where he had the coronation ceremony conducted in a grand manner to the delight of his friends and relations. This is spoken of as the marriage with the Bhūmidēvī, the Spirit of the earth, because Jivaka's previous career was one of a glorious stream of marriages.

12. *Ilakkaṇaiyār - ilambakam*—After assuming the kingship over Hēmāṅgada-nāḍu he had the celebration of the marriage with his uncle's daughter Ilakkaṇai who was won in the last *svayamvara*, by his successful hitting at the boar-mark, and rewarded all his friends in a fitting manner. His foster-father was elevated to royal honour. His friends were given several presents. He gave away all the wealth of Kaṭṭiyaṅgārēṇ to his uncle Gōvindarāja. He built a temple in honour of his friend Sudaṇḍaṇḍēva. Thus during his reign all were satisfied and the country enjoyed plenty and prosperity.

13. *Mutti-ilambakam*—While they were all living in happiness, one day his old mother Vijayā expressed her desire to renounce all these worldly pleasures and wanted to live the life of an ascetic. Thus, with the permission of her son and king, she spent her remaining days in a *tapasa-āśrama* in prayer and spiritual discipline. The king Jīvaka, while wandering in the *udyāna*, one day noticed a curious phenomenon. He observed a monkey with her lover enjoying their happy life. Immediately he saw the male monkey fetching a fine jack fruit to offer to his mate. Just then the gardener, noticing the jack fruit in the hands of the monkey, beat him with his stick and took away the jack fruit which he did eat. When this was noticed by Jīvaka, he realised that this was symbolic of all worldly riches, always taken possession of by the mightier at the cost of the weaker. Even royal honour is no exception to this. Everywhere he found the principle 'might is right' triumphant. He saw that

in the life of Kaṭṭiyaṅgāraṇ and he immediately knew in his own life the same principle illustrated. Royal honour resting on such unethical foundation was certainly not the thing to be coveted for. Therefore he resolved to abdicate his kingdom in favour of his son and retire from sovereignty to spend the rest of his life in penance. So he went away to the place where Mahāvira was, got instruction in spiritual matters from Gaṇadhara Sudharma who initiated him into spiritual life and penance. Thus Jīvaka spent the rest of his period in meditation and finally attained *Nuvāna* as the fruit of his meditation and penance. Thus ended the glorious life of the great *kṣatṛīya* hero Jīvaka in whose honour this monumental Tamil classic was composed by the author Tīruttakkadēva.

This classic contains 3145 stanzas. An excellent edition containing a fine commentary by Naccinārkkiniyar is now available, and it is by the famous scholar Mahāmahōpādhyāya Dr V. Swaminatha Ayyar who has devoted all his life to the publication of rare Tamil classics.

Let us now turn to the five minor *kāvya*s¹ which are (1) *Yaśōdhara*, (2) *Cūḍāmaṇi*² (3) *Udayanan-kathai*, (4) *Nāgakumāi-a-kāvyaṃ* and (5) *Nīlakēṣi*. All these five minor epics were composed by Jaina authors.

1 These are popularly known as *Aiñ-jīṟukkāppīyaṅgaḷ*

2 Of the five minor *kāvya*s, *Cintāmaṇi* has been edited by Damodaram Pillai (1889). Besides, *Vaṇa-Cintāmaṇi* (1898) by the same editor is a prose rendering of *Cintāmaṇi* in easy style and diction.

(1) *Yaśōdhara-kāvya*.:—Unlike Jaina literary works in Sanskrit, where the authors generally give a bit of autobiographic information, either at the beginning or at the end of the work, in Tamil literature, the author maintains absolute silence on that matter. It is very often difficult to know even the name of the author, not to speak of other details relating to his life. We have to depend upon purely circumstantial evidence as to the life of the author. Sometimes such circumstantial evidence will be extremely meagre, and we have to confess our ignorance about the author and his life. Such is the case with this *Yaśōdhara-kāvya*. Practically nothing is known about the author except that he was a Jaina ascetic. From the nature of the story all that we can infer is that it is later than the Hindu doctrine of *yāga* as reformed by Madhvācārya. Madhvācārya, the famous Vēdāntic scholar, introduced a healthy reform that Vēdic ritual could very well be continued without involving animal sacrifice, if a substitute for the animal be introduced in the same form made of rice-flour. The story of *Yaśōdhara-kāvya* is evidently intended to reject this ritualism even with this substitute. The moral value of conduct depends upon the harmony between thought, word and deed, *manas*, *vāk* and *kāya*. In this particular form of ritualism, though the actual deed is avoided, there is still lacking the harmony and co-operation of the other two. The desire to sacrifice an animal and to pronounce the necessary *mantras* being there, the substitution of a mock-animal would not relieve an agent of any of his responsibility for animal sacrifice.

This seems to be the main theme of the story in which incidentally many of the doctrines relating to the Jaina religion are introduced. Hence the work must be placed after the period of the reformation in ritualism associated with the founder of Mādhva philosophy.

The scene of the story is laid in Rājapura in Ouḍaya-dēśa, in Bharata-khaṇḍa. Māridatta is the name of the king. There is a Kālī temple in the city dedicated to Caṇḍa-Māridēvī. It was the time of a great festival for this Caṇḍa-Māridēvī. For the purpose of sacrificing, there were gathered in the temple precincts, pairs of birds and animals, male and female, such as fowls, peacocks, birds, goats, buffaloes and so on. These were brought by the people of the town as their offerings to the *dēvī*. The king Māridatta, to be consistent with the status and position of *raja*, wanted to offer as sacrifice not merely the ordinary beasts or birds but a pair of human beings as well. So he instructed his officer to fetch a pair of human beings, a male and a female, to be offered as a sacrifice to the goddess Kālī. The officer accordingly went about in search of human victims. Just about that time a Jaina *Saṅgha* consisting of 500 ascetics presided over by Sudattācārya came and settled at the park in the outskirts of the city. In this *Saṅgha* there were two youths Abhayaruci and Abhayamatī, brother and sister. These two young apprentices, since they were not accustomed to the rigorous discipline characteristic of the grown up monks in the *Saṅgha*, were very much fatigued on account of the long travel and were permitted by the head of the *Saṅgha* to enter the town for

obtaining alms for themselves. The officer of the king, who went about in search of human victims, was very glad to capture these two beautiful youths and marched them to the Kālī temple and informed the king of his capture. The king Māridatta gladly went to the Kālī temple with the object of offering his sacrifice with these beautiful youths. The people assembled there asked these two beautiful youths to prey to Kālī that, as a result of this great sacrifice, blessings must be showered on the king and the land. The two ascetics smiled at this request, and they themselves blessed the king that he might be weaned from this cruel form of worship, so that he might have the pleasure of accepting the noble *ahiṃsā-dharma* which would lead him to a safe spiritual haven. When they pronounced this with a smile on their beautiful faces, the king was nonplussed for he could not understand how two such young and beautiful persons, in the face of death, could have such peace of mind as to laugh at the whole game as if it were none of their own concern. Therefore the king wanted to know the reason why they laughed at such a grave moment and expressed a desire to know who they were, and why they had come to the city, and so on. The sword drawn for sacrifice was sheathed again, and the king was in a mood to know the reason for the queer behaviour of the two youths. As desired by the king the brother Abhayaruci began to answer: "The reason why we laughed, without being in fright, was the result of the knowledge that everything that happens to an individual is but the fruit of his previous *karma*. Fear to

escape the fruits of one's own *karma* is but the result of ignorance. Hence we were not afraid of our own fate which is the consequence of our own previous action. We have to laugh simply because the whole scene here is steeped in so much ignorance. As a result of our own conduct that we sacrificed a fowl made up of rice-flour, we had to suffer and endure for seven births, successively taking the form of lower animals and suffering all sorts of pain. Only in this period, we have the good fortune to regain our human form. We know very well that all this suffering was the result of our silly desire to offer sacrifice to Kālī, though the actual victim of sacrifices was merely an imitation fowl made of flour. After realising this, when your people asked us to pray to Caṇḍa-Mārī-dēvī for the prosperity and welfare of yourself and your kingdom as a result of the sacrifice of several animals and birds together with human beings, we could not but laugh at the simplicity and ignorance of the people here."

When the king heard this, he gave up the idea of sacrifice and wanted to know more about the life of the two victims who exhibited such magnificent peace of mind even in the very jaws of death. Thus ends the first section.

In the second section is narrated the story of these two youths and how they brought upon themselves all the troubles on account of sacrificing a mock-fowl. The scene is laid in Ujjain, the capital of Avanti of Mālava-dēśa. The ruler of the country was one Aśoka.

His queen was Candramatī. Yaśōdhara was their son. It is this prince Yaśōdhara that is the hero of this story. This Yaśōdhara married a beautiful princess by name of Amṛtamatī. This beautiful queen gave birth to a son Yaśōmati. The old king Aśōka abdicated the kingdom in favour of his son Yaśōdhara and instructed him to observe the principles of righteous rule according to *rājanīti*. He instructed his son on how he should safeguard *dharma*, *artha* and *kāma*, the three *puruṣārthas*. He should maintain religion and religious worship at a high level of purity based upon the *ahiṃsā* doctrine. Having given all this advice and after establishing his son as the king of the land, the old king adopted the life of an ascetic and spent his time in an *āśrama*. While the king Yaśōdhara and his queen Amṛtamatī were living happily, one early morning the queen heard the sweet music of the elephant-keeper singing in *malapañcama-rāga*. The queen was attracted by the music and sent her attendant Guṇavatī to procure the person who was responsible for such sweet music. This information created a surprise in that attendant who advised the queen to remember her status and prestige; but as she insisted on having the person with whom she fell in love, the attendant had to bring the keeper of the elephant who was a detestable leper. Even in spite of this deformity, the foolish queen entered into an intimacy with that wretch. The king was at first ignorant of the whole affair. But soon the king came to know of this disgusting behaviour of the queen. Noticing the peculiar estrangement in her behaviour, he himself

grew weary of worldly riches and was trying to discard the kingly pleasures and renounce the world. Just then he had an ominous dream in which the moon from the high skies was observed to fall down towards the earth losing all her light and glory. The king feared that this was symbolic of some calamity and wanted to know how to avoid the evil foretold in this dream. The queen-mother was consulted by the king who was advised to offer some animal sacrifice to Kālī for the purpose of warding off such a calamity. The king, because he was a faithful follower of *ahiṃsā-dharma*, could not reconcile himself to animal sacrifice. Hence the king and his queen-mother both arrived at a compromise according to which the king had to offer a fowl made of rice-flour as a sacrifice to Kālī. So the mock-fowl was offered as a sacrifice to Kālī. Thus troubles began. In the meanwhile, the queen, knowing that her conduct was discovered by the king and the queen-mother, hated them both and finally succeeded in killing them by poisoning them. Thus, after disposing off the king and his mother, this wicked queen Amṛtamati made her own son Yaśōmati the king of Āvanti-dēśa. After the death of Yaśōdhara and his queen-mother Candramati as a result of the sin of sacrificing to Kālī, they were born as lower animals for seven births in succession.

The third section is the description of the various *janmas* taken by the king Yaśōdhara and his mother as lower animals and birds, and the grief and suffering that they had to undergo.

In the fourth section the narrative of the new king Yośōmati is given and also the story of Abhayaruci and Abhayamati who were in their previous births Yośōdhara and his queen-mother Candramati. Finally, when Māridatta learnt the whole story, he desired to know more about this noble truth *ahimsā*; and he was taken to the *guru* who was camping in the *udyāna* in the outskirts of the city where the king had the initiation into the noble faith of *ahimsā*. Thereafter, he not only gave up the offering of animal sacrifice to Kālī himself, but also proclaimed to his people that such a sacrifice should not be offered any longer. Thus he elevated the religion and temple worship to a higher and nobler level all over his land. This is the story of the *Yasōdhara-kāvya* in Tamil about whose author we know nothing. The story is found even in Sanskrit literature. There is a Sanskrit *Yasōdhara-kāvya* dealing with the same story. But it is not clearly known which is earlier, the Tamil or the Sanskrit one.

The Tamil *Yasōdhara-kāvya* was first published by the late T. Venkatarama Iyengar, an esteemed friend of the present writer. Unfortunately the edition is out of print and hence not available to readers at present.

(2) *Cūḷāmaṇi*:— It is composed by the Jaina author and poet Tolāmoḷittēvar. He was evidently under the patronage of the chief Vijaya of Kārveṇagar. The editor of this work, Damodaram Pillai, is of opinion that it must be earlier than some of the major *kāryas*. His conclusion is based upon the fact that several stanzas from *Cūḷāmaṇi* are quoted by Amṛtasā-

gara, the author of *Yāppai uṅgalakāṇikai Cūlāmaṇi* is based upon a Purāṇic story contained in the *Mahāpurāṇa* by Jinasēna. The hero of the story is one Tiviṭṭaṇ, one of the nine Vāsudēvas according to the Jaina tradition, of whom Kṛṣṇa of *Bhāṇata* fame is one: *Cūlāmaṇi* resembles *Cintāmaṇi* in poetic excellence. It contains 12 *sargas* and 2131 stanzas on the whole. The story runs as follows: Prajāpati, King of Suramādēśa, whose capital was Pōtanapura, had two principal queens, Mṛgāvati and Jayavati. Tiviṭṭaṇ, the hero, was the son of the *mahādēvi* Mṛgāvati, Vijaya the son of Jayavati and this was the elder of the two. Vijaya and Tiviṭṭaṇ were exactly corresponding to Balarāma and Kṛṣṇa, the former fair, and the latter dark in complexion. A soothsayer told the king Prajāpati that his son Tiviṭṭaṇ would marry a *Vidyādhara* princess very shortly. The *Vidyādhara* king of Rādānūpura had a daughter by name Svayamprabhā who was very beautiful. This *Vidyādhara* king also had a prediction made by a soothsayer that his daughter Svayamprabhā would marry a *kṣatriya* prince of Pōtanapura. The *Vidyādhara* monarch sent one of his ministers with a letter to the king Prajāpati offering his daughter in marriage to Tiviṭṭaṇ. King Prajāpati of Pōtanapura, though surprised at first at this offer from the *Vidyādhara* king, consented to the marriage. In the meanwhile, the matter was known to the *Vidyādhara* emperor Aśvagrīva to whom both Prajāpati and the father of Svayamprabhā were subordinates. Aśvagrīva, the *Vidyādhara* emperor, demanded from the father of Tiviṭṭaṇ the usual tribute. King Prajāpati, fearing the

wrath of the *Vidyādhara* emperor, ordered the tribute to be paid immediately. But his son Tiviṭṭaṇ would not permit this. He denied allegiance to the *Vidyādhara* emperor and sent the messenger back saying "No tribute will be paid hereafter." One of the *Vidyādhara* ministers attached to Aśvagrīva's court wanted to kill this foolhardy *kṣatriya* youth Tiviṭṭaṇ by a stratagem. He assumed the form of a lion and destroyed the cattle of the land of Suramaḥ belonging to the king Prajāpati. The sons of Prajāpati, Tiviṭṭaṇ and Vijaya, set out to slay the lion. The lion, which was the assumed form of the *Vidyādhara* minister, cleverly decoyed Tiviṭṭaṇ into a cave. Tiviṭṭaṇ pursued the lion into the cave. There was a real lion in that cave which devoured the *māyā* lion and wanted to have Tiviṭṭaṇ also. Tiviṭṭaṇ was not to be frightened by this. The *Vidyādhara* lion having disappeared into the mouth of the real lion of the cave, he caught hold of the head of the real lion and killed it easily. This killing of the lion was a part of the prediction given by the soothsayer to the king of Rādānūpura, the father of Svayamprabhā, who was to be given in marriage to Tiviṭṭaṇ. Therefore the king of Rādānūpura set out with his daughter Svayamprabhā for Pōtanapura where the *Vidyādhara* princess was given in marriage to the gallant Tiviṭṭaṇ. The *Vidyādhara* emperor Aśvagrīva, boiling with wrath because of the treatment meted out to his messenger by his subject's son Tiviṭṭaṇ, had now his anger aggravated because of the latter's marriage with a *Vidyādhara* princess. He could not brook the idea of an

ordinary *kṣatṛīya* prince, and that too the son of his own subordinate, marrying a *Vidyādhara* princess of his own noble race. He marched with his mighty force against Tiviṭṭaṇ. A war ensued. Tiviṭṭaṇ, being a *Vāsudēva*, was in possession of divine magic powers, and with his *cakra* made a clean sweep of the *Vidyādhara* army and finally slew the *Vidyādhara* emperor Aśvagrīva himself. The result of this victory made Tiviṭṭaṇ's father-in-law suzerain lord for the whole of the *Vidyādhara* land. Tiviṭṭaṇ himself inherited his father's domain and lived happily with his *Vidyādhara* bride Svayamprabhā together with his several thousand other spouses. He had a son by his *Vidyādhara* bride Svayamprabhā named Amṛtasēna. He gave his sister in marriage to his brother-in-law Arkakīrti and by his sister a daughter was born called Sudārai and also a son. Tiviṭṭaṇ had another daughter by name Jōtimālai for whose marriage he proclaimed a *svayamvata*. This daughter chose for her husband her maternal uncle, Arkakīrti, whereas the *Vidyādhara* princess chose his own son Amṛtasēna. Thus by these two marriages the alliance between the Pōtanapura dynasty and the *Vidyādhara* dynasty was further strengthened. Thus, when the two countries were living in happiness and the people were enjoying prosperity, the old king Prajāpati renounced the kingdom in favour of his son and passed the remainder of his life in *yōga* and meditation. As a result of this *Jina-dikṣā* and spiritual penance the king Prajāpati escaped from *samsāra* and attained *mukti*. Thus ends

the story of *Cūṭamani*, a very important work included in the category of the five minor *kāvyas*.

(3) *Nilakēśi*.¹—This is also one of the five minor *kāvyas* which is evidently by a Jaina philosopher-poet about whom we know nothing. It is a controversial work dealing with the systems of Indian philosophy and it has an excellent commentary called *Samaya-divākara* by one Vāmana-muni. This Vāmana-muni is the same as the author of another classic called *Mēṇumandūapurāṇam*. *Nilakēśi* appears to be a refutation of the Buddhistic work *Kuṇḍalakēśi* which is unfortunately lost now. This *Kuṇḍalakēśi* was included under the category of the five *mahākāvyas*. Though the Tamil classic of this name is lost to the world, the story of *Kuṇḍalakēśi* as found in the Buddhistic work is given below for the simple reason that the related story of *Nilakēśi* is modelled after *Kuṇḍalakēśi* and is intended to be a refutation of *Kuṇḍalakēśi*'s philosophy. The story of *Kuṇḍalakēśi* is as follows, taken from *The Buddhist Legends* (H. O. S.).

A rich merchant of Rājagṛha, it seems, had an only daughter who was about sixteen years of age, and she was exceedingly beautiful and fair to see. When women reach this age, they burn and long for men. Her mother and father lodged her on the topmost

1 The learned author has written and published a detailed introductory treatise on *Neelakēśi* (Kumbakonam, 1936) making the contents of this work of great literary merit available to the world of scholars

floor of a seven-storied palace in an apartment of royal splendour, and gave her only a single slave-woman to wait upon her.

Now one day a young man of station was caught in the act of robbery. They bound his hands behind his back and led him to the place of execution, scourging him with lashes at every cross-road. The merchant's daughter heard the shouts of the crowd, said to herself, "What is that?", looked down from the top of the palace, and saw him.

Straightaway she fell in love with him. So great, in fact, was her longing for him that she took to her bed and refused to eat. Her mother asked her, 'What does this mean, my dear daughter?'. 'If I can have that young man who was caught in the act of committing robbery and who was led through the streets, life will be worth living, otherwise I shall die here and now'. 'Do not act in this manner, my dear daughter, you shall have someone else for your husband, someone who is our equal in birth and family and wealth'. 'I will have no one else, if I cannot have this man, I shall die.'

The mother, unable to pacify her daughter, told the father but the father likewise was unable to pacify his daughter. 'What is to be done?', thought he. He sent a thousand pieces of money to the king's officer who had captured the robber and who was accompanying him to the place of execution, saying, 'Take the money and send the robber to me.' 'Very well' said the king's officer. He took the money and released the

robber, had another man put to death, and sent word to the king 'The robber has an executed, Your Majesty.'

The merchant gave his daughter in marriage to this robber. She resolved to win the favour of her husband; and from that time on, adorned with all her ornaments, she prepared her husband's meal with her own hand. After a few days the robber thought to himself, 'When can I kill this woman, take her jewels and sell them, and so be able to take my meals in a certain tavern? This is the way.'

He took to his bed and refused to eat. She came to him and asked, 'Are you in pain?' 'Not at all, wife.' 'Then perhaps my mother and father are angry with you?' 'They are not angry with me, wife.' 'What is the matter, then?' 'Wife, that day when I was bound and led through the streets. I saved my life by vowing an offering to the deity that lives on Robber's cliff; likewise it was through His supernatural power that I gained you for my wife. I was wondering how I could fulfil my vow of an offering to the deity.' 'Husband, do not worry; I will see to the offering; tell me what is needed'. 'Rich rice-porridge flavoured with honey: and the five kinds of flowers including the *tāja* flower.' Very well, husband, I will make ready the offering'.

Having prepared the whole offering, she said to her husband 'Come, husband, let us go.' 'Very well, wife; let your kinsmen remain behind; put on your costly garments and adorn yourself with your precious jewels, and we will go gaily, laughing and disporting

ourselves.' She did as she was told. When they reached the foot of the mountain, the robber said to her, 'Wife, from this point on let us two go alone; we will send back the rest of the company in a conveyance; you take the vessel containing the offering and carry it yourself.' She did as she was told.

The robber took her in his arms and climbed the mountain to the top of the Robber's cliff. (One side of this mountain men can climb, but the other side is a precipitous cliff, from the top of which robbers are flung, being dashed to pieces before they reached the bottom, therefore it is called 'Robber's cliff'). Standing on the top of the mountain, she said, 'Husband, present the offerings'. Her husband made no reply. Again she spoke, 'Husband, why do you remain silent'. Then he said to her, 'I have no use for the offering; I deceived you in bringing you here with an offering'. 'Then why did you bring me here, husband?' 'To kill you, seize your jewels, and escape.' Terrified with the fear of death, she said to him, 'Husband, both my jewels and my person belong to you; why do you speak thus?' Over and over again she pleaded with him, 'Do not do this,' but his reply only was 'I will kill you'. 'After all, what will you gain by killing me? Take these jewels and spare my life; henceforth regard me as your mother, or else let me be your slave-woman and work for you.' So saying, she recited the following stanza:

Take these golden bracelets, all set with beryls
Take all, and welcome; call me your slave-woman.

The robber, hearing this, said to her, 'Despite what you say, were I to spare your life, you would go and tell your mother and father all. I will kill you. That is all. Lament not with vehement lamentation.' So saying he recited the following stanza :

Lament not over much :
tie up your possession quickly.
You have not long to live ;
I shall take all your possessions.

She thought to herself, 'Oh, what a wicked deed is this ? However, wisdom was not made to be cooked and eaten. but rather to make men look before they leap. I shall find a way of dealing with him.' And she said to him, 'Husband. when they caught you in the act of committing robbery and led you through the streets, I told my mother and father, and they spent a thousand pieces of money in ransoming you and they gave you a place in their house, and from that time on I have been your benefactress: to-day do me the favour of letting me pay obeisance to you'. 'Very well, wife,' said he, granted her the favour of paying obeisance to him. and then took his stand near the edge of the cliff.

She walked around him three times, keeping him on her right hand, and paid obeisance to him in the four places. Then she said to him. 'Husband, this is the last time I shall see you. Henceforth you will see me no more, neither shall I see you anymore.' And she embraced him both before and behind. Then, remaining behind him, as he stood off his guard near the edge of the cliff, she put one hand to his shoulder

and the other to his back, and flung him over the cliff. Thus was the robber hurled into the abyss of the mountain, and dashed to pieces when he reached the bottom. The deity that dwelt on the top of the Robber's cliff observed the actions of the two and, applauding the woman, uttered the following stanza :

Wisdom is not always confined to men ;

A woman too is wise and shows it now and then.

Having thrown the robber over the cliff, the woman thought to herself, "If I go home, they will ask me, 'Where is your husband?' and if, in answer to this question, I say 'I have killed him' they will pierce me with the knives of their tongue, saying 'We ransomed the scoundrel with a thousand pieces of money and now you have killed him' If, on the other hand, I say, 'He sought to kill me for my jewels,' they will not believe me. I am done with home" She caste off her jewels, went into the forest, and after wandering about for a time came to a certain hermitage of nuns. She reverently bowed and said, 'Sister, receive me into your Order as a nun'. So they received her as a nun.

After she had become a nun, she asked 'Sister, what is the goal of your religious life?' 'Sister, the development of spiritual ecstasy through the employment of the *kasinas*, or else the memorising of a thousand articles of faith, this is the highest aim of our religious life.' 'Spiritual ecstasy I shall not be able to develop, Reverend Sister; But I will master the thousand articles of faith.' When she mastered the thousand articles of faith, they said to her, 'You have

acquired proficiency; now go through the length and breadth of the land of the Rose-Apple and look for some one able to match question and answer with you.'

So, placing a branch of Rose-Apple in her hands they dismissed her with these words, 'Go forth, Sister; if any one who is a layman is able to match question and answer with you, become his slave, if any monk, enter his Order as a nun, adopting the name 'Nun of the Rose Apple'. She left the hermitage and went about from place to place asking questions to everyone she saw. No one was able to match question and answer with her; in fact, such a reputation did she acquire that whenever men heard the announcement, 'Here comes the Nun of the Rose-Apple,' they would run away

Before entering a town or village for alms, she would scrape a pile of sand together before the village gate and there plant her rose apple branch. Then she would issue her challenge, 'Let him that is able to match question and answer with me trample this rose apple under his feet.' So saying, she would enter the village. No one dared to pass beyond that spot. When one branch withered, she would procure a fresh one.

Travelling about in this way, she arrived at Sāvattihī, planted the branch before the city gate, issued her challenge in the usual way, and went in to seek alms. A number of young boys gathered about the branch and waited to see what would happen. Just then the elder Sāriputta, who had made his round and eaten

his breakfast and was on his way out of the city, saw those boys standing about the branch and asked them 'What does this mean?'. The boys explained matters to the Elder. Said the Elder, 'Go ahead, boys, trample that branch under your feet.' 'We are afraid to, Reverend Sir.' 'I will answer the question; you go ahead and trample the branch under your feet.' The Elder's words supplied the boys with the necessary courage. Forthwith they trampled the branch under their feet shouting and kicking up dust.

When the nun returned, she rebuked them and said, 'I don't intend to bandy question and answer with you; how did you come to trample the branch under your feet?' 'Our noble Elder told us to'. 'Reverend Sir, did you tell them to trample my branch under their feet?' 'Yes, Sister.' 'Well then, match question and answer with me'. 'Very well, I will do so'

As the shades of evening drew on, she went to the Elder's residence to put her questions. The entire city was stirred up. The people said to each other, 'Let us go and hear the talk of the two learned persons.' Accompanying the nun from the city to the Elder's residence, they bowed to the Elder and seated themselves respectfully on one side.

The nun said to the Elder, 'Reverend Sir, I wish to ask you a question.' 'Ask it, Sister.' So she asked him the thousand articles of faith. Every question the nun asked, the Elder answered correctly. Then he said to her, 'You have asked only these few questions; are there any others?' 'These are all,

Reverend Sir.' 'You have asked many questions ; I will ask you just one ; will you answer me ?.' 'Ask your question'. 'What is one ?' She said to herself, 'This is the question I should be able to answer' ; but not knowing the answer, she inquired of the Elder, 'What is it, Reverend Sir ?.' 'This is the Buddha's question, Sister.' 'Tell me also the answer, Reverend Sir.' 'If you will enter our Order, I will tell you the answer.' 'Very well, admit me to the Order.' The Elder sent word to the nuns and had her admitted. After being admitted to the Order, she made her full profession, took the name Kuṇḍalakēśī, and after a few days became an *Arhat* endowed with supernatural faculties.

In the Hall of Truth the monks began a discussion of the incident. 'Kuṇḍalakēśī heard little of the Law, and yet she succeeded in being admitted to the Order ; moreover, she came here after fighting a fierce battle with a robber and defeating him.' The teacher came in and asked them, 'Monks, what is it that you are sitting here discussing now ?.' They told him. "Monks, we assure not the Law. I have taught as being 'little' or 'much.' There is no superior merit in a hundred sentences that are meaningless ; but one sentence of the Law is better. He that defeats all other robbers wins no victory at all, but he who defeats the robbers, his own depravities, his is victory indeed." There he joined the connection and preaching the Law, pronounced the following stanza :

Though one should recite a hundred stanzas
Composed of meaningless sentences

Yet one Sentence of the Law were better
Which if a man hear he is at peace.

Though one should conquer a thousand
times a thousand men in battle,

Yet would he be the mightiest conqueror
Who should conquer one himself.

Nīlakēṣī, which is one of the five minor *kāvya*s in Tamil, is evidently an answer to *Kuṇḍalakēṣī*, the Buddhist work. As is suggested by the author himself, the story is not taken from among the Purāṇic stories. The story is probably an imaginative creation by the author merely to serve as a frame-work for introducing philosophical discussions. The work has not seen the light of day up to the present. The present writer is trying to bring out an edition of this rare classic which is in press. In the course of a few months it may be made available to the public¹.

The story begins with a scene laid in Pāñcāla-dēśa which is otherwise known as Pārthi-nāḍu. The king of the land is referred to be Samudrasāra and his capital is Puṇḍravardhana. On the outskirts of this city there is a cremation ground which goes by the name of Pālālaiyam. There is also a famous Kālī temple there. Just about the Kālī temple there is a Jaina *yōgin* called Muncan-dra. One day people from the town brought as offering to the Kālī a number of beasts and birds. The Jaina *ācārya* asked them the reason for this extraordinary sacrifice. In answer they gave that these animals and

1 Since edited by him

birds they had to offer to Kālī for the queen had given birth to a child as the result of Kālī's blessing. The Jaina *ācārya* informed those persons that the Goddess would be quite satisfied if baked clay models of animals and fowls were set up as their offerings before the Kālī temple. Such a procedure would be quite enough to satisfy the Goddess and to fulfil their vows. Further, it would relieve a number of animals from death and also save themselves from the sin of *hiṃsā*. This teaching evidently appealed to the people at large who drove away all their animals back to their homes. This behaviour of the people very much upset the Goddess Kālī who realised that she was not capable of frightening away the Jaina ascetic because of his superior spiritual culture. But now she wanted to drive him away from the precincts of the Kālī temple so that he might not interfere with the regular sacrifice. Hence she went about in search of her chief, the great Nīlakēśī, of the southern country, before whom the complaint was placed as to the Jaina ascetic's interference with the regular sacrifice and worship at the Kālī temple. The great Nīlakēśī marched towards the north in order to get rid of this Jaina *yōgīn* and to restore regular worship and sacrifice at the Kālī temple at the city of Puṇḍravardhana. Nīlakēśī created there several frightening situations hoping to drive away Muniācārya. All her attempts to frighten the *yōgīn* proved futile. He was not the person to be easily got rid of. He was firmly rooted in his practice of *yōga* and no amount of dreadful circumstances created in the environment would affect his calm and peaceful meditation. He went on as if nothing had taken place around him.

Then Nīlakēṣī thought that the only way by which she could defeat this *yōgin* by some hook or crook, was to deviate him from his spiritual purpose and draw him towards sensual pleasures. She thought that this would be the surest way to spoil his penance. With this object in view, she put on the beautiful form of the princess of the land and began to play the coquette before the *yōgin*. She behaved even as a public courtesan trying to attract the *ācārya*. Even this attempt proved no more successful. In the meanwhile, Muni-candrācārya himself told her the whole truth. He made her understand that she was not really the princess from the royal household, that she was merely the chief of the *dēvatās* attempting to frighten him away from the place in order to restore their usual animal sacrifice. This plain speaking made her realise the greatness and the wisdom of the *yōgin*, and she confessed before him that all he stated was true and begged him to pardon her. When she was pardoned by the *yōgin*, she, out of gratitude, expressed her willingness to adopt, in future, a more healthy and reasonable course of life and wanted him to help her in this by teaching her the fundamental principles of *ahiṃsā*. When she heard the noble religious principles of *ahiṃsā* she felt extremely grateful to the *guru* and begged him to say what would be the best thanks-offering from the disciple. When he told her the best form of thanks-offering that he would have was for her to go about the land preaching this doctrine of *ahiṃsā*, she accordingly accepted the task and, therefore, taking the human form she devoted her time in propaganda work in favour of

the *ahiṃsā* doctrine. This is the subject matter of the opening chapter *Dharmav-urai-carukkam*.

The 2nd chapter, *Kuṇḍalakēśi-vāda-carukkam*, is devoted to the discussion that Nīlakēśi had with Kuṇḍalakēśi who was the representative of Buddhism. Naturally in this discussion Kuṇḍalakēśi is represented to be defeated by Nīlakēśi. Kuṇḍalakēśi is made to acknowledge her defeat and to accept the doctrine of *ahiṃsā*. Nīlakēśi learns from Kuṇḍalakēśi that her teacher is one Arhacandra, a Buddhistic scholar.

The 3rd chapter is devoted to the discussion with Arhacandra, who is also made to acknowledge his defeat in the discussion. Arhacandra, after accepting Nīlakēśi's religion of *ahiṃsā* directed her to Mokkala, one of the chief disciples of Gautama Śākyamuni and one of the early founders of the Buddhistic *Saṅgha*.

The 4th chapter, *Mokkala-vāda-carukkam*, is devoted to Nīlakēśi's challenge to this Buddhistic teacher Mokkala who, in his turn, is defeated and made to acknowledge the rival faith. This is one of the biggest chapters in the book because of the important Buddhistic doctrines which are discussed in detail in this chapter. Hence Mokkala himself sends Nīlakēśi to the very founder of Buddhism. Hence the fifth chapter *Buddha-vāda-carukkam* represents the meeting of Nīlakēśi and the Buddha for the purpose of discussion. The Buddha himself is made to realise that his doctrine of *ahiṃsā* is not observed in spirit by his followers. He is made to realise that mere lip-service to *ahiṃsā*

is not a satisfactory doctrine of religion, where finally he himself is made to acknowledge the unsatisfactory nature of his religion which must be recast to keep the spirit of *ahiṃsā*. Thus, next to the introductory chapter, 4 chapters are devoted to this discussion of Buddhism. Then the other *darsanas* are introduced in succession.

The 6th chapter is devoted to the Ājīvaka religion. The chapter is called *Ājivaka-vāda-carukkam*. The founder of the Ājīvaka religion was a contemporary of Mahāvira and Gautama Buddha. In outward appearance the Ājīvakas resembled Jaina *Nirgranthas*. But, in the matter of religion, they differed very much from both the Jainas and the Buddhists. Though the contemporary Buddhistic writers made no mistake about the identity of the Ājīvakas, later Indian writers very often made the mistake of confounding them with the Digambara sect of Jainas. In this chapter on the Ājīvakas the author of *Nilakēṣi* distinctly warns the reader against any such confusion and emphasises the fundamental doctrinal differences between the two sects.

The 7th chapter is devoted to an examination of the *Sāṅkhya* schools. Hence it is called *Sāṅkhya-vāda-carukkam*.

The 8th chapter is devoted to the examination of *Vaiśeṣika-darsana*. The author carefully brings out the points of resemblance between the Jaina and non-Jaina *darsanas* in philosophical matters, always keep-

ing before his mind's eye his own fundamental concept of *ahimsā*.

The 9th chapter is devoted to the examination of Vedic ritualism, hence called *Vēda-vāda-carukkam*. In this section there is not only a criticism of Vedic ritualism involving animal sacrifice but also a critical examination of the *vaiṇāśrama-dharma* based upon Vedic ritualism. The author tries to argue that the social differences, based upon birth, have no significance in the spiritual field, and hence are altogether without any importance to religion. From the point of view of religion the only difference to be noted among the human beings is the difference based upon character, culture and spiritual discipline.

The last or the 10th chapter is devoted to the examination of the materialistic school usually called *Bhūta-vāda*. Hence the chapter is called *Bhūta-vāda-carukkam*. Here the discussion is mainly devoted to establishing the reality of a spiritual principle beyond the materialistic conglomeration of the world. The author tries to emphasise that consciousness or *cētana* is an independent spiritual principle and not a mere secondary by-product of the combination of material things, an independent spiritual principle which is recognised as an entity surviving the disintegration of the material element with which it is associated in the life of the individual. Thus the main theme of this chapter is the survival of the human personality after death. This Nīlakēśi demonstrates to the leader of materialism who readily accepts his mistake and acknowledges

we can say is that it is one of the very early classics in Tamil literature. It contains 894 stanzas on the whole. This text is certainly very useful to students of Tamil literature in exhibiting several rare grammatical and idiomatic usages, and archaic terms in which the work abounds.

Two other minor *kāryas* which are still lying in obscurity in palm-leaf manuscripts are (4) *Udayana-kārya* and (5) *Nāgakumāra-kārya*. The former, as its name suggests, relates to the life of Udayana, the Vatsa prince of Kauśāmbī. Since they are not published, we cannot say much about them.

There is another Tamil classic dealing with the story of Udayana. Probably this is not one of the minor *kāryas*. Judging by the volume of matter and the meter employed in this work, it is probably an independent work not included in any of the traditional lists. It is made available to the Tamil reader by that indefatigable worker in the cause of Tamil. Dr. Swaminatha Ayyar, whom we have already referred to¹. This work *Peruñkathai* probably was named after the *Bṛhat-kathā* of Guṇāḍhya written in what is known as Piśācabhāṣā, a Prākṛit dialect. The author is known as Koṅguvēḷ, a prince of the Koṅgu-dēśa. He lived in Vijayamānagar, a place in Coimbatore District, where there were a number of Jains in former days. This work is quoted by several famous commentators

1. *Peruñkathai*. Ed. by V. Swaminātha Ayyar, Madras, 1924.

in Tamiḷ to illustrate the grammatical and idiomatical usage in Tamiḷ literature. The book now published is unfortunately incomplete. The editor with all his attempt was not able to obtain the missing portion in the beginning as well as at the end of the work. Instead of waiting indefinitely, it is good that the work is published though incomplete. From Guṇāḍhya's *Bṛhatkathā*, which contains a lot of other stories, the author of Tamiḷ *Peṇṇikathai* has taken only the portions relating to the life of Prince Udayana. The story consists of 6 main chapters. *Uṇṇai-kāṇḍam*, *Lāvāṇak-kāṇḍam*, *Magadak-kāṇḍam*, *Vattavak-kāṇḍam*, *Naravāṇak-kāṇḍam* and *Tuṇṇavuk-kāṇḍam*, all relating to the rich life of Udayana. Udayana was the son of Śatāṇika of the Kuru dynasty who ruled over Kauśāmbī. Śatāṇika's queen was one Mṛgāvatī. When she was in an advanced state of pregnancy she, with her attendants, was playing in the upstairs of her palace. She had herself and her attendants and the whole background adorned with plenty of red flowers and red silk clothes. After play she fell asleep on her cot. The most powerful bird of Hindu mythology, *Śarabha*, mistaking the place to be strewn with raw flesh on account of the red flowers strewn across, carried away the cot with Mṛgāvatī sleeping on it to Vipulācala. When Mṛgāvatī woke up she was surprised to find herself in strange surroundings. The bird which carried her there, realising that what she carried was not a mass of flesh but a live human being, went away leaving her there. Just at that moment she gave birth to a son, the future Udayana.

To her welcome surprise there was her father Cēṭaka, who, after renouncing his kingdom, was spending his time there as a Jaina *yōgin*. When he heard the cries of the baby he went there and found his daughter Mṛigāvatī. Since the child was born about sunrise he was named Udayana. On the same hill Vipulācala there was living one *brāhmaṇa Ṛṣi*, Brahmasundara by name, with his wife Brahmasundarī. Cēṭaka-muni, the father of Mṛigāvatī, placed his daughter and her child in the care of the *brāhmaṇa muni* where they were looked after as members of his own family. This *brāhmaṇa Ṛṣi* had a son by name Yūgi; and Yūgi and Udayana became very intimate friends from their childhood which friendship lasted through their life. After some time Cēṭaka-muni's son, who was ruling over his kingdom after his father's abdication, himself wanted to renounce it and wanted to become a *tāpasa*. He went to his father to appraise him of his intention, met there the beautiful youth Udayana whose identity was revealed by the grandfather. When Udayana was known to be his sister's son he was gladly taken back to the city to rule over his grandfather's kingdom. He took with him his playmate and friend Yūgi who was always of great help to him throughout his career. While he was living with his foster-father Brahmasundara-muni he was taught by that *brāhmaṇa Ṛṣi* a valuable *mantra* with the help of which even the most violently mad elephant could be made as quiet and harmless as a sheep. He also had as a gift, from the same *brāhmaṇa Ṛṣi*, a divine musical instrument whose notes would subdue and tame even the wildest of

elephants With the help of this *mantra* and the musical instrument, while living in the forest *āśrama*, he once subdued a famous elephant which afterwards was known to him to be a divine one and capable of immense service to him for several years. When Udayana went to Vaiśālī, his grandfather's place, he took with him not only Yūgi, his playmate and friend, but also this elephant who was willing to serve the prince Udayana. While Udayana was thus ruling at Vaiśālī his father Śatānīka, who was in great sorrow because of the loss of Mṛgāvatī, after searching for her in various lands went to Vipulācala where he discovered his queen under the protection of her father. With the permission of her father she was taken back to Kauśāmbī by Śatānīka. After some time Udayana inherited his father's kingdom also and thus he became the lord of both Kauśāmbī and Vaiśālī

Then begin the real adventures of Udayana By carelessness he loses the divine elephant. He roams about in the forest with his *vīṇā* in hand in search of his elephant. Just then the emperor of Ujjain, Pracchōdana by name, sends messengers to collect tribute from the kings of Vatsa and Kauśāmbī. His minister Śālaṅkāyana advises him to desist from such an adventure and asks him to wait for a better opportunity. When Udayana is roaming about in the forest, which is the best time to capture Udayana as a prisoner, Pracchōdana sends a machine in the form of an elephant within which are hidden soldiers with weapons. This mechanical elephant, like the

Trojan horse, is taken to the forest in which Udayana is searching for his lost elephant. Imagining that it is some wild elephant Udayana approaches this machine-elephant and suddenly soldiers jump out of its body and capture Udayana as a prisoner. He is taken as a captive to Ujjain. While he is kept as a prisoner for some time, his friend and minister Yūgi, learning that the prince Udayana is kept as a prisoner by the king of Ujjain, makes up his mind to somehow release him from imprisonment and to punish the king of Ujjain for his impertinence. So he goes there in disguise with other friends and lives in the outskirts of Ujjain, waiting for an opportune moment. While in disguise he informs Udayana secretly of his presence in Ujjain and promises him that very soon he would be released. To create an opportunity he, with the help of his friends, used the *mantra* to make the royal elephant mad and uncontrollable. The elephant breaks loose the chains and rushes into the streets of the city causing tremendous damage on its way. No one is able to control it. Then the king Pracchōdana learns from his minister Śālaṅkāyana that the only person who can control such a wild elephant is Udayana who is kept in prison. The king sends for him immediately, and promises him freedom if he will only control the wild mad elephant. Udayana, with his musical instrument, makes the mad elephant as tame as a cow and thus pleases the king very much. Udayana obtains his freedom and is kept by the king of Ujjain as a musical instructor to his daughter Vāsavadattā. With the help of his minister Yūgi,

Udayana, who wins the heart of Vāsavadattā, manages to run away from the capital carrying Vāsavadattā with him on the back of the elephant Nalagiri. Thus ends the first chapter called *Uñjāk-kāṇḍam* narrating the adventures of Udayana in the city of Ujjain.

The next chapter is called *Lāvāṇak-kāṇḍam* because it pertains to the incidents in Udayana's life in the city of Lāvāṇa, one of the cities in his own kingdom. Here he marries Vāsavadattā and makes her his queen. In his attachment to his beautiful wife, he forgets and completely neglects his duties as a king. This is not liked by his friends who realise there is much to do yet; because, during the absence of Udayana as a prisoner in Ujjain, his kingdom had been captured by the ruler of Pāñcāla who was not friendly disposed towards the kingdom of Kauśāmbī. Hence Yūgi arranges to separate Vāsavadattā from her husband Udayana. By a clever trick he makes Udayana believe that his whole palace is burnt to ashes and the queen Vāsavadattā is also burnt to death. Before setting fire to the palace Vāsavadattā with her attendant is taken away through an underground passage to a safe place where they are kept in concealment. These are some of the important items of Udayana's life narrated in the second chapter.

The third chapter *Magadhak-kāṇḍam* deals with Udayana's adventures in Magadha-dēśa. Udayana was very much dejected because of the loss of the queen Vāsavadattā and goes to Rājagṛha, the capital of Magadha, for the purpose of winning back the

his wife whom he supposed to be dead and takes her to his palace with the consent of Padmāvati and is living happily in Rājagṛha with his two wives.

While he was spending his life happily with his two queens, Vāsavadattā and Padmāvati, he happens to meet Mānanikā, the beautiful young playmate of the queens. He falls in love with this stranger and arranges with her secretly to meet at an appointed place in the night. Vāsavadattā comes to know of this, and imprisons Mānanikā and, herself dressed in disguise as Mānanikā, waits for the appearance of Udayana according to the appointment. Udayana is received coldly by Vāsavadattā in disguise when Udayana, imagining her to be Mānanikā, his lady-love, begs her in various ways to accept him. Then Vāsavadattā discovers herself to the chagrin of Udayana who escapes back to the palace just about the time of dawn. Early in the morning Vāsavadattā sends for Mānanikā in order to punish her for her impudence in aspiring for the king's hand. In this excitement a messenger from the king of Kōśala brings a letter to Vāsavadattā. In this letter the king of Kōśala narrates the story of his sister who was carried away as a captive by the Pāñcāla king, of how she was released with a number of attendants by Udayana when he reconquered the country by defeating the king of Pāñcāla and how she was got as an attendant to Vāsavadattā herself with the assumed name of Mānanikā and finally requesting Vāsavadattā to treat this Kōśala princess with the kindness and consideration becoming her status. When Vāsavadattā reads this letter, she apologises

to Mānanikā for her conduct and restores her to the status and position befitting a princess. Finally Vāsavadattā herself arranges for her marriage with Udayana who is found to be in love with this Kōśala princess.

The fifth chapter deals with the birth of a son and heir to Udayana. After some time the queen Vāsavadattā gives birth to a son called Naravāṇadatta. Even before his birth astrologers predicted of his greatness and that he would become an emperor of the *Vidyādhara* kingdom though born in an ordinary *kṣatriya* family. In course of time this Naravāhana inherited from his father the Kauśāmbī and Vatsa kingdoms and from his grandfather the *Vidyādhara* kingdom of Ujjain. In due course his father Udayana renounces the world and becomes an ascetic devoting his time in meditation and *yōga*. This Udayana's renunciation forms the subject-matter of the 6th and the last chapter of this Tamiḷ classic *Peruṅkathai*.

Mērumandira-purāṇam :— This *Mērumandira-purāṇam* is an important Tamiḷ classic though it is not included in the category of *kāvya*s. It resembles in excellence of literary diction the best of *kāvya* literature in Tamiḷ. It is based upon a Purāṇic story relating to Mēru and Mandira. The story is narrated in *Mahā-purāṇa* as having taken place during the time of Vimala-Tīrthaṅkara. The author of this *Mērumandira-purāṇam* is one Vāmana-muni who is the same as the Vāmana-muni, the commentator of *Nilakēṣi*. This Vāmana-muni lived about the time of Bukkarāya of

the 14th century. In this also the story is used as a frame-work for expounding important philosophical doctrines relating to Jainism

The story is connected with the city of Vītaśōka, the capital of Gandhamālīnī in Vidēha-kṣētra. The name of the king who ruled over this country was Vaijayanta, and that of his queen, Sarvaśrī. He had by this queen two sons Sañjayanta and Jayanta. The elder Sañjayanta, heir to the throne, was married to a princess by whom a son was born to him called Vaijayanta after the grand-father. The old king, who now had his namesake grandson, thought it better to abdicate the kingdom in favour of his son, himself desiring to enter *tāpasa-āśrama* as a *yōgīn*. But his two sons did not care much about the royal splendour and hence expressed their desire to renounce the kingdom and follow their father. Thus the grandson Vaijayanta was made the king and the three, father and two sons, adopted asceticism and went to spend their life in *yōga*. While the three were engaged in penance, the father Vaijayanta, because of his success in *yōga*, soon managed to get rid of his *karmas* and became a *sarvajña*. As is usual at such times all the *dēvas* assembled there to offer worship at the feet of this *Jīvan-mukta*. Among those assembled there was a beautiful *dēva*, Dharaṇēndra by name, who appeared with all his divine paraphernalia. The younger brother Jayanta, who was also engaged in penance, noticed this beautiful *dēva* and desired to become one like him in his next birth. As a result of this desire and also as the fruit of his incomplete *yōga*, he soon

became a Dharaṇendra himself. But the elder brother Sañjayanta continued his *tapas* without any wavering even after his father's attainment of *mukti*. While he was thus engaged in *tapas*, a *Vidyādhara*, who was going in his own *vimāna* in the sky, noticed this *yōgin* beneath. He also noticed that his *vimāna* would not cross beyond the region where this *yōgin* was standing. This roused his anger. He picked up this *yōgin*, Sañjayanta-bhaṭṭāraka, and carried him to his own land. Dropping him in the outskirts of his country he told his people that Sañjayanta was their enemy and instigated all his countrymen, the *Vidyādharas*, to treat this *yōgin* in all possible forms of cruelty. These *Vidyādharas* in ignorance ill-treated this *mahāmuni* as bid by the wicked *Vidyādhara*, Vidyuddanta. In spite of these cruelties the *yōgin* did not lose his meditation. Nor did he get angry at the enemies who did all this in ignorance. As a result of this supreme spiritual isolation and peace in the midst of sufferings caused by his enemies he attained *samādhi*. On account of this spiritual victory he was, in his turn, surrounded by *dēvas* for offering him adoration and worship. In the midst of these *dēvas* was found his own brother, the new Dharaṇendra. This young *dēva*, Dharaṇendra, noticed that his elder brother was cruelly treated by the *Vidyādharas* who were still there staring in dismay at the wonderful sight of the *dēvas* gathered there to offer service and worship to their former victim Sañjayanta-bhaṭṭāraka, and he was in a rage. He wanted to bundle up all these *Vidyādharas* and cast them in a body into the ocean as a punishment for their mischief. But all the *Vidyādharas* openly confessed

their mistake and appealed to him for mercy, for it was all due to the mischievous instigation of their leader Vidyuddanta and not of their own free will. Hence Dharaṇendra forgave them all. He would not, however, let go this wicked Vidyuddanta without proper punishment. Hence he wanted to bind this one wicked fellow at least for the purpose of ducking him to the sea. Just then one of the *dēvas* assembled there, Ādityāpadēva, advised this young Dharaṇendra not to do any such thing. Dharaṇendra in reply said 'How could I brook the suffering inflicted on my brother by this wicked fellow, and how could I accept your advice even in the presence of inexcusable evil?' To which Ādityāpadēva replied: 'In this spiritual realm evil is not to be requited with evil. You attach so much importance to your relationship to your brother. But if you would only know the inter-relationship that you had in your previous births you would clearly realise the silliness in emphasising one particular relation in a long chain of multifarious relations that one has in series of births. Further, hatred and love are important factors in determining the future births: the former gives a bad turn and the latter a good turn to one's future. Hence I would advise you not to worry yourself about this wicked *Vidyādhara*, Vidyuddanta. Even the *yōgin* Sañjayanta who had to suffer so much pain at the hands of this wicked person had forgiven him because all this was done in ignorance. Hence why should you bind yourself with *karma* created of hatred by attempting to punish this wicked *Vidyādhara*?' Hearing this advice from his friend Ādityāpadēva, Dharaṇendra requested him to give

more details about his previous births. Ādityāpadēva narrated the following story for the edification of Dharaṇendra.

There was a king named Simhasēna ruling over Sīṃhapura. He had a queen named Rāmadattādēvī. His minister was one Śrībhūti who was also called Satyaghōṣa because of his honesty and truth-speaking. Just about that time there was a merchant, by the name Bhadramitra, belonging to another land. He went out to Ratnapura with his ship-load of goods, returned with an enormous quantity of wealth in the form of jewels and precious stones. This Bhadramitra visited Sīṃhapura on his way. Seeing the prosperity and the beauty of the town, hearing the good nature of the king and his minister, he made up his mind to settle down in that city Sīṃhapura. Hence he wanted to go to his native place to bring all his people to this city. In the meanwhile, he thought of leaving all his wealth obtained by the sea-borne trade in the safe custody of some one in the city. He could not think of anybody except the minister Satyaghōṣa. He went and told him of his resolution to settle down in this beautiful city of Sīṃhapura and requested him to keep in his safe custody the several jewels and precious stones which he had with him. The minister Satyaghōṣa consented to this. A box containing the jewels was deposited with the minister and the merchant-prince went to his native place for the purpose of returning with his relations and friends. In the meanwhile, even the honest minister Śrībhūti, at the sight of valuable precious stones deposited with him

by the merchant, became covetous. He wanted to misappropriate the whole thing for himself. When the merchant returned to Simhapura, he bought for himself a palatial building for his residence. Leaving his people there, he went to the minister to get back his jewels. But Bhadramitra found the minister Satyaghōṣa completely changed. Instead of gladly returning the casket containing the jewels, Satyaghōṣa treated the merchant as an utter stranger as if he had not heard anything of him before and denied all knowledge of the casket of jewels. This completely upset the poor merchant, and he went about the streets crying of this injustice and begging for help. Nobody in the town would believe anything against the minister, Satyaghōṣa, because he was famous for his integrity and honesty. Naturally people thought that this foreign merchant was a mad fellow falsely accusing the minister of misappropriation. But this merchant Bhadramitra even in his ravings was quite consistent, which consistency could not be associated with any mad man. Hence the queen was attracted by this merchant's cries. She made inquiries and found to her surprise that the minister was really a culprit. But there was no evidence for the deposit of the casket with him; and nobody would come forward as a witness in favour of the merchant. But the queen Rāmadattādēvī, being sure about the casket, requested the king to intervene on behalf of the merchant. The king would not listen to this. As an alternative the queen wanted permission to deal with the case herself. This was readily granted. Then the queen

Rāmadattādēvī invited the minister Satyaghōṣa for a game of chess. In the first game she won the minister's *yajñōpavīta* and the signet ring as stakes. Having won these two important things, insignia of the minister, she secretly sent these two things through her attendant to the treasurer. She instructed the attendant to show these two things to the treasurer and to get from him the casket of jewels belonging to the merchant deposited in the royal treasury in secret by the minister. When the attendant brought the casket it was an eye-opener to the king. Then he realised the crime committed by the minister. The minister himself now knew that he was discovered by the queen. Still the king wanted to test the honesty of the merchant. Therefore, he had this casket placed in the midst of several others belonging to the royal treasury and asked the merchant Bhadramitra to take all these. He would not touch the others except his own. Even within the casket there were other precious stones put together with those belonging to the merchant. The merchant took up his own things and rejected the others as not belonging to him. This behaviour of the merchant impressed the king and others assembled there. They all praised the honesty of this merchant and condemned the minister for his avarice. The king dismissed the minister from service and banished him from the city after disgracing him. The minister went out nourishing hatred towards the king and the queen. As a result of this hatred, he was born as a serpent in the royal treasury room; and when the king entered the treasury, he was bitten by this snake and killed. As a result of

this animosity these two were born as enemies in several successive births. This wicked *Vidyādhara* whom you want to punish at present was that *Satyaghōṣa*, the minister, who was disgraced on account of his dishonesty. The king *Simhasēna*, after a series of births and deaths, appeared as *Sañjayanta* who just attained *mukti*. We are all assembled here to offer *pūjā* to this *Sañjayanta* who was in his former birth *Simhasēna-mahārāja*. The queen *Rāmadattādēvī* is myself, I, born at present as *Ādityāpadēva*, and you are the younger brother of this *Sañjayanta* for you, because of your longing for *dēva*-glory, became *Dharaṇendra*. Hence it would be advisable on your part to give up this hatred and pursue the path of righteousness. *Dharaṇendra* accepted this advice given by his brother *dēva*, got rid of this hatred, and began to meditate upon *dharma*. The wicked *Vidyādhara* *Vidyuddanta*, who was listening to this story, was also ashamed of his past and resolved to lead a better life thereafter. Then the two *dēvas*, *Ādityāpadēva* and *Dharaṇendra*, who were formerly the queen *Rāmadattādēvī* and her son respectively, after a period of *dēvahood*, were born as sons to the king *Anantavīrya* who ruled *Uttara-Madurā*. This king had two queens, *Mērumālinī* and *Amṛtamati*. *Ādityāpa* was born as a son to *Mērumālinī* and was named *Mēru*. *Dharaṇendra* was born to the second queen *Amṛtamati* and was named *Mandara*. Just about that time *Vimala-Tīrthaṅkara* appeared in an *udyāna* adjoining *Uttara-Madurā* with the object of teaching the *dharma*. These two princes, *Mēru* and *Mandara*,

went on their royal elephant to offer *pūjā* to this Tirthaṅkara and to listen to his preachings. Listening to this *dharma-upadēśa* these two princes became his disciples and were accepted as *gaṇadharas*, chief disciples, of the Lord. They, in their turn, spent their life in propounding *dharma* and finally, by the performance of *yōga*, attained *mukti*. The classic is named after these two princes, Mēru and Mandara, and hence called *Mērumandara-purāṇam*. It consists of 30 chapters of 1405 stanzas on the whole. Some ten years ago the present writer published this work with introduction and notes, and it is available to the reading public.

Śrīpurāṇa.—This *Śrīpurāṇa* is a very popular work among the Tamil Jamas. I do not think there is anybody who has not heard the name *Śrīpurāṇa*. It is written in an enchanting prose style in *maṇi-pravāḷa*, mixed Tamil and Sanskrit. It is based on Jinasēna's *Mahāpurāṇa* and is also further called *Triṣaṣṭiśalākāpuruṣa-purāṇa* dealing with 63 heroes. It is by an unknown author. Most probably it is a corresponding work to the Kannaḍa *Triṣaṣṭiśalākāpuruṣa-purāṇa* by Cāmuṇḍarāya. Hence it must be later than the *Jinasēna-Mahāpurāṇa* and Cāmuṇḍarāya's Kannaḍa *Purāṇa*. The 63 heroes whose history is narrated in this work are the 24 Tirthaṅkaras, the 12 Cakravartins, 9 Vāsudēvas, 9 Baladēvas and 9 Prativāsudēvas. In the case of the *Cūḷamaṇi* story we already noted Tivīṭṭa, the Vāsudēva, Vijaya, the Baladēva and Aśvagriha, the Prativāsudēva. Similarly Rāma, Lakṣmaṇa and Rāvaṇa of *Rāmāyaṇa* fame are included

in these nine groups as Kēśava, Baladēva and Prativāsudēva. Similarly Śrī Kṛṣṇa of *Bhārata* fame is one of the nine Vāsudēvas, his brother Balarāma is one of the Baladēvas and Jarāsandha of Magadha one of the nine Prativāsudēvas. While narrating the life of each Tīrthaṅkara, stories of the royal dynasties are also given. Thus this work *Śīpuiāṇa*, since it contains the story of these 63 heroes, is considered to be the Purāṇic treasure-house from which isolated stories are taken by independent authors. Unfortunately it is not yet published. It still lies buried in palmleaves manuscript, and it is hoped that some day in the near future it will be made available to the students of Tamil literature.

Next we have to notice some works on prosody and grammar contributed by Jaina authors.

Yāpparuṅgalakkārikai ¹.—This work on Tamil prosody is by one Amṛtasāgara. Though it is not definitely known at what period he lived, it may be safely asserted that the work is old by 1000 years. Since the invocatory verse is addressed to Arhatparamēśthi, it is obvious that the work is by a Jaina author. The author himself suggests that the work is based on a Sanskrit work on the same topic. Probably

1. The following are the editions of *Yāpparuṅgalakkārikai*

(1) *Pañcalakṣaṇa Mūlam*, Ed. by Ramaswamiyal Madras, 1886 includes the texts of *Nanṇūl*, *Agapporuḷ-vilakkam*, *Puṇapporuḷ—ienbā*, *Yāpparuṅgalakkārikai*, *Daṇḍiyalankārum*, *Āmīnātham*, *Vīrasōḷiyam* etc

(2) *Yāpparuṅgalakkārikai* with Kumaraswami Pulavar's commentary. Ed. by Ambalavanā Pillai, 1928, 1925 and 1936

it is a translation of that Sanskrit work. There is a commentary on this work by one Guṇasāgara who was probably a contemporary of this Amṛtasāgara. Probably they both belonged to the same Jaina *Śaṅgha*. That it is an important work on prosody, that it is considered as an authority on metres and poetic composition, and that it is used as such by later writers are evident from the references to it found in Tamil literature.

*Yāpparuṅgala Virutti*¹ :—This is also a work on Tamil prosody written by the same author, Amṛtasāgara. There is an excellent edition of this *Yāpparuṅgala-virutti* by the late S. Bhavanandam Pillai.

*Nēminātham*² : A work on Tamil grammar by Guṇavīra-panḍita. It is called *Nēminātham* because it was composed at Mylapore, the seat of the Jaina temple of Nēminātha. The author Guṇavīra-panḍita was a disciple of Vacchananda-muni of Kalandaī. The object of this work is to give a short

1. "*Yāpparuṅgalam* ..is a rare and comparatively old Tamil treatise on Tamil prosody. Besides treating completely of the ancient and pure Tamil metres, it analyses the whole extent of the new *Kalithurai* and *Viruttam* metres, classifies and groups them all scientifically. The text contains only ninety-six aphorisms ranging from one to twentynine lines in length.There is clear evidence to prove that the composition of the text of *Yāpparuṅgalam* must have taken place either at the beginning or in the middle of the tenth century A D" [*Yāpparuṅgalam*, Text and commentary, Ed by S. Bhavanandam Pillai, Madras, 1916, Editorial Preface. pp vii—viii]

2. See p. 127, footnote 1, item (1) above

and concise account of Tamiḷ grammar, because the earlier Tamiḷ works were huge and elaborate. From the introductory verses it is clear that this was composed before the destruction of the Jaina temple at Mylapore by a tidal wave. Hence it must be placed in the early centuries of the Christian era. It consists of 2 main chapters *Eḷuttadikāram* and *Ṣolladikāram*. It is composed in the well-known *veṇḇā* metre. It is printed together with a well-known old commentary in the Tamiḷ journal *Śentamiḷ* issued by the authorities of the *Tamiḷ Saṅgam* at Madurai.

The next work on Tamiḷ grammar we have to notice is *Naṇṇūl*¹, 'the good book' It is the most

1 The following are the editions of *Naṇṇūl*—

- 1) *Naṇṇūl*, Text and commentary, Ed by Arumuga-swamiḷal, 1873,
- 2) *Naṇṇūl*, Text and commentary, Ed by K. Rajagopala Pillai, 1880,
- 3) *Naṇṇūl*, Text and commentary, Ed by Arumuga Navalar, Madras, 1880 and 1886,
- 4) *Naṇṇūl*, *Eḷuttadikāram*, Text and commentary, 1886;
- 5) *Naṇṇūl*, Text and commentary, Ed. by Ponnuswamy Mudaliar, 1886,
- 6) *Naṇṇūl*, Text and commentary, Ed by Srinivasa Mudaliar, Madras, 1888,
- 7) *Naṇṇūl*, Text, Ed. by Namachchivaya Chettiyar, Madras, 1889,
- 8) *Naṇṇūl*, Text and commentary, 1889,
- 9) *Naṇṇūl*, *Eḷuttadikāram*, Text and commentary, Madras, 1889,
- 10) *Naṇṇūl*, *Colladikāram*, Text and commentary, Madras, 1890;
- 11) *Naṇṇūl*, Text and commentary, Ed by Sathagopa Ramanujachariyar, Madras, 1892, III edn Ed by Sathagopa Ramanujachariyar and Krishnamachariyar, Madras, 1900,

popular grammar in Tamil language. It is held only next to the *Tolkāppiyam* in esteem. It is by one Bavanandimuni who wrote this grammar at the request of a chief called Śīya-Gaṅga. The author was well versed not only in the Tamil grammatical works, *Tolkāppiyam*, *Agattiyam* and *Avinayam*, but also in the Sanskrit grammar, *Jainēndra*, being a great scholar both in Tamil and Sanskrit. This grammar, *Naṇṇūl*, he wrote for the benefit of the later Tamil scholars. It is prescribed as a text book for schools and colleges ; hence we may say without exaggeration that no Tamil student passes out of school or college without some knowledge of this Tamil grammar. There are a number of commentaries on this work. The most important of these commentaries is the one by the Jaina grammarian Mailaināthar. Mailaināthar is another name for Nēmināthaṇ who was the God at the Mylapore *Jinālaya*. We have an excellent edition of this *Naṇṇūl* with this Mailainātha's commentary made available to the public by Dr. V. Swaminatha Ayyar. The work consists of two parts, *Eḷuttadhikāyam* and *Śolladhikāyam* which are sub-divided into five minor chapters.

In this section on grammar we may also notice the work called *Agapporuḷṇṇilakkam*,¹ by one Nār-kavirāja Nambi. His proper name is Nambi or Nambi Nainār ; because he was expert in 4 different kinds of poetic composition he was given the title of *Nār-kavirāja*. He was a native of Puḷiyaṅguḍi on the banks

1. See p 127, (footnote 1, item 1) above.

of the river Porunai in Pāṇḍi-maṇḍalam. This work *Agapporuḷviḷakkam* is based upon the chapter on *Poruḷ-ilakkaṇam* in *Tolkāppiyam*. It is an exposition of the psychological emotion of love and allied experiences.

The contribution by Jainas to the Tamil lexicography is also worthy of note. There are three important works on Tamil lexicography; the three *nighaṇṭus* are the *Divākara-nighaṇṭu*, *Piṅgaḷa-nighaṇṭu* and the *Cūḍāmaṇi-nighaṇṭu*. All the three are dictionaries in verse which traditional scholars got by heart in order to understand the more intimate classics in the language. The first is by Divākara-muni, the second by Piṅgaḷa-muni and the third by Maṇḍalapuruṣa. Tamil scholars are of opinion that all the three were Jainas. The first, *Divākara-nighaṇṭu*, is probably lost to the world; but the other two are available. Of these the last is the most popular. From the introductory verses written by the author of the third work, *Cūḍāmaṇi-nighaṇṭu*, it may be learnt that he was a native of the Jain village Perumandūr which is a few miles distant from Tindivanam, the headquarters of the Taluk of the same name, in South Arcot District. The author further refers to Guṇabhadra-cārya, a disciple of Jinasēnā-cārya. This Guṇabhadra is the author of *Uttara-purāṇa* which is the continuation volume to Jinasēna's *Mahāpurāṇa*. Hence it is clear that this Maṇḍalapuruṣa must be later than Guṇabhadra. He also refers to the other two *nighaṇṭus* which ought to be, therefore, earlier to *Cūḍāmaṇi-nighaṇṭu*. The work is written in *viruttam*

metre and contains 12 chapters¹. The first section deals with the names of *Dēvas*, the second with the names of human beings, the third with lower animals, the fourth with the names of trees and plants, the fifth with place-names, the sixth dealing with the names of several objects; the seventh deals with the several artificial objects made by man out of natural objects such as metals and timber, the eighth chapter deals with names relating to attributes of things in general, the ninth deals with names relating to sounds articulate and inarticulate, the eleventh section deals with

1. Of the three *nighaṇṭus* referred to above, the following are the editions, in part or in full, of the *Cūḍāmaṇi*, which, since it contains 12 chapters, is also known as the *Paṇṇiraṇḍu-nighaṇṭu*.

- 1) *Cūḍāmaṇi-Paṇṇiraṇḍu-nighaṇṭu*. Text and commentary. Ed. by Kesava Mudaliar. 1870:
- 2) *Cūḍāmaṇi-Paṇṇiraṇḍu-nighaṇṭu*. Text and commentary. Ed. by Arumugaswami. Tiruorriyur, 1873;
- 3) *Paṇṇiraṇḍu-nighaṇṭu*. Text and commentary. Ed. by Tandavaraya Mudaliar. 1877:
- 4) *Cūḍāmaṇi-Paṇṇiraṇḍu-nighaṇṭu*. Text and commentary, Ed. by Shanmuga Mudaliar, 1877:
- 5) *Cūḍāmaṇi-Paṇṇiraṇḍu-nighaṇṭu*. Text and commentary. Ed. by Saravana Panditar. 1880:
- 6) *Paṇṇiraṇḍu-nighaṇṭu*. Text and commentary. Ed. by Maunagurusami, Chittoor, 1883;
- 7) *Paṇṇiraṇḍu-nighaṇṭu*. Text and commentary. Ed. by Ponnuswami Mudaliar and Dasa Garundar. 1886:
- 8) *Cūḍāmaṇi-nighaṇṭu*. Text of the first ten chapters, Ed. by V;thilingam. Madras. 1875;
- 9) *Cūḍāmaṇi-nighaṇṭu*. Text and commentary of the first ten chapters. Ed. by Arumuga Navalur, Madras, 1867, 1873 and 1880;

(Contd.)

words which are rhyming with one another, and hence relating to a certain aspect of prosody, the twelfth section is a miscellaneous section dealing with the groups of related words. We have a useful edition of this *Cūḍāmaṇi-niḡhaṇṭu* with an old commentary by the late Arumukha Navalar of Jaffna. Similarly there

- 10) *Cūḍāmaṇi-niḡhaṇṭu*, First chapter, Ed by Arunachala Iyer, Konnur, 1873;
- 11) *Cūḍāmaṇi-niḡhaṇṭu*, First chapter, Ed by Arumugasvamīgal, 1873 and 1887,
- 12) *Cūḍāmaṇi-niḡhaṇṭu*, First chapter, Ed by Subramaniasvamīgal, Madras, 1889,
- 13) *Cūḍāmaṇi-niḡhaṇṭu*, Second and third chapters, Ed by Ratna Chettīyar, Madras, 1889,
- 14) *Paḍiṇṇōrāvadu-niḡhaṇṭu* (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed by Karunanandasvamīgal, 1868,
- 15) *Paḍiṇṇōrāvadu-niḡhaṇṭu* (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed by Arumugasvamīgal, 1869, 1873,
- 16) *Paḍiṇṇōrāvadu-niḡhaṇṭu* (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed by Kesava Mudaliar, 1870,
- 17) *Paḍiṇṇōrāvadu-niḡhaṇṭu* (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed by Arumuga Mudaliar, 1875,
- 18) *Paḍiṇṇōrāvadu-niḡhaṇṭu* (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed. by Tiruvengadam Pillai and Kumara svamī Iyer, 1880,
- 19) *Paḍiṇṇōrāvadu-niḡhaṇṭu* (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed by Subramaniasvamīgal, 1881,
- 20) *Paḍiṇṇōrāvadu-niḡhaṇṭu* (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed by Virabhadra Iyer, 1882,
- 21) *Paḍiṇṇōrāvadu-niḡhaṇṭu* (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed. by Appavu Pillai, 1882,
- 22) *Cūḍāmaṇi-niḡhaṇṭu* *Paḍiṇṇōrāvadu* (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed by Manikka Upadhyayar, Madras, 1883, 1889,

(Contd)

is an edition of *Piṅgaḷa-nighaṇṭu* by a Tamil pandit by name Sivan Pillai.

Having disposed of grammar and lexicography, let us turn our attention to one or two miscellaneous works : *Tirumūṟṟantādi* by Avirōdhi Āḷvār. The *antādi* is a peculiar form of composition where the last word in the previous stanza becomes the first and the leading word in the next stanza. *Antādi* literally means 'the end and the beginning.' This constitutes a string of verses connected with one another by a catch-word which is the last in the previous stanza and the first in the succeeding stanza. *Tirumūṟṟantādi* is such a composition containing 100 verses. It is a devotional work addressed to God Nēminātha of Mylapore. The author Avirōdhi Āḷvār was a convert to the Jaina faith. It is said that one day, while he was passing by the side of the *Jinālaya*, he heard the Jaina *ācārya* within the temple expounding to his disciples the nature of *mōkṣa* and *mōkṣa-mārga*. Attracted by this exposition he entered the temple and listened to the teacher's discourse. Desiring to learn more about this he requested the *ācārya* to permit him to attend the

- 23) *Paḍiṇṟōṟāḍu-nighaṇṭu* (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed. by Arumachala Mudaliar, 1823;
- 24) *Cūḍāmāṇi-nighaṇṭil Paḍiṇṟōṟāḍu*, (11th chapter), Text and commentary. Ed. by Shanmuga Mudaliar, Madras, 1826;
- 25) *Paḍiṇṟōṟāḍu-nighaṇṭu* (11th chapter), Text and commentary, 1837;
- 26) *Cūḍāmāṇi-nighaṇṭu* (11th chapter), Text and commentary and 12th chapter, Text, Ed. by Ponnam bala Pillai, 1892;

lectures, which permission was readily granted. Finally he became a convert to the faith and in recognition of this change in his life, he composed this *Tirunūṟṟantādi* dedicated to the god Nēminātha of Mylapore. It is a very beautiful devotional work containing a few facts relating to the author himself. It is published with notes in the *Sentamiḷ Journal* conducted by the *Tamiḷ Saṅgam*, Madurai.¹

*Tirukkalambagam*² is another devotional work by a Jaina author by name Udīcidēva. He belonged to the country of Toṇḍa-maṇḍalam. He was a native of Arpagai, a place near Arani in Vellore Taluk. The term *kalambagam* implies a sort of poetic mixture where the verses are composed in diverse metres. This *Tirukkalambagam* by Udīci, besides being devotional, is also philosophical in which the author tries to discuss the doctrines of the rival faiths such as Buddhism. It probably belongs to a period later than that of Akaṣaṅka, the great Jaina philosopher, who was responsible for undermining the supremacy of Buddhism in the south, and who was probably a contemporary of Kumārila-bhaṭṭa, the Hīndu reformer.

Jainas were also responsible for contribution to Mathematics, Astronomy and Astrology. Probably several works relating to these topics have been lost. We have at present one representative in each. *Eṇṇūvaḍi*, a popular work on Arithmetic, and *Jinēndra-mālai*, an equally popular work on Astrology. Traders

1 *Tirunūṟṟantādi*, Text, Kāñchīpuram, 1883.

2. *Tirukkalambagam* (*Aruṅgalacceppu*), Kāñchīpuram, 1883.

who are accustomed to keep accounts in the traditional form get their early training by studying this mathematical work called *Eṇcuvaḍi* and Tamiḷ astrologers similarly get their grounding in *Jinēndramālai* which forms the mainstay for their predictions popularly known as *ārūḍha*.

This completes our cursory survey of Tamiḷ literature with special reference to Jaina contributions thereto. The prevalence of Jainism in ancient Tamiḷ land and its usefulness to the Tamiḷ people are not merely vouchsafed for by Tamiḷ literature but are also evidenced by the customs and manners prevalent among the upper classes of the Tamiḷ society. Even after the Śaivaite revival, when several Jinas were made, under penalty, to embrace Hinduism for political reasons, these converts to Hinduism, who went back to their own respective castes in the Hindu fold, zealously preserved their customs and manners acquired while they were Jinas. Though they changed their religion, still they did not change their *ācāras*. It is curious that the Tamiḷ term *śaivam*, which primarily means the follower of the Śaiva faith, means in popular parlance a strict vegetarian. A strict vegetarian among the Hindu *vēḷāḷas* is said to observe *śaivam* in the matter of food. Similarly the *brāhmaṇas* in the Tamiḷ land are *śaivam*, i.e., strict vegetarians. In this respect the Tamiḷ *brāhmaṇa* is distinguished as the *Drāviḍa-brāhmaṇa* from the Brahmins in other parts of India who are brought under the category of *Gauḍa-brāhmaṇas*. The *Drāviḍa-brāhmaṇas*, wherever they be, are strict

vegetarians, - whereas the *Gauḍa-brāhmaṇas* all eat fish and some eat meat also. Bengal *brāhmaṇas* who belong to the Pañca-gauḍa group eat fish and meat. It is normal with Bengal *brāhmaṇas* to offer goat or buffalo as sacrifice to the goddess Kālī and carry home meat as Kālī's *prasāda*. Such a thing is unthinkable in any of the Hindu temples in the south, whether dedicated to Viṣṇu or Śiva. Hence it may not be altogether an exaggeration to state that in the matter of purity of temple worship the Jaina doctrine of *ahiṃsā* has been accepted and preserved by the upper class of Hindus in the Tamil land even up to the present day. Of course there are scattered places where animal sacrifice is offered to the Village Gods. But it must be said to the credit of the upper classes among the Tamil Hindus that they have nothing to do with this grosser form of Kālī worship. With the growth of education and culture, it may be hoped that even these lower orders in Tamil society will give up this gross and ignorant form of religious worship and elevate themselves to a higher religious status actuated by purer and nobler ideals

APPENDIX

JAINA EPIGRAPHS IN TAMIL

In this appendix are brought together, for the first time, the texts of eightyfive Jaina inscriptions in Tamil, published over the decades in the pages of many different volumes, most of them now out of print, and hence not readily available to the world of scholars and students. Though the present collection is by no means exhaustive, its utility lies in the fact that it enables readers to understand, though only on broad lines, the course of the history of Jainism in the Tamil country. Some of the published Jaina Tamil epigraphs have been left out of the present collection owing to their damaged or uncertain nature. Since quite a few Jaina epigraphs are merely cursorily noticed, without their texts being given, they too have been excluded. Nevertheless, any conclusion pertaining to the prevalence and chronological and regional history of Jainism in Tamīl-nāḍu, drawn on the basis of this appendix, is not likely to be seriously affected or modified by any such exhaustive collection which may be rendered possible by future publications.

It will be clear from the sequel that nearly two-thirds of the eightyfive inscriptions included here hail from the Tirunelveli and Madurai districts. The provenance and dates of these inscriptions lead to the following conclusions, viz.,

that Jainism was at its zenith in the Tamil country during the 8th and 9th centuries A. D., particularly in the Madurai-Tirunelveli region;

that the Madurai-Tiruchirappalli region had received this noble faith at least as early as in the 2nd century B. C., that this region continued to patronise Jainism till at least the 9th century A. D.;

that Jaina followers were very active in the Tirunelveli and North Arcot regions during the 8th—11th centuries;

and that the incidence of Jaina epigraphs in Tamil in the other districts of Tamīṇāḍu is rather sporadic.

The topographical distribution of the inscriptions included in the present appendix is given below.

<i>District</i>	<i>Serial No. of the inscriptions</i>
Madurai	1-3, 20, 24-40
Tiruchirappalli	4, 13, 22, 82-83
Tanjavur	5
South Arcot	6, 14, 45
North Arcot	7-9, 21, 42-44, 76-81, 85
Ramanathapuram	10-11, 23
Tirunelveli	12, 15-19, 41, 46-75
Chingleput	84

Explanatory notes have been added at the end wherever found necessary and the source of publication mentioned in all cases.

TAMIL BRAHMI INSCRIPTIONS

MĀṆGUḶAM, MADURAI TALUK, MADURAI DISTRICT

No 1.

On a boulder on the Kaḷugumalai hill near Māṅguḷam (Aṇṇṇāpaṭṭi).

Dynasty

King:.....

Date : 2nd-1st cent B.C.

Language and Alphabet :

Tamil, Brāhmī

Purport : Records that the monastery (*paḷi*) was given in dedication to the monk (*Āsiriṇka*) Kaṇi Nanta by Kaṭalaṇ Vajutti, a servant of Neṭuṇcaḷiyaṇ.

TEXT-

Kaṇṇiy Nanta āsiriyaḥ = uvaṅke dhammam
 itta-a Neṭuñcaḷiyaṅ paṇa-aṅ kaṭalaṅ
 Vaḷuttuḥ koṭṭupitta-a paḷi-iy

No. 2.

On another boulder on the same hill.

Dynasty :

King.

Date : 2nd-1st cent B C.

Language and Alphabet .

Tamiḷ, Brāhmī

Purport : Records that the monastery (*paḷi*) was given in dedication to the monk Kaṇṇi Nanta by Caṭikaṅ, the father of Iḷaṅcaṭikaṅ and brother-in-law (*sālakaṅ*) of Neṭuñcaḷiyaṅ

TEXT

Kaṇṇiy Nant = āsiriyaḥ = uvaṅ dhammam
 itta Neṭuñcaḷiyaṅ sālakaṅ
 Iḷaṅcaṭikaṅ tandaiy Caṭikaṅ ce-iya paḷiy

No. 3

On a third boulder on the same hill.

Dynasty

King

Date 2nd-1st cent. B. C

Language and Alphabet :

Tamiḷ, Brāhmī

Purport Records the gift of the lattice (*piṇṇaṅ*) to the monk Kaṇṇi Na[n]ta by Kāḷitika, son of Antai and merchant prince of the mercantile guild (*nigama*) of Veḷarai.

TEXT

Kaṇṇi Na[n]ta = āsiriyaḥ = uva .

Veḷarai-y nīkamatu kāviti-iy

Kāḷitika Antai-a sutaṇ

piṇa-ū koṭupitōṇ

No. 4.

**PUGAḷŪR, KARUR TALUK, TIRUCHCHIRAPPALLI
DISTRICT.**

On the brow of the first cavern on the Āṇṇāṭṭār hill near
Vēlāyudampāḷaiyam.

Dynasty :

King : Ātaṇ Cellirumporai

Date : 3rd-4th cent A D.

Language and Alphabet

Tamiḷ, Brāhmī

Purport : Records that Iḷankaṭuñkō, the son of Peruñkaṭuñkōṇ,
the son of the king Ātaṇ Cellirumporai, caused the stone abode
(uṇai) of the Jaina monk (amaṇṇaṇ) Ceñkāyapaṇ ofyāṇrūr to be
cut on becoming heir-apparent.

TEXT

...tā amaṇṇaṇ Yāṇrūr Ceñkāyapaṇ uray

kō Ātaṇ Cellirumporai makaṇ

Peruñkaṭuñkōṇ makaṇ Iḷaṇ-

kaṭuñkō [1*]Jañkō āka aṇutta kal

Notes . The word *paḷi* which is used in the sense of a monastery
in Nos. 1 and 2 above came to be written in later Tamiḷ
inscriptions as *paḷḷi*

The reference to the *nigama* in No 3 above shows that,
as in later times, Jainism enjoyed the support of the
merchant community of Tamiḷnāḍu even in the early
period.

[Published in *Seminar on Inscriptions* (Madras), 1966, pp
60-73].

No. 5

PAḷḷAṅKŌVIL, TIRUTTURAIPPUNDI TALUK,
TANJAVUR DISTRICT

Copper-plate set recovered at the time of ploughing a field in the village.

Dynasty · Pallava

Date · Regnal year 6

(6th Century A D)

King : · Sīṃhavarma

Language and Alphabet :

Sanskrit in Grantha,

Tamiḷ in Tamiḷ

Purport · Records the royal grant of the village Amaṇṣērkkai (Śramaṇ-āśrama in the Sanskrit portion), situated in Perunagara-nāḍu, a sub-division within Veṅkuṇṇa-kkōṭṭam, as *paḷḷiccandam* to Vajranandi-kuravar (Vajranandi-gaṇi in the Sanskrit portion) of Paruttikuṇṇu (Vardhamāna-dharmatirtha in the Sanskrit portion) Also records the grant of some land in Tāmar as *paḷḷiccandam* to the same donee. The said grants were made for the worship of Jinendra and the Jainēndras.

TEXT

[Lines 1 to 26, 24 of them engraved on three sides of the first two plates, and the remaining two engraved on the first side of the third plate, are in Grantha characters and Sanskrit language]

Third Plate : First side

27. kō Viśārya-Sīṃhavarmmaṇku yāṇḍ-āṇvadu Veṅ-
28. kuṇṇa-kkōṭṭattu-Pperunagara-nāṭṭu nāṭṭar
29. kāṇḡa [1*] Tan-nāṭṭu Amaṇṣērkkai Parutti-
30. kkuṇṇu Vajranandi-kkuravarkku-ppaḷḷiccandam-ā-
31. ga-kkuḍuttōn-tāṇḡaḷum paḍāḡai naḍandu kal-
32. luṇ-kalḷiṇṇun-nāṭṭi aṇṇy-ōlai śeydu ko-

Third Plate : Second side

33. duttu viḍudagav-eṇṇu nāṭṭārkku-ttīrumugam viḍa
nāṭṭā-
34. run-tīrumugam kaṇḍu toḷudu talaikku vaittu paḍā-
35. gai naḍandu kalluñ-kalḷiyu-nāṭṭi nāṭṭār viḍunda
36. aṇaiy-ōlai-ppaḍikk-ellai-kīlpā-
37. l-ellai Endal-ēriyiṇ kīlai-kkaḍaṇṇi-
38. ṇ mēḷlum-omai-kkollai elai iṇṇu-
39. m teṇṇāl-ellai Veḷvaḍugaṇ kēṇiyi-
40. ṇ vaḍakkum kaḍaṇṇiṇ-ellai iṇṇun-Nīlapāḍi

Fourth Plate . First side

41. ellai iṇṇum Vīlāḍaṇ kuṇṇ-ēttatt-ellai
42. iṇṇum mēlpāl-ellai māṇ-ēttattu
43. vadiyiṇ kījaklum mum-mūlai ēttatt-ellai
44. iṇṇu-māṇṇ-ellai iṇṇum vaḍapāl-cl-
45. lai peruñ-kollaiyiṇ-ṇeḷu iṇṇum
46. Pāṇḍiyaṇ-kayatt-ellai iṇṇum murukkañ-
47. kēṇi ellai [| *] Ivv-iśaitta peru-nāṇḍ-el-
48. īiy-agattum-agappaṭṭa nīlam nīr-nīlamum

Fourth Plate : Second side

49. puṇṣaiyūm kaḷarum kaṇṇu-mēy-pāḷum kāḍu-
50. m pīḷḷigaiyūm kiḍaḍuñ-kēṇiyūm maṇai-
51. yūm maṇaiṇṇaḍappum uḍumboḍi āmai tava-
52. īṇḍad-ellām-uṇṇilaṇ-ōḷiv-iṇṇi
53. kōvum poṇiyūm mēṇṇi kuḍi-nīkki ivv-ūr
54. peṇṇa Vajranandi-kkuravarkkē paḷḷiccandam-āga Tā-
55. marilum paḍiṇārarai-ppaṭṭi nīlañ-koḍut-
56. tōm [| *] In-nīlattuḷ-ellai kīlpāl-e-

Fifth Plate . First side

- 57 llaḥ Puli-kīārpaṭṭiyiṅ mērkum teṇpā-
 51 l-ellai Muḷḷeri-pā[kka*]tt-ellaiyin vaḍa-
 59. kkum mēlpāl-ellai pokkuvāy-cceru-
 60 viṅ kiḷakkum vaḍapāl ellai pallāṅgu-
 61 ḷikkāviṅ terkum in-nāṅg-ellai-
 62 y-agattum agappaṭṭa bhūmiyum Paruttikkunṇi-
 63 l Vajranandi-kkūravarkkē paḷḷiccandam-āga
 parada-
 64. tti ṣeṇradu [| *] Narabhayaṅ-āṇaṭṭiyāl

Notes . The reference to Paruttikkunṇu as Vardhamāna-dharma-tīrtha in the Sanskrit portion is interesting. There are in Tamīḷnāḍu a number of places named differently as Paruṭṭiyūr, Paruttikkuḍi, Paruṭṭippaṭṭu, etc. It has been suggested elsewhere (*Pallavar Cheppēḍugaḷ Muppadu*, pp 25-26) that the ancient place-name Paruttikkunṇu should be taken as alluding to some hitherto unknown incident in the life of Vardhamāna-Tīrthaṅkara since his name is given to that village in the Sanskrit portion. Paruttikkunṇu is the same as modern Tirupparuttikkunṇam, a village in the vicinity of Kāñchīpuram and known in the past as Jina-Kāñchī. It was formerly one of the four *ācārya-piṭhas* of the Digambara-Jainas. There stands in that village even today a temple dedicated to Vardhamāna-Tīrthaṅkara. But scholars are of the opinion that this temple is later in date than the one dedicated to Chandra-prabha-Tīrthaṅkara and located in the same village. Nevertheless, the present inscription attests to the fact that there existed in that place a temple of Vardhamāna from early times.

The village granted is named Amaṇṣērkkai and Śramaṇ-āśrama in the Tamil and Sanskrit portions respectively. (cf Inscription No 82 below, note 2 for a similar instance).

The donor Vajranandi-karavar is stated, in the Sanskrit portion, to belong to the Nandi-saṅgha.

This inscription contains one of the earliest references to the term *paṭṭicardam* signifying a grant of land given in particular to a Jaina temple or institution or preceptor.

[Published in *Pallavar Cheppāṣṭagaḷ* Muppara, pp. 1-32]

No. 6.

Śiṅgavaram, Gingee Taluk, South Arcot District.

On a rock in the Tirumēthar-saṅgha near the village.

Dynasty :

King :

Date : 6th century A.D.

Language and Alphabet :

Tamiḷ

Purport : Commemorates the death of Candiranandi-ācārīgar after observing fast for 57 days.

TEXT

1. Aimbattē-aga-
2. āgan-nōṭṭe
3. Candiranandi-ā-
4. ācārīgar nīṭṭiṭṭi [*]

Notes : The Sanskrit word *ācāryaka* has taken the *śaḍbhava* form of *ācārīga* in this inscription. Cf Nos. 1-3 above where the *śaḍbhava* occurs as *ācārīyika* and *ācārīyaka*.

[Published in *SIL*, Vol. XVII, No. 252]

Nos. 7-8.

Kiṭṭāmetāḷam, Wandiwash Taluk, North Arcot District.

Natural rock surface called Perumēḷ-pāṭai near the Jaina temple of Chandranāthaswami.

No. 7.

Dynasty Pallava

King Nandivarman II

Date . 14th regnal year
(747 A D)Language and Alphabet .
Tamil

Purport Records the gift of seven gold coins (*kaḷiṇṇu*) by Āṇḍai Iḷaiyār Pavaṇandi for feeding the Jaina ascetics who were not in the regular establishment of the temple (*paḷḷi*). The villagers undertook to protect the provisions of the grant

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-Nandiṇṇōttare-
2. śarkku-ppadināṅg-āvadu i-
- 3 p-paḷḷi-uḍaiārai nikkī irun-
- 4 da tavaṣigaḷukku-ppiccai eḷu-
- 5 kaḷaṇṇu poṇ ivv-ūr-Āṇḍai I-
- 6 Iaiyār Pavaṇandi vaitta aṟam
7. kaḷaṇṇināl uḷakḷ-ariśi muṇḷūṭṭi
- 8 vaittadu ivv-aṟam-ūrōmuḷ-kāp-
- 9 pōm-āga oṭṭināl Kāmakkō-
- 10 ṭṭam-aḷitta pāvam-āga oṭṭir-nā-
11. i vāy-uḷakḷariśiṁ muṭṭir
12.

No 8

Dynasty : Pallava

King : Nandivarman II

Date . 56th regnal year
(789 A.D.)Language and Alphabet .
Tamil

Purport : Records the gift of seventeen *kaḷiṇṇu* of coins for providing one *uḷakḷu* of rice per *kaḷiṇṇu* to the same *paḷḷi*. By Pūṇḍi-Muppāvai, the daughter of Jinaḍiyār of Vajraṇṇa T.

grant along with that of Pavaṇandī (recorded in No 7 above) was to be protected by the villagers.

TEXT

1. Śrī-Nandippōttaraiśarkku
2. yāṇḍu aimbattāṭvadu
3. Viḷukkattu ṣiṇḍiyār māgaṭṭār
4. Pūṇḍi Muppāvai [vai*]ṭṭa kāṣu paḍineḷu
5. kaḷaṇḍu mēl ip-paḷḷikku
6. kaḷaṇḍināl-uḷakk-ariṣi vai . .
7. . . aṭṭuvār-ivv-aḷam Pavaṇa-
8. ndiyār vaitta paṇam ūrōm kā-
9. ppōm-anōm [| | *]

Notes : The gifts made are referred to in the two inscriptions as *aḷam* which is the Tamil rendering of Sanskrit *dharma* and Prakrit *dhamma*. Cf. *dēya dhamma* occurring in Prakrit donative records. It is stated in lines 9-10 of No 7 above that those who flout the grant recorded therein would incur the sin of having destroyed the *Kāma-kkōṭṭam*. While in Tamil inscriptions of 11th-13th centuries, the word *kāma-kkōṭṭam* signifies Śaivite *ammaṇ*, shrines, in the above inscription it obviously refers to a Jaina temple since, in early Tamilnāḍu, the word *kōṭṭam* was exclusively applied to Jaina temples. In this connection, it is interesting to note that the recently discovered Guḍṇāpur inscription (*Śrikanṭhika*, Mysore, 1973, pp. 61-72) of Kadamba Ravivarman (6th cent. A. D.), mentions a *Kāma-Jinālaya* built by that ruler.

[Published in *Seminar on Inscriptions* (Madras, 1966), pp. 158-59]

No. 9.

... Nandav mal i Wandiwash Taluk North Arcot District.

On the front face of the rock which overhangs a natural cave containing a pool of water.

Dynasty · Pallava

King Nandipōttaraśar
(Nandivarman)

Date · Regnal year 50
(c 767 A.D.)

Language and Alphabet ·
Tamiḷ

Purport · Records that Nāraṇṇ, the son of Maruttuvar of Pugaḷāimaṅgalam, caused to be engraved an image of Poṇṇiyakkīyār attended by the preceptor (*guravar*) Nāganandi

TEXT

1. Nandippōttaraśarkku aymbadāvadu Nāganandi-guravar
2. irukka Poṇṇiyakkīyār paḍimam koṭtuvittāṅ
3. Pugaḷāimaṅgalattu Maruttuvar magan Nāraṇa-
4. ṇ [| ' | *]

Notes : "Poṇṇiyakkīyār is the honorific plural of Poṇṇiyakkī (Sanskrit Svarṇa-yakṣī), which consists of the Tamiḷ word *poṇ*, 'gold' and, *iyakkī*, the Tamiḷ form of Sanskrit *yakṣī*. There is hardly any doubt that, of the group of five figures which are engraved in the cave below the inscription, the sitting female figure represents Poṇṇiyakkī"

P B Desai (*Jainism in South India*, p 40) identifies Poṇṇiyakkī with Śiddhāyikā, the characteristic attendant deity of Mahāvīra.

[Published in *Ep Ind*, Vol IV, pp 136-37]

No 10

Paḷḷimaḍam, Aruppukkottai Taluk, Ramanathapuram District
On the wing-stone at the entrance to the Kālanāthasvāmīn temple

Dynasty · Pāṇḍya

King · Māraṇjaḍaiyaṇ

Date : Regnal year 26
(782 A D.)Language and Alphabet ·
Tamiḷ, Vaṭṭeḷuttu

Purport : This fragmentary inscription appears to record a gift of 100 sheep by Śāttan-Guṇattāṇ of Kuṇṇūr in Karunilakkuḍi-nāḍu for supplying ghee to a deity in the temple of Tirukkāṭṭāmbaḷḷi at Kuṇṇḍi.

TEXT

1. Śrīmat-kō-Māraṇjaḍaiya . . .
2. irubattāru iv-vāṇḍu Veṇ . . .
3. k-Kuṇṇḍi-Tirukkāṭṭāmbaḷḷi . . .
4. tūgaṭ-padiṇṇāḷḷi neycku . . .
5. nīlakkuḍi-nāṭṭu-Kkuṇṇūr-Ccāṭṭa]-
6. ā-Guṇattāṇ aṭṭiṇa āḍu nūru [[| *]

Notes · “From other records it is known that at Kuṇṇḍi there flourished in the olden days an important Jaina temple named Tirukkāṭṭāmbaḷḷi. This stone may have belonged originally to that temple and removed thence and placed in this temple Kuṇṇḍi may be identified with the village of the same name in the Aruppukkottai Taluk ”

“Kuṇṇḍi has been alternately identified with a place in Agastisvaram Taluk of the old Travancore State (*Trav Arch. Series*, Vol. III, p 2).”

[Published in *SII* , Vol XIV, No 32]

No. 11

Paḷḷiṇaḍam, Aruppukkottai Taluk, Ramanathapuram District
Wing-stone at the entrance to the Kālanāthasvāmin temple

Dynasty Pāṇḍya

King · Māraṇjaḍaiyaṇ

Date : Regnal year 35
(791 A D)Language and Alphabet :
Tamiḷ, Vaṭṭeḷuttu

Purport : Records a gift of 50 sheep to Tīrukkāṭṭāmbaḷḷi at Kuraṇḍi in Veṇbu-nāḍu in order to supply to the temple 5 *nāḷi* of ghee everyday.

TEXT

1. Śrīmat-kō-Māraṇjaḍaiyarku yāṇ-
2. ḍu muppattaiṇju idaṅ-eḍi[r*]
3. [āru] 11v-aṇḍu Veṇbu-ṇā-
4. ṭṭu-Kkuraṇḍi Tīrukkāṭṭāmba-
5. ḷḷikku aḷḷattu iḍu Oladū-
6. r-kīḷ Pāmbārūr tūgaḷ aṇ-
7. āḷi ney aṭṭa aḍutta āḍu aḷ-
8. mbaḍu [| |*]

Notes · Like the stone bearing inscription No 10 above, this wing-stone also must have been brought from the Tīrukkāṭṭāmbaḷḷi Jaina temple at Kuraṇḍi and inserted in the Kālanāthasvāmīn temple at some later date.

[Published in *ibid* , No. 39]

No. 12.

Ēruvāḍi, Nanguneri Taluk, Tirunelveli District

On a boulder in the Iratṭaipottai rock

Dynasty . Pāṇḍya

King · Māraṇjaḍaiyaṇ

Date : Regnal year 43

Language and Alphabet :

(799 A D.)

Tamiḷ

Purport · This weather-worn inscription seems to record a gift of land by Irambāṭṭu-vēḷāṇṇī Śāttan as *paḷḷiccandam* to the god (*bhaṭāra*) of Tīruvīruttalai-Aruvāḷam in Nāṭṭārruppōḷḷu and a gift of sheep for a lamp. A Tamiḷ verse at the end, composed by the donor himself, contains an exhortation to all to offer worship to the Aruḷan on the hill

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-kō-Māraṇjaḍaiyaṟku yāṇḍu 43 ivv-āṇḍu Nāṭṭāṟṟu-
ppōkku-Tti-
- 2 ruviruttalai-Aruvēḷattu Paṭārakarkku-ppaḷḷiccandam-
āga Nāṭṭukku-
3. rumbiṇ Kiḷccēri Pāppācāṇṇārēy ivv-ūrōṅgaḷ bhūmi
ṣiṟṟeyiḷ-kā-
4. liḷ-pirinda arumaṇḍaikkālāl nīr-aṭṭu nel viḷaivaṇa
iḷamakkal ṣe-
5. ydad-in-nilam 22 v-iḷamperuṇkālāl nīr-aṭṭu nel viḷai-
vaṇa kāṇam
- 6 taḍi 2 Kāyalūr-kkiḷāṇ taḍi 2 nilaṇ 4 iḷkālāl nīr-aṭṭu
nel viḷaivaṇa muda-
- 7 ccey mēl-adu taḍi nilaṇ 5 vagaiyil vayalil adam peṇṇa .
ma . . ye-
- 8 ṇ-eṇṇa kālāl nīr-aṭṭu nel viḷaiyadu kiḷpulaccey taḍi .
nilaṇ
- 9 ēṟṟi-ttaḍi oṇpadu nilaṇ 1 kālun-Karaikkāṇa-nāṭṭu
Irambāṭṭu- . .
10. vēḷāṇ Sāttaṇ pakkal in-nilattāl yīrai-vilai-pporuḷ
koṇḍu in-
11. nilattāl iṟai-kkaḍaṇum valī irai vettī evvagai-
ppaṭṭadum Nāṭ-
12. ṭukkurumbiṟ-Kiḷccēri- Ppāppācāṇṇōmē kātti-ūṭṭvadā-
gavum [| *] i-
13. ppūmi iṇṇariṣēy vilaiḷkuṟa viru-pporuḷaṟa-kkoṇḍu vi
- 14 laiyāvaṇa- pporuḷ - celav-ōlaiy - āga-cceydu - kuṭṭōm
Pāppācāṇṇārō-
15. m Vēḷāṇ Sāttaṇukku, [| *] iṇṇariṣu iṇṇūmi kāttū-
ṭṭuva. . . . in-nila-[kaḍa]mai-
16. yil acc-aṇḷu paḷaṇkāṣu vevvēṟṟu-vagai irattī poruḷu-
marak

- 17 *ṇattu-ttaṇḍamum paṭṭu-ppṇṇaiyum iṇṇūmi ivva . . .
muṭṭāmal aṭṭu-*
- 18 *ñ-Kiḷccēri-Ppāppācāṇṇārōn-Tiruviruttalai-Aruvāḷattu
ivv-āṇḍu ittē-*
- 19 *varkkēy ivaṇē vatta tiruviḷakku oṇṇiṇṇukku niyaḍi . . .
muṭṭāmal aṭṭu-*
- 20 *vidāga-Pperumbalaṇṇi irukkṇṇa paḍai-ttalaivaṇ
Kōṇaikkāḍaṇṇukku . 5 ū . . .*
21. *ñkōṇ Mārañ-kuḍittāṇṇiyum puṇaiy-āga aḍutta śāvē-
mūvā-ppērāḍu aimbadu śri-Śilacciga-*
- 22 *ri ṇ Antam-il Pal-guṇatt-ādi Aruhantaṇ-en-tam-iḍar-
tirkku .*
23. *ṇōrāta muṇṇēy tiruttalai toḷumiṇ ṣeṇru-vand-aṇ-malar
. . . naiyē toḷumiṇ .*
- 24 *tēvar ṣeṇḍiṇṇam-avi-poḷir-cōlai tiḷaḷvāṇ-andaḍa . . .
yuḷi-vandu maṇiy-eṇṇō*
25. *maṇattu-ṇiṇaiṇṇiḷaṇ-eṇṇō malar-uṇḍa makarandam
pa . . .*
26. *ṇey [| | *] ivaiyum Vēḷāṇ Sāttāṇ ṣollṇa ṇ ivaiyum
ṇḍuin-nāḍ-ā-*
27. *ḷu-nāṭṭu nāṣakaṇmār ṇ*

Notes · Lines 12 and 17-18 above mention *pāppacāṇṇār* of *Kiḷccēri* in *Nāṭṭukkurumbu* as the protectors and executors of the grant recorded in the epigraph. The word *śāṇṇār* means "learned", "wise", etc. It thus appears that the learned *brāhmanas* (*pārppāi*) of *Kiḷccēri* were entrusted with the task of managing this grant made to a Jaina deity.

In the verse occurring in lines 22-26, the donor-poet eulogises the Arhat as 'one without end' (*antam-il*), as the 'source of many qualities' (*pal-guṇatt-ādi*) and as the 'healer of woes' (*iḍar-tirkkum*).

No. 13.

Tēṇimalai, Tirumayyam Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District
On a rock in front of the Āṇḍār-maṭha.

Dynasty :

King :

Date : 8th century A.D.

Language and Alphabet

Tamiḻ

Purport : States that while the Jaina ascetic Malayadhvaṇ Tē-
performing penance on Tēṇūmalai, a certain Irukkuvēḷ (i.e., a
local chieftain belonging to the Irukkuvēḷ family) visited the
place and gave an endowment of land as paḷḷiccandaṁ for the
maintenance of the sage.

TEXT

1. Svasti śrī [| | *] Malayadhvaṇ Tē-
2. ṇū-malai-ḷ tavaṇ-je-
3. yya-kkaṇḍu Irukku-
4. vēḷ vanditlu aviṇṇu-
5. raṇṇeyda paḷḷiccanda-
6. n-nāḷēkāl [| | *] ivv-araṇ-kā-
7. ttāṇ aḍi nittāṇṇe-
8. ṇi aḷa [| | *]

[Published in *Inscriptions (Texts) of the Pudukkottai State*, No 9]

No. 14

Kiḷṣāttamaṅgalam, Wandiwash Taluk, South Arcot District
Natural rock surface called Perumāḷ-pārai near the Jaina temple
of Candranāthasvāmi

Dynasty Pallava

King Kampavarman

Date 6th regnal year
(876 A.D.)

Language and Alphabet

Tamiḻ

Purport Records that Mādēvi, the wife of Kāṭakatiyaraiyar, renovated the Jaina temple (*tirukkōyil*) and monastery (*pālī*) built a *mukha-maṇḍapa*, constructed a temple for the Yakṣa-bhaṭāri and gifted a big bell to that temple.

TEXT

1. Svasti śrī [| | *]Kampapanmarku yān-
2. ḍ-ārāvadu Kāṭakatiyaraiyar ma-
3. ṇavāṭṭi Mādēvi it-tirukkō-
4. yil pudukkī muka-maṇḍagam-e-
5. ḍuppittu pālī pudukkī Yak-
6. ka-paṭṭāri-ttirukkoyill-eḍu-
7. 'ppittu ipp-paḷḷikk-iṭṭa
8. perumaṇi oṇ ru ūṭṭuvittā [| | *]

Notes - This inscription bears ample testimony to the fact that Kīṣāttamaṅgalam flourished as an important Jaina stronghold during the latter part of Pallava rule

No 15

Kaḷugumalai, Kovilpatti Taluk, Tirunelveli District

On the rock at Kaḷugumalai

Dynasty :

King

Date . 8th century A.D.

Language and Alphabet :

Tamil, Vatteḷuttu

Purport . Records that the sacred image (*ṛumēṇi*) was caused to be made by the preceptor Nāgaṇandi, the pupil of the preceptor Śiṅgaṇandi of Āṇaṇūr

TEXT

1. Śrī [| | *] Āṇaṇūr Śiṅgaṇan-

2. di-kkuravaḍiga] mā-
3. ṇākkar Nāgaṇandi-kkurava-
4. ḍiga] śeyvitta tirumēṇi [| | *]

Notes : Vide No. 9 above, an inscription of the 8th century, which also alludes to the preceptor named Nāgaṇandi.

[Published in *Ep. Ind.*, Vol.IV, p. 156, f n. 6].

Nos.16-17.

Ēḡuvāḍi, Nanguneri Taluk, Tirunelveli District.

On a boulder in the Iraṭṭaipottai rock.

Dynasty :

King :

Date : 8th-9th century

Language and Alphabet :
Tamiḷ

No. 16.

Purport : States that the work (of having the image made) was that of Ajjaṇandi

1. Śrī-Accaṇan-
2. di śeyal [| | *]

[Published in *SII*, Vol XIV, No. 129].

No. 17.

Purport : States that the image (below which the inscription is engraved) was to be protected by the assembly of Nāḍāruppōkku.

1. Śrī-Nāḍā-
2. ruppōk[ku]
3. śabhaiyār-rakṣai [| | *]

For more information on Ajjaṇandi, figuring in No.16 above, see Notes under No. 40 below.

[Published in *SII*, Vol. XIV, No ,130]--

No. 18

Kaḷugumalai, Kovilpatti Taluk, Tirunelveli District
 Between the second and third sections on the rock at Kaḷugumalai
 Dynasty Pāṇḍya King: Māraṇjaḍaiyaṇ
 Date : Regnal year 3 Language and Alphabet
 (A.D. 865) Tamil, Vaṭṭeḷuttu

Purport. This inscription, worn out in parts, refers to the Jaina god Tirumalaidēvar of Tiruneccuram in Neccura-nāḍu and records an arrangement made by Guṇasāgara-bhaṭṭāra for feeding ten ascetics (*vairāgyar*) including one *bhaṭṭāra* who expounded the sacred doctrine (*siddhāntam uraikkum bhaṭṭārar*)

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-kō-Māraṇjaḍaiyarku yāṇḍu [3 vadu] Neccu-
- 2 ra-nāṭṭu-Tiruneccurattu Tirumalaṭṭē-
- 3 varkku Guṇasāgara-bhaṭṭārar śeyvitta [Ku]-
- 4 juvā[ṇaina]llūr Tumbūr-kkūrrattu Kaḍan-
- 5 daikuḍi Dharmmacittan-āṇa yāmārar Tirumā-
- 6 l-aḍaiṇṇirundu siddhāntam uraikkum pa-
- 7 ṭārar-uḷiṭṭu-ppaḍiṇṇmar vayirāgyarkku [ā]-
- 8 hāra-dānam-āga-ttaṇ-mudal kuḍutt-aḍippit-
- 9 ta kiṇṇaru mēlai Vīranāraṇa ēri . na .
- 10 naḍuvil Dharmmacittaṇum Kiḷaittirumālu[ra]
- 11 vāraṇai niṇṇilu śiḍa-ppaṭṭārarē niṇṇilu-
- 12 m Uttamaśīlaṇum Varasundharie
- 13 niṇṇilum Tirumāl ta e niṇṇi-
- 14 lum āgavīraṇum nāṭṭu-ccerue [niṇṇi]-
- 15 lum [niṇṇilum] śeyvi[k*]ka kaḍvar [| | *]

Notes Among those entrusted with the task of implementing the provisions of the grant is mentioned the *śiḍa-ppaṭṭārar*

(= Sanskrit · *śiṣya-bhaṭāra* an expression by which the disciple/disciples undergoing training as Jaina teacher/teachers is/are obviously meant.

No. 19.

Kaḷugumalai, Kovilpatti Taluk, Tirunelveli District.

Between the second and third sections of the rock at
Kaḷugumalai

Dynasty : Pāṇḍya

King : Māraṇjaḍaiyaṇ

Date : Regnal year 3

Language and Alphabet .

(A D 865)

Tamiḷ, Vaṭṭeḷuttu

Purport : This inscription, worn-out in parts, refers to the Jaina god Tirumalaideva of Tiruneccuram in Neccura-nāḍu and records an arrangement made by Guṇasāgara-bhaṭāra for feeding five ascetics (*vairāgyar*) including one *bhaṭāra* who expounded the sacred doctrine (*siddhāntam uraikkum bhaṭārar*)

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-kō-Māraṇjaḍaiyarku yāṇḍu [3 vadu] Neccura-nā-
- 2 ṭṭu Tiruneccurattu Tirumalaṭṭēvarkku Gu-
- 3 ṇasāgara-bhaṭātar śeyvitta Kuḷuvāṇainallū-
- 4 r Mīlalaikūṇṇattu Perunā[va]lūrdāsa-
- 5 n-āgiya Mādēvaṇakkaṇṇṇirundu siddhāntam
- 6 uraikkum bhaṭārar uḷḷiṭṭ-aivar vayirākki-
- 7 yarkku āhāra-dāṇam-āga taṇ-mudal kuḍuttu aḍi-
- 8 ppitta-kīṇaṇniccillumni-
- 9ccillumniccillum palavarai . .
10. tīṇaikkajattāl niccillum-Tirumalai Vīraṇṇum
- 11 kaśuṇakaṇ śevvaka
- 12 c-cēvittāṇ koṇḍa

13. ivvūr

14.

[Published in *SIJ*, Vol V, No. 406]

No 20

Ayyampālaiyam, Palani Taluk, Madurai District
Above the natural cave on the hill called Aivarmalai

Dynasty Pāṇḍya

King - Varaguṇa II

Date . Śaka 792, Regnal year 8

Language and Alphabet :

(A D. 870)

Tamiḷ, Vaṭṭeḷuttu

Purport . Registers a gift of 505 *kāṇam* of gold by Śāntivīra-kkura-var of Kājam, the disciple of Guṇavīra-kkuravaḍigaḷ for offerings to the images of Pārśva-bhaṭāra (i.e Pārśvanātha) and of the attendant *yakṣīs* at Tīruvayīrai, which he had renovated, and for the feeding of one ascetic (*aḍigaḷ*)

TEXT

- 1 Śakarai-yāṇḍu eḷunūrru-ttoṇṇūrr-iraṇḍu
- 2 pōndapa Varaguṇaṇku yāṇḍu eṭṭu Kuṇavīra-kku-
- 3 ravaḍigaḷ māṇākkar Kāḷattu Śāntivīra-k-
- 4 kuravar Tīruvayīrai Pārśva-paṭāraraiyum-Iyak-
- 5 ki-avvaigaḷaiyum puḍukki iraṇḍukku-muṭ-
9. ṭāv-aviyum-ōr-aḍigaḷukku ēōr-āga amaittapa
- 7 poṇ aṇṇūrr-aindu kāṇam [| | *]

Notes "The images sculptured on the brow of the cavern on this hill, as well as the reference in this record indicate that a Jaina colony flourished on this hill in the 9th century A D "

[Published in *SIJ*, Vol XIV, No 22]

No. 21

Veḍāḷ, Wandiwash Taluk, North Arcot District

On a boulder in front of a natural cave at Veḍāḷ

Dynasty Cōḷa

King Āditya I

Date 885-86 A D

Language and Alphabet

Tamiḷ, Tamiḷ and Grantha

Purport. Records that, a dispute having arisen between Kanakavīra-kurattiyār, a female disciple of Guṇakīrti-Bhaṭṭāra of Viḍāḷ *alias* Mādēvi-Ārāndimaṅgalam and her five hundred lady pupils on the one and a group four hundred nuns on the other, the inhabitants of the locality, who were the lay disciples of the school to which Kanakavīra-kurattiyār belonged, undertook to feed her and her lady pupils

TEXT

- 1 Svasti śrī [| | *] kōv-Irāśakēśariparmarkku yāṇḍu
padinālāvadu śrī-
- 2 ṅgapura-nāṭṭu-kkiḷvaḷi Viḍāḷ-Mādēvi-Ārāndimangala-
- 3 muḍaiya Guṇakīrti-Bhaṭṭārar-vaḷi māṇākkīyār
Kanakavīra-kkuratti-
- 4 yāraiyaum-avar-vaḷi māṇākkīyariyaum Tāpasigaḷ
nānūruvarkkum
- 5 koḷḷādamaiyil ikkōyir-piḷḷaigaḷ-aṇṇūruvarkkum
vaḷi-ḷlāruṇ-
- 6 kātt-ūṭṭuvōm-āṇōm [| | *] Eṇḡaḷuḍaiya sva-rakṣai
idu irakṣippār-aḍinilai eṇḡaḷ
- 7 talai-mēl-aṇa [| | *] Mādēvi-Ārāndimaṅgalam-udaiya
Kanakavīra-kkurattiyār-
- 8 ttaṇḡaḷ-[ū]r-magaḷ-āḍaṇamaiyil . . .
- 9 mukkiyarum-idu kāppār [| | *] Avargaḷ sva-rakṣai
idaṇai irakṣippār-a-

- 10 ǵmilar en talai-mēl-aṇa [| | *]
- 11 . . . danum-Kālanum mu-
- 12 dal-āgiya Mādēvi-Ā-
13. rāndimaṅgalam-uḍaiya
- 14 Kanakavira-kkurattiyār tañ-
- 15 gaḷ magaḷār-ādanamayil
- 16 iduv-ellān-tañ-
- 17 gaḷ kāval [| | *] Idaṇai tiñ-
- 18 gu niḡattār Gaṅgaiy-iḍai-Kkumarī iḍai
19. eḷunūṛṛu kādamuñ-ceyda pāva-
- 20 ā-koḷvār kāvalanukku piḷattā-
21. r-āvār

Notes : (1) In the name Kanakavira-kurattiyār, *kurattiyār* is the honorific plural feminine form of Sanskrit *guru*
 (2) In the place-name Mādēvi-Ārāndī (or Ārandī)-maṅgalam, Ārāndī or Ārandī is, in all probability, a derivative of Sanskrit *Arhantikā*, 'a Buddhist or Jaina nun'.

[Published in *SII*, Vol III, No 92]

No 22

Śittanṇavāśal, Kulattur Taluk, Truchirapalli District

On the rock south of the rock-cut Jaina temple

Dynasty : Pāṇḍya

King Śrīvallabha

Date 9th century A D

Language and Alphabet

Tamiḷ (verse), Tamiḷ

Purport This partly damaged inscription in Tamiḷ verse states that on behalf of the king Śrīvalluvaṇ, who had the title of *avaṇṇipaśēkhāra*, a certain Iḷai-Gautamaṇ, who is described as an *āśuriyaṇ* (= *ācārya*) of Madurai, repaired the *aga-maṇḍapa* of the Arivar temple at Anṇalvāyil and built the *mukha-maṇḍapa* in

front of it Some gifts of land were also made to the priests of the temple.

TEXT

- 1 Svasti śri [| | *] Tirundiya perum-puga]-Ttaiva-
darīśanatt-aruntava-munivapai-pporu]-cclav-araiyara
- 2 . kkula-nīlaimai-Ijan-Gautamaṇ-enum vaḷaṅkeḷu
tūunagar Madirai-āśiriyāṇ avanēy pā-
- 3 r-muḷud-āṇḍa Pañcavar-kula-mudal-ārkeḷu
vaivēl-avaṇṇipattēkaraṇ śīrkeḷu śeṅgōr-Cirival-
4. luvaṇṇukk-eṇṇ-i-Ppalavuñ-kurikō]-inid-avai
oṇḍiḷal] nadivaṇṇiyatt-aṇṇbi-mūdūr-k-
- 5 kaḍuvaḷaiṁ perukki-ppaṇṇ-amar-kōiḷ pāṇḍuṇa-cceyvitt-
āiana-ppaium mikk-oḷukkavi-
- 6 kkum-pūraṇa-mālai poliyav-ōṇḍi anda 1 .. viḷakkir-k-
amaṇṇ-kāṇṇiyuñ-cā-
- 7 tti-vayakkalun-guṇa-miḡu-śīṇappiṇ kurittu. meḷukku-
ppuṇam-oru mūṇru māṇvum paḷukkara mu-
8. ṇaiyāl vaḷipaḍuvāṇṇukk-arai-kkāṇi mamai . nōkki
Aṇṇalvāi]-Arivar kōiṇ
- 9 muṇṇā] maṇḍagañ-kallālēy niri-kkaṇḍōr
maruḷuṇ-kāmaru viḷuccir-u]-
- 10 joḍu puṇambal-oḷumiga-ppōkki marrum-cllāñ-
kurra-nikki ādi-vēndar
11. aṇṇ-cē vaḷakki . . māśara niri-ttiru-vaṇṇaṇṇey
pāvai ne-
12. ḍu-tūṇ-orupa nagar-amaittu niḍ-oḷi viḷakki-
neyppuṇam-āḡ-eṇḍa
- 13 . ḍai vayalōḍ-et kūriya vagaiyāṇ-āḍi naṇṇ-
amaittu vaḷiy-aṇavō-
- 14 ṇku nīla-mummācce aḷiyā vagaiyāṇ-kaṇḍaṇṇ-e
. kikkō] -

- 15 gai-Ppalguṇattōṇci | | | —Tṭṭṇi nalaṇ paṇappi . .
rreṇaga
16. . maṇṇiḷa śir Madirai āśiriyān-Aṇṇal-
agamaṇḍaga-
17. m pudukki āṅg-Aṇivar-kōiḷ mugamaṇḍagam-cḍuttāṇ
muṇ | | | —

Notes . The reference to the renovated temple twice (lines 8 and 17 as Aṇivar-kōyḷ. i e , the temple of Aṇivar is interesting. Aṇivaṇ which means 'wise', 'knowing', etc , also stands for the Arhat (See Winslow's Tamil-English Dictionary, s v)

In line 6 of the text above a plot of land is called *amaṇṇaṇ-kāni* where *amaṇṇaṇ* is the *tadbhava* of *śramana*.

The reference to Iḷaṇ-Gautamaṇ as Madirai-āśiriyāṇ shows that the Pāṇḍyan capital continued to be an important Jaina centre even in the middle of the 9th century A.D.

The findspot of the inscription is mentioned in line 8 as Aṇṇalvāyil. In the place-name Śittāṇṇavāśal (Śittāṇ (Skt Siddha) + Aṇṇal + vāyil) both Śitta and Aṇṇal stand for the Arhat

[Published in *SII* , Vol XIV, No 45]

No 23

Paḷḷimaḍam, Aruppukottai Taluk, Ramanathapuram District

On a stone in the northern wall of the *maṇḍapa* in the Kālanātha-svāmīn temple

Dynasty

King .

Date 9th century A D

Language and Alphabet
Tamiḷ, Vattēḷuttu

Purport . Registers a gift of 5 sheep by Śāttāṇ Kārī for one perpetual lamp to be burnt in the temple of Tirukkātāmbaḷḷidēvar at Kuraṇḍi in Veṇbu-nāḍu

TEXT

- 1 Svasti śri [| | *] Veṇbu-nāṭṭu-Kkuraṇḍi Tīrukkāṭṭāmbaḷḷi-ttēvarkku tiruno-
2. ndā-viḷakk-opṟinukku ivvūr-Ccāttan-Kāri aṭṭiṇa āḍu ai-
- 3 mbaḍu ||—Idu ivvūr ūrāruṇ-gaṇattārum kāval [| | *]

Notes. The text ends with the stipulation that the gift is to be protected by the residents and *ganattār* of Kuraṇḍi indicating thereby that the village was predominantly, Jaina by persuasion

This slab also appears to have originally belonged to the Jaina temple named Tīrukkāṭṭāmbaḷḷi at Kuraṇḍi nearby, and to have been removed thence along with the wing-stones bearing inscriptions Nos 10 and 11 above

[Published in *SII*, Vol. XIV, No 34]

Nos 24-28

Āṇaimalai, Madurai Taluk, Madurai District

Below the images of the Jaina gods sculptured in half-relief on the rock overhanging a natural cave

Dynasty

King

Date . 9th century A D

Language and Alphabet
Tamil, Vaṭṭeḷuttu

No. 24.

Purport . States that the image beneath which the inscription is engraved) is to be protected by the officials of the administrative departments (*tiṇaiḱkaṭṭār*)

1. Śri-Tiṇai-
2. kkaṭṭār
3. rakṣai [| | *]

[Published in *SII*, Vol. XIV, No 100]

No. 25.

Purport : States that the image (beneath which the inscription is engraved) is to be protected by the official accountant(s) or arbitrators of Porkōḍu.

TEXT

1. Śrī-Porkō-
2. t̥ṭu-kkaraṇa-
3. ttār rakṣai [| | *]

[Published in *SII* , Vol XIV, No. 101]

No. 26

Purport : States that the sacred image (beneath which the inscription is engraved), caused to be made by Ajjaṇḍi, was to be protected by the 'assembly' (*sabhā*) of Narasiṅgamaṅgalam The revenue accountants (*puravuvaiyār*) are also mentioned.

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-Narasiṅgamaṅgalattu
- 2 sabhaviyār rakṣai [| | *] Accaṇan-
- 3 dī śeyvitta tirumōṇiyum parivāra-
4. puravu-vaiyār pē[ramu]m [| | *]

[Published in *SII* , Vol XIV, No 102]

No 27.

Purport : States that the image of the *Yakṣa* (*iyakkar*) was caused to be made by Ceḍuḷiya-Pāṇḍi of Peruvembāṅgūr in Teṅkaḷavaḷi-nāḍu

TEXT

1. Śrī Teṇkaḷavaḷi-nāṭṭu-
2. Pperuvembārūr-Cceḍu-
3. ḷiya-Pāṇḍi śeyvi-
4. cca iyakka[r pa*]dumam [| | *]

[Published in *SIJ*, Vol. XIV, No. 103]

No. 28

Purport : States that the sacred image (beneath which the inscription is engraved) was caused to be made by Eviyampūdi of Vēṭṭaṇjēri in Veṇbaikkudī in Veṇbaikkudī-nāḍu and that it was to be protected by the department of revenue accountants

TEXT

1. Śrī-Veṇbaikku-
2. ḍi-nāṭṭu Ven-
3. baikkudī Vēṭ-
4. ṭaṇjēri pudumaḷ
5. Eviyampūḍī
6. śeyvitta tr-
7. rumēṇi[| *]ivaḷ
8. puravuvāri-
9. kkaṇattā-
10. r rakṣai [| | *]

[Published in *SIJ*, Vol. XIV, No. 106]

Notes : The fact that government departments and officials were entrusted with the protection of these images is further evidence for the fact that Jainism enjoyed great popularity in the Pāṇḍya kingdom even as late as towards the close of the 9th century A D

No 29-32

Uttamapālayam, Periyakulam Taluk, Madurai District
Below the images of Jaina deities sculptured in low relief on the
face of the rock called the Karuppaṇṇasvāmīṇ rock.

Dynasty . . .

King

Date . 9th century A.D.

Language and alphabet

C

Tamiḷ, Vaṭṭeḷuttu

No. 29

Below the second image

Purport : This damaged inscription contains reference to Kuraṇḍi-
tīrtha, the ancient Jaina stronghold, and to Candraprabha

TEXT

1. Śrī-Veṇbuṇāṭṭu
2. Villi Kuraṇḍi-ttī-
- 3 ruma ka mava
- 4 candrapravaṇ
- 5 . kku

[Published in *SII.*, Vol XIV, No 121]

No 30

Below the third image

Purport Registers that the sacred image was made by Ariṣṭa-
nēmi-periyār, the disciple of Aṣṭōpavāsī-Kaṇakavīra.

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-Aṣṭōpavāsī Ka[pakavi]-
2. ra-māṇākkar Ariṣṭa-

3. *ṇēmi-ppariyār śey-*
4. *da [tirumēṇi] [| | *]*

[Published in *SH*, Vol. XIV, No 122]

No. 31.

Below the tenth image.

Purport : Records that the work (of having the image made) was that of Ajjaṇandi.

TEXT

- 1 *Śrī-Accaṇan-*
2. *dī śeyal [| | *]*

[Published in *SH*, Vol. XIV, No. 126]

No 32

On a boulder near the Kṛuppaṇṇasvāmīṇ rock.

Purport : Registers a grant of 11 *kāṣu* by Anantavīra-aḍigaḷ for a lamp to the god Tīrugunagiriḍēva and states that the *aḍigaḷ* in charge of the temple (*paḷḷi*) should burn the lamp with the interest accruing out of the money granted.

TEXT

1. *Śrī-Tirukkunagiri-ttēvarkku-ttīruviḷakku-*
2. *kku Anantavīra-aḍigaḷ aṭṭiṇa [kāṣu] paḍino-*
3. *ṇṇu ikkāṣiṇ polī koṇḍu dēvarkku muṭ-*
4. *ṭāmai-cceḷuttuvār-āṇār ippaḷḷiy-uḍai a-*
5. *ḍigaḷ [| | *] aṇam vēṇḍuvār-idu piḷaiyāmai-cceyga [||*]*

[Published in *SH*, Vol. XIV, No. 128]

Notes · No 29 above affords one more instance to illustrate the predominance of Kuṇṇḍi as an important Jaina stronghold in the 9th century A D

For more details on Ajjaṇḍi (No 31 above), see notes under No 40 below

In No 32 above, the hill is called Tiru-guṇa-giri and the deity is described as the Lord(*dēva*) of the hill

Nos. 33-40

Ayyampāṇyam, Palani Taluk, Madurai District.

Inscriptions engraved below images near the natural cavern in the hill called Arvarmalai

Dynasty .. .

King

Date 9th century A D

Language and Alphabet

Tamil, Vaṭṭeḷuttu

No 33

Below the second image

Purport States that the image in question was caused to be made by Varadapāṇi of the village Vaḍapaḷḷi-āramviṇṇi

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-Vaḍapaḷḷi-ā-
- 2 ramviṇṇi Vara-
- 3 dapāṇi śeyal [||*]

[Published in *SI*, Vol XIV, No 107]

No 34

Below the fourth image

Purport States that the image in question was caused to be made by Ajjaṇḍi

TEXT

1. Śrī-Accapan-
2. di śeyal [| | *]

[Published in *SII*, Vol XIV, No. 108]

No. 35.

Below the seventh image.

Purport . States that the image in question was caused to be made by Indrasēna.

TEXT

1. Śrī-Indiraśēṇaṇ
2. śeyal [| | *]

[Published in *SII*, Vol. XIV, No 110]

No 36.

Below the eighth image

Purport . states that the image in question was caused to be made by Mūvēndaṇ, a resident of Nālkūr in Veṇbu-nāḍu.

TEXT

1. Śrī-Veṇbuṇāṭṭu Nā-
2. lkūr kuḍiyāṇ
- 3 [Mūve]ndaṇ śeyal [| | *]

[Published in *SII* , Vol XIV, No. 111]

No 37

Below the ninth image.

Purport . States that the image in question was caused to be made by Āri, a *pādamūlattāṇ* of Tiruvarambam.

TEXT

1. Truvarambattai [Pāda]-
2. mūlattāṅ Āri śeyda
3. śeyal [| | *]

[Published in *SI*, Vol XIV, No 112]

No. 38

Below the tenth image.

Purport . States that the image in question was caused to be made by Mallisena-pperiyāṅ of Perumadaḷ, belonging to the Vira-saṁhga

TEXT

1. Śrī- Vira-śaṅgattu-Pperumaḍaḷ
- 2 Malliśēpa- pperiyāṅ
- 3 śeyal [| | *]

[Published in *SI*, Vol XIV, No 113]

No 39

Above the natural cave.

Purport . States that the image of the god (*dēvar*) was caused to be made by Avvaṇandi-kkurattiyār, the lady disciple of Paṭṭini-kkurattiyār of Perumbatti-ūr

TEXT

1. Śrī-Perumbatti-
- 2 ūr Paṭṭini-kkura-
- 3 ttiyār māṇākkkiyā-
4. r Avvaṇandi-k-

5 kurattiyār śey-

6 vitta dēvar | |

[Published in *SII.*, Vol XIV, No 117]

No. 40.

Above the natural cave

Purport. Registers a gift of 2 gold *kaḷaṇḍu* coins by Vaṭṭam-Vaḍuḡi, the *śāvikitti* (*śrāvika*) of Kuvaṇaccēri for conducting the *avippuraṃ* service to the god Ayirai-malai-dēvar.

TEXT

1 Kuvaṇaccēri-ttaṭṭār-ccā-

2 vikitti Vaṭṭam-Vaḍu-

3. ḡ śri-Ayirai-malai-dēva-

4. rkk-avippuraṃ-aṭṭi-

5. ṇa poṇṇ-iru-kaḷaṇḍu | | —

[Published in *SII.*, Vol. XIV, No. 116]

Notes: Ajjaṇandi, figuring in No. 34 above, finds mention in Tamīḷ Jaina inscriptions of the 9th century from Vaḷḷimalai, North Arcot District, Āṇaimalai, Aivarmalai, Karaṇḡalakkuḍi and Uttamapāḷaiyam, Madurai District, Ēruvāḍi, Tirunelveli District and Tiruccāṇattumalai, former state of Travancore. For a discussion on his place in the history of Jainism in Tamīḷnāḍu, see P. B. Desai : *Op cit.*, pp 62-64.

The name Paṭṭini-kkurattiyār, *paṭṭini* meaning 'fasting', occurring in No. 39 above, is interesting. A certain Paṭṭini-kkuratti-aḍiḡaḷ figures in another Tamīḷ inscription written in 945 A.D. in the reign of the Cōḷa king Parāntaka I (See, P.B. Desai : *Op cit.*, pp. 41-42).

No 41.

Kaḷugumalai, Kovilpatti Taluk, Tirunelveli District
On a stone lying near the Ayyaṇār temple

Dynasty

King

Date 9th-10th centuries A D

Language and Alphabet .

Tamiḷ, Vaṭṭeḷuttu

Purport Records some gifts including two perpetual lamps and 25 sheep to the deity Tīrumalaiṁēr-bhaṭārar of Tīruneccuṛam by Śāṭṭaṇ Kāḷi of the cēri of Kaḷakkuḍimaṅgalaṁ. Another grant of one lamp and 25 sheep is also recorded

TEXT

- 1 Śrī [||*] Tīruneccurattu-Tīrumalaiṁēr-
- 2 paṭāra[r]kku Kaḷakkuḍimaṅgala-
- 3 ttu-ccēri-Ccāṭṭaṇ Kāḷi iṭṭa nondā-
- 4 viḷakk-iraṇḍu [ca]rum oṅṇu āḍu iru-
- 5 pattaiṇju [| *] ivai ūrūr rakṣai [| *]
- 6 Ivaṇ-aḍiyārai śiyvita yi
- 7 Viḷakk-oṅṇu āḍu irupattaiṇdu [| | *]

Notes The deity is named herein as Tīrumalaiṁēr-paṭārar, i e . the Bhaṭāra (installed) on the Tīrumalai (the sacred hill), i e . Kaḷugumalai

[Published in *S I I*, Vol V, No 308]

No 42

Kiḷśāṭṭamaṅgalaṁ, Wandiwash Taluk, North Arcot District
Natural rock-surface called Perumāḷ-pārai near the Jaina temple of Candranāthasvāmi

Dynasty . Cōḷa

King Rajaraja I

Date 10th regnal year
(995 A D)

Language and Alphabet .
Tamiḷ

Purport : Records a gift of land for a perpetual lamp to be burnt in the *paḷḷi* of the god Vimalaśrī-Āryatīrtha-paḷḷi-āḷvār by Baladēva-bhaṭṭāra, the disciple of Ādīdēva

TEXT

1. Svasti śrī[|| *] Kōv-Irājarājakēśarivarmarku yāṇḍu 13
āvadu Veṇkuṇra-kkōṭṭattu Veṇkuṇra-nāṭṭu- Ccāṭta-
maṅgalatt-ūrōm engaḷ-ūr-ppaḷḷi Vimalaśrī-
2. y-Āryatīrta-paḷḷi-āḷvārkkū śrī-Nandīdēvar māṇākkar
ip-paḷḷiy-uḍaiya Baladēva-piḍāraṇ vaṭṭa triuṇandā-
viḷakkukku eṅgaḷ-ūr-ppūmi perum tūmbu pāyūm nīlam
Ilāḍaiyār ceruv-iraṇ-
3. ḍiḷkum teṇpār-k-ellaḷ Taṇikkunḍiḷgaḷukkum kiḍaṅgal-
ceruvir-koḷurkuttikku vaḍakkum mēlpār-k-ellaḷ tiruva-
ḍiḷgaḷ-pōgattirku-kkiḷakkum vaḍapār-k-el-
4. laḷ karambaikkum viḷḍupāraikkut-terkuṇ-kiḷpār-k-
ellaḷ karambaikkum paḷipattīyūṇ koḷukkuttikku
mērku iṇ-ṇār-pērellaiyuḷ-agappaṭṭu uḷ-ṇīlam-oḷivirri
vilaiyir-kuḍutt-irai-
5. y-iḷicci kōyil-variy-ilādu pōnda kuḍimaiyum nīr-nīlar-
yum iṇ-ṇīlam-uḷuda kuḍiyaryum paḷḷiyaiyum kātṭa-
pperādad-āgavum iṇ-ṇīlattukku talai-nīr-kaḍai-nīr
pāya-pperuvad-āgavum [||*]

Notes : While narrating the boundaries of the gift land, there is a reference, in line 3, to *tiruvaḍiḷgaḷ-bhōgam* which stands for lands given for the enjoyment of Jaina ascetics (*aḍiḷgaḷ*).

This inscription makes it clear that Kiḷṣāttamaṅgalam continued to be a live Jaina centre even in the end of the 10th century A D.

[Published in *Seminar on Inscriptions* (Madras, 1966), pp 160-61.]

No 43

Pañchapāṇḍavamalai, Wandiwash Taluk, North Arcot District.
On the western face of the rock which overhangs a natural cave
containing a pool of water.

Dynasty . Cōja

King Rājakēśarivarman

Date · Regnal year 8

Language and Alphabet

(10th century A D)

Tamil

Purport: Records that, at the request of his queen, Ilāḍa-
mahādēviyār, Vira-Cōja re-assigned to the god of Tiruppāṇmalai
certain incomes from the village of Kūraganpādi.

TEXT

- 1 Svasti śrī [| | *]
- 2 Kōv-Irāja-Rājakēśarivarmanku yāṇḍu 8 āvadu
Paḍuvūr-kkōṭṭattu-Pperun-Timirināṭṭu-Tiruppāṇ-
malai-p-pō-
- 3 gam-āgiya Kūraganpādi iraiyil-paḷḷiccandattai ki-
ppagal-āṇḍa Ilāḍarājargaḷ karpūra-vilai koṇḍu id-
dharamaṇ-ke-
- 4 ṭṭu-p-pōḷḷad-eṅru uḍaiyār-Ilāḍarājār Pugaḷ-viṇṇa-
var-Gaṇḍar magaṇār Vira-Śōjaḷ Tiruppāṇmalai-dēva-
rai-ttiruv-a-
- 5 ḍi-ttoḷud-eḷund-aruli irukka ivar dēviyār-Ilāḍa-mahā-
dēviyār karpūra-vilaiyum-aṇṇiyāya-vāvadandaḷ-irai-
yum-o-
- 6 ḷind-arula-vēṇḍum-eṅru viṇṇappaṇ-jeyya uḍaiyār-
Vira-Śōjaḷ karpūra-vilaiyum-aṇṇiyāya-vāvadandaḷ-
irai-
- 7 yum-oḷiṇḍom-eṅru arucceyya Ariyūr kiḷavan-āgiya
Vira-Śōjaḷ-Ilāḍa-p-pēraraiyaṇ-uḍaiyār kanṇiyēy-ā-
- 8 ṇattiy-āgai- idu karpūra-vilaiyum-aṇṇiyāya-vāvadandaḷ-
iraiyum-oḷiṇḍu śāsanaṇ-ceyda-ṇaḍi [| *] Iduv-ā-

- 9 iladu kaṭṭūra-vīlayum-aṇṇiyāya-vāvadaṇḍav-īrayum
ip- paḷḷiccandattai-kkoḷvāṇ Gaṇḡaiy-ṛ-
- 10 ḍai-Kkumariy-iḍai-cceydār-ṣeyda pāvaṇ-koḷvār-iduv-
allad-ip-paḷḷiccandattai keḍuppār vallavarai
- 11ṛruva [| *] Id-dharmmattai rakṣippāṇ pāda
dhūḷiy-eṇ-ṛalai mēlaṇa [| *] Aṛa-maravaṛka aram-
alla tuṇaiy -illaḷ ||

Notes: Though the shrine to which the inscription refers is only designated as that of 'the god of Tīruppāṇmalai (Tīruppāṇmalai-dēvar), the expression *paḷḷiccandam* in line 3 and again in the imprecatory passages in lines 9 and 10 proves that the shrine must have been a Jaina one. For, *paḷḷiccandam* usually means a gift to a 'paḷḷi', a Jaina religious institution.

P B Desai (*Jainism in South India*, pp 39 and 41) suggests that Tīruppāṇmalai-devar must be the name of the seated Jaina carved above the figure of a tiger on the same rock which bears the inscription

[Published in *Ep Ind*, Vol IV, pp- 137-40]

No. 44.

Tirakkōl, wandiwash Taluk, North Arcot District.

On the east face of the boulder containing Jaina images.

Dynasty: Cōḷa

King: Parakēsarivarman

Date: 10th century

Language and Alphabet:

Tamiḷ

Purport. This partly damaged inscription records some provision, including a gift of 200 sheep, for the daily supply of 2 *nāḷi* of ghee and 10 *nāḷi* of oil to the Jaina temple. . mai-bitta-Perumbaḷḷi at Śrīdaṇḍapuram in Poṇṇūr-nāḍu, a subdivision of Veṅkuṅga-kkōṭṭam, by one Era-Nandi alias Naratoṅga-Pallavaraiyaṇ of

Nelvēli in Nelvēli-nāḍu of Paṇaiyūr-nāḍu, a division of Cōla-nāḍu.

TEXT

- 1 Svasti śrī [| | *] Kō-Pparakēśaripa-
- 2 ṇmaṇku yāṇḍu mūṇṇāvadu Cōla-nāṭṭu-
- 3 tteṇkarai-Ppaṇaiyūr-nāṭṭu Nelvēli-nāṭṭu
- 4 Nelvēliy-uḍaiyāṇ Era-Nandiy-āgiya Naratoṅga-
- 5 Ppallavaraiyaṇ Veṇkuṇṇa-kkōṭṭattu
- 6 Ppoṇṇūr-nāṭṭu Śrīdaṇḍapurattu-
- 7 mai-śitta-Perumbaḷḷi irukkun-Gura ṇārkku
candirādi-
- 8 ttar-uḷḷayaḷavun-niśadippaḍi aṭṭu ney iru-nāḷiyum
- 9 eṇṇei paḍiṇāḷiyum niśadippaḍi . ṭuvadāga vai-
- 10 ttāṇ idu muṭṭāme aṭṭuvippa runūru āḍu-
- 11 m loṇḍu aṭṭuvippāṇ ṇāṇa . idu mu-
- 12 ṭṭuvadāyil i-ppaḷ-
- 13 ḷukkum-i-ccavaiyārḷ-
- 14 kum piḷaittār-āvar
- 15 i-ppaḷḷiyār [||*]

[Published in *SI*, Vol XIX, No 51]

No 45

Singavaram, Gingee Taluk, South Arcot District
On a rock in the Tirunātharkunru near the village

Dynasty

King

Date 10 century A D

Language and Alphabet :

Tamil

Purport. Records the death of Haya-Bhatār after observing
fast for 30 days.

TEXT

- 1 Muppadu-nāḷ-aṇaṣaṇa nōḷra
- 2 I[ai]ya-Bhaṭārar nīṣidigaḷ [| | *]

[Published in *SII*, Vol. XVII, No. 261]

Nos. 46-75

Kaḷugumalai, Kovilpatti Taluk, Tirunelveli District.

On the first section of the overhanging rock in the Kaḷugumalai hill. Below the rock-cut Jaina images.

Dynasty

King

Date 10th-11th centuries A.D.

Language and Alphabet :

Tamiḷ, Vaṭṭeḷuttu

No 46

Purport : Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Śāttan Dēvaṇ of Pēreyirkūḍi, the disciple of Guṇasāgara-bhaṭāra.

TEXT

1. Śrī-Guṇasāgara-paṭāra-
2. r śiḍaṇ Pēreyi-
3. ṛkuḍi Śāttan Dē-
4. vaṇ śeyvitta ti-
5. rumēṇi [| | *]

[Published in *SII*, Vol. V, No 310]

No 47

Purport : Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Puṣpaṇandi born of Pādamūlattāṇ of Tirukkōṭṭāṇu

TEXT

1. Śrī-Tirukkōṭṭā-
2. ṛṛu Pādamūla-
3. ttāṇ ṣaṇmām Pu-
4. ṭppaṇandi ṣe-
5. yvitta tīrumēṇi [| | *]

[Published in *ibid* , No. 313]

No. 48.

Purport . [Records that] Śrīnandi, the senior disciple of Śrī-Vardhamāna of Malaikkūṭam [caused the sacred image to be made].

TEXT

1. Śrī-Malaikkūṭat-
2. tu Śrī-Vattamā-
3. ṇa-pperumāṇā-
4. kkar Śrīnandi
- 5

[Published in *ibid* , No. 314]

No. 49.

Purport Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Śāntisēna-pperiyār, the disciple of Uttanandi-kkuravaṭṭiga] of Tirukkōṭṭāru.

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-Tirukkōṭṭāṛru
- 2 Uttanandi-kku-

- 3 ravaḍigaḷ māṇākkai
4. Śāntiśēṇa-pperi-
- 5 yār śeyvitta tiru[mē]-
6. [ṇi] [| | *]

[Published in *ibid* , No 316]

No 50.

Purport · Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Kanakavira-aḍigaḷ, the disciple of Baladēva-kkuṇavaḍigaḷ of Tirunaṟuṅgoṇḍai

TEXT

1. Śri-Tirunaṟungo-
- 2 ṇḍai [Bal]dēva-k-
- 3 kuṇavaḍigaḷ māṇāk-
4. kai Kanakavira-aḍigaḷ
5. śeyvitta ti[rumēṇi] [| | *]

[Published in *ibid.*, No 317]

No 51.

Purport · Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by the (lady teacher) Piccai-kuratti of Śirupoḷai in Iḍaikkaḷanāḍu

TEXT

1. Śri-Iḍaikkaḷa -nā-
2. t̥tu Śirupo-
3. [a[1] Piccai-ku-
4. [ra]tti śeyvit-
- 5 [ta] tirumēṇi [||*]

[Published in *ibid.*, No 319]

No. 52

Purport . Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Śīri - kurattiyār, the (lady) disciple of (the lady teacher) Kēṇī-kkurattī of Paḷayirai.

TEXT

1. Śīri-Paḷayirai-Kkā-
2. nī-kkurattiy
3. māṇākkīyar Śīri
4. . . . kurattiyār
5. [śeyvitta] tiru[mēṇi] [||*]

[Published in *ibid* . No. 320]

No. 53.

Purport : Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Tiruchchāraṇattu-bhaṭṭārīgāḷ, the (lady) disciple of (the lady teacher) Miḷalūr-kkurattiyār.

TEXT

1. Śīri-Miḷalūr-kku-
2. rattiyār māṇā-
3. kkiyār Tiruchchā-
4. raṇat[tu-paṭṭārī]gaḷ śe-
5. yvitta tī[ru]mēṇi [||*]

[Published in *ibid* , No. 321]

No. 54

Purport Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by

Śriviśaiya(= Śriviṣaya)-kkurattiyār, the (lady) disciple of (the lady teacher) Taccāṇ-Śaṅga-kkurattigaḷ of Veṇbaikkudī, for the merit of Śēndaṅcātti.

TEXT

1. Śrī-Veṇbaikkudī Ta-
2. ccaṇ-Śaṅga-kkura-
3. ttigaḷ māṇākkīyār
4. Śriviśaiya-kkurat-
5. tiyār Śēndaṅcātti- . . .
6. yaḷ cārtti śeyvitta ti-
7. [rumēṇi] [| | *]

[Published in *ibid* , No 322]

No 55.

Purport . Records that the image was caused to be made by Tīruccāraṇattu-kkurattigaḷ for the merit of Śaḍaiyaṅ Koṟṟi of Koṭṭūr-nāḍu.

TEXT

1. Śrī-Koṭṭūr-nāṭṭu
2. Śiṟu . Itali
3. Śaḍaiyaṅ-Koṟṟiyaḷ
4. cārtti Tīruccāraṇa-
5. ttu-kkurattigaḷ śey-
6. vitta paḍimam [| | *]

[Published in *ibid* , No. 324]

No. 56

Purport : Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Śīru-bhaṭāra, the disciple of [.] tori-bhaṭāra of Kuraṇḍi

TEXT

1. Śrī-Kuraṇḍi . . to-
2. ri-paṭārar māṇākka-
3. r Śīru-ppaṭārar śe-
4. yvitta tūrumēṇi [| | *]

[Published in *ibid* , No 325]

No. 57

Purport : Records that the image was caused to be made by Tīruccāraṇattu-kkurattigaḷ for the merit of Kūttaṇ Kāmaṇ of Perumbarṇūr in Koṭṭūr-nāḍu

TEXT

1. Śrī-Koṭṭūr-nāṭṭu Pe-
2. rumbarṇūr Kū-
3. ttaṇ-Kāmaṇai-cāt-
4. tī Tīruccāraṇat-
5. tu-kkurattigaḷ śey-
6. da paḍinam | | | —

[Published in *ibid.*, No. 326]

No. 58

Purport . Records that the image was caused to be made by Śāntisēṇa-aḍigaḷ, the disciple of Vīmaḷacandra-kkuravaḍigaḷ of Tīrukkōṭṭāṇṇu.

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-Tirukkōṭ-
2. tūṟṟu Vīma-
- 3 lacandira-kku-
4. ravaḍiṅa] māṇā-
5. kkar Śāntiśē-
6. ṇa-aḍiga]
7. śeyvī[ta]
8. paḍimam [||*]

[Published in *ibid* , No 333]

No. 59.

Purport : Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Āditya-bhaṭāra, the disciple of Kaṇakaṇandi-bhaṭṭāraka

TEXT

1. Śrī-Kaṇakaṇandi-
- 2 paṭṭārakar-mā-
3. ṇākkar-Ādit-
4. ta-paṭārar śeyvi-
5. tta-tirumēṇi [||*]

[Published in *ibid* , No 341]

No. 60.

Purport : Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Kaṇakaṇandi-pperiyār, the disciple of Tirtha-bhaṭāra of Kuṇanḍi.

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-Kuraṇḍi-Tīrt-
- 2 ta-patārar māṇā-
- 3 kkar Ka[ṇaka]ṇandi-
4. ppe[ri]yār śey-
- 5 vitta tirumēṇi [||*]

[Published in *ibid* , No 345]

No 61

Purport . Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Nāṭṭiga-bhaṭārar, the (lady) disciple of (the lady teacher) Nālkūr-kkurattigaḷ

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-Nālkūr-kk-
- 2 rattigaḷ mā-
- 3 ṇākkī Nāṭ-
4. ṭiga-ppaṭārar
- 5 śāra . śeyvitta
- 6 tirumēṇi [||*]

[Published in *ibid* , No 355]

No 62

Purport Registers the name of Nālkūr-kkurattigaḷ, the (lady) disciple of Amalanēmi-bhaṭāra of Nālkūr The Text may also be taken to refer to the lady disciples (*mānākkigaḷ*) of Amalanēmi-bhaṭāra, who were also the lady teachers (*kurattigaḷ*) of Nālkūr

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-Nālkūr-Amala-
2. nēmi-paṭāra-mā-
3. ṇākkiga| Nāl-
4. kūr-kkurattiga| [| | *]

[Published in *ibid.*, No 356]

No. 63.

Purport . Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Pūrṇacandran, the disciple of Kanakanandi-bhaṭāra of Kuṇṇḍi

TEXT

1. Śrī-Kuṇṇḍi Kanaka-
2. nandi-paṭārar māṇā-
3. kkaṇ Pūrṇacandran
4. śeyvitta tiru-
- 5 [mēṇi] [| | *]

[Published in *ibid.* , No. 359]

No. 64.

Purport . Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by the lady teachers (*kurattigaḷ*) of Iḷaneccuram, who were the disciples of Tirtha-bhaṭāra The text may also be interpreted to mean that the sacred image was caused to be made by Iḷaneccuṇṇattu-kkurattigaḷ, the lady disciple of Tirtha-bhaṭāra

TEXT

1. Śri-Tīrtta-paṭā-
2. rar māṇākkiga]
3. Iḷaneccuṛat-
4. tu-kkurattiga] [ṣe]-
5. yvitta tirumēṇi [| | *]

[Published in *ibid* , No 369]

No. 65.

Purport · Records that the image was made for the merit of *Enādi Kuttaṇ*, the disciple of (the lady teacher) *Tirumalai-kkurattiga]* (or, the disciple of the lady teachers of *Tirumalai*)

TEXT

1. Śri-Tiruma[la]-k-
2. kurattiga]
- 3 māṇākkāṇ Ē-
4. nādi Kutta-
- 5 [ṇai-c]cātti-c-
6. ceyvitta pa-
7. ḷumam [| | *]

[Published in *ibid* , No 370]

No 66

Purport · Records that the work (of having the image made) was that of *Araṭṭanēm̐mi*(*Ariṣṭanēm̐mi*)-*kkurattiga]*, the lady disciple of *Mammai-kurattiga]*

TEXT

1. Śrī-Mammai-
- 2 kurattigaḷ
3. māṇākkigaḷ
4. Araṭṭanēm-
5. mi-kkuratti-
6. gaḷ-(c)ceyal [| | *]

[Published in *ibid* , No. 371]

No. 67

Purport : Records that the work (of having the image made) was that of Tīrupparutti-kurattigaḷ, the lady disciple of Paṭṭiṇi-bhaṭāra The expression Tīrupparutti-kkurattigaḷ may also stand for the lady teachers (*kurattigaḷ*) of the place called Tīrupparutti

TEXT

1. Śrī-Paṭṭiṇi-pa-
- 2 ṭāra-māṇāk-
3. kigaḷ Tīrup-
- 4 parutti-kku-
- 5 rattigaḷ se-
6. [yal] [| | *]

[Published in *ibid* , No 372]

No. 68.

Purport . Records that the work (of having the image made)

was that of Pavaṇandi (Bhavanandi)-bhaṭāra, the disciple of Paḍikkamaṇa (Pratikramaṇa)-bhaṭāra.

TEXT

1. Śrī-Paḍikkama-
- 2 ṇa-paṭārar māṇā-
3. kkar-Pavaṇandi-ppe-
- 4 .riyār śeyal [||*]

[Published in *ibid* , No. 380]

No. 69.

Purport Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Dayāpāla-pperiyār, the disciple of Tīrumanaiyar-Mōṇi-bhaṭāra of Kaḍaikkāṭṭūr.

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-Kaḍaikkāṭṭū-
- 2 r Tīrumanaiya-
- 3 r-Mōṇi-paṭārar mā-
- 4 ṇākkar Dayāpāla-
- 5 pperiyār śeyvi-
6. tta tīrumēṇi [||*]

[Published in *ibid* , No 383]

No 70.

Purport Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Kuṇṇūr-yōgiyār, the disciple of Vimalacandra-kkuravaḍigaḷ of Tīrunāṭṭūr

TEXT

- 1, Śrī-Tirunāṭtūr
- 2 Vimaḷacandira-
- 3 kkuravaḍigaḷ māṇā-
4. kkar Kuṇṇūr-yōgi-
- 5 yār śeyvitta tiru-
6. [mēṇi] [||*]

[Published in *ibid* , No 384]

No 71.

Purport Records that the image was caused to be made by Śāntisēna-aḍigaḷ, the disciple of Vimaḷacandra-kkuravaḍigaḷ of Tirukkōṭṭāru.

TEXT

- 1 Śrī-Tirukkōṭ-
2. ṭāṭru Vima-
- 3 ḷacandira-k-
- 4 kuravaḍigaḷ
- 5 māṇākkar Śā-
- 6 ntisēna-a-
7. ḍigaḷ śey-
- 8 vicca paḍima-
- 9 m [||*]

[Published in *ibid* , No- 390]

No. 72

Purport · Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Peṇānandi-bhaṭāra, the disciple of Puṣpaṇandi-bhaṭāra.

TEXT

1. Śrī-Putppaṇandi-
- 2 paṭārar māṇākkar
- 3 Peṇānandi-paṭārar śe-
- 4 [yvicca] tīrumēṇi [||*]

[Published in *ibid* , No 391]

No 73

Purport Records that the image was caused to be made by Mījalūr-kkurattigaḷ, the lady disciple of Pērūr-kkurattiyār, the daughter of Mīṅgaikumāṇ of Piḍaṅguḍi in Karaikkāṇa-nāḍu

TEXT

- 1 Svasti śrī [||*] Karaikkāṇa-nāṭṭu-Piḍaṅguḍi Mīṅgai-
- 2 kumāṇār magaḷār-āṇa Pērūr-kkurattiyār māṇā-
- 3 kkiyār Mījalūr-kkurattigaḷ śeyvitta paḍi-
- 4 mam !—

[Published in *ibid* , No. 394]

No 74

Purport. Records that Kūḍarkkuḍi-kurattiyār caused the two sacred images to be made both on her own behalf and on behalf of Śēndaṇ of Iḷaveṇbaikkkuḍi.

TEXT

1. Śrī-Iḷaveṇbaḷ[kkuḍi] Śēndaṇ pērā-
- 2 lum tam-pērālum Kūḍark-
- 3 kuḍi-kurattiyār śeyvi-
- 4 tta tīrumēṇi irāḍḍum [||*]

[Published in *ibid* , No 395]

Purport Records that the work (i.e. of having the image made) was that of Miḷalūr-kkurattiyār and she had it made for the merit of Guṇanandi-periyār, the disciple of Mūṭta-Araṭṭa [Araṭṭa] nēmi-bhaṭāra of Veḷarkkuḍi

TEXT

- 1 Śri-Veḷarkkuḍi-Mūṭta-
2. Araṭṭanēmi-paṭārar
- 3 māṇākkar Guṇanandi-pe-
- 4 riyārai-ccārtti Mi-
- 5 ḷalūr-kkurattiyā-
- 6 r ścyaḷ [| | *]

[Published in *ibid* , No 398]

Notes On the same rock surface there are nearly a hundred such label inscriptions (*SII* , Vol. V, No 309-56, 358-60 and 362-404) which are all Jaina as is evidenced by the fact that they are all engraved below rock-cut Jaina figures. Of these texts of only 29 inscriptions have been given above selecting them on the basis of the state of their preservation and also their contents.

These inscriptions bear testimony to the fact that Kaḷugumalai was an important holy centre of Jainism in the 9th-10th centuries and that Jaina teachers, disciples and lay followers, both male and female, used to visit that place on pilgrimage.

The Male teachers and disciples are referred to as *paṭṭāṇaka* (= *bhaṭṭāṇaka*), *paṭāra* (= *bhaṭāra*), *kuravadigaḷ* (from Sanskrit *gurupādāḥ*), *adigaḷ* (from Sanskrit *pādāḥ*), *periyār* (= Sanskrit *mahān*) and *yōgiyār* while female teachers and

disciples are referred to as *kurattiyār* or *kurattigaḷ* (feminine form of *kuravar* from Sanskrit *guravaḥ*) and *paṭāriḡaḷ* (from Sanskrit *bhaṭṭārikā*). The images which are carved out of the rocks by and for the merit of monastic or lay individuals are mentioned either as *tiru-mēṇi* (sacred image) or as *paḍimam* (=Sanskrit *pratimā*)

It is interesting to note that the female teachers are mostly mentioned, not by any proper name, unlike as in the case of the male teachers, but with the vocational term *kurattiyār* or *kurattigaḷ* meaning 'lady teacher' suffixed to the name of a place. Examples are Mijalūr-kurattiyār (No. 75), Tiruccāraṇattu-bhaṭāri (No. 53) Tiruccāraṇattu-kurattigaḷ (Nos. 55 and 57), Nālkūr-kurattigaḷ (Nos. 61 and 62), Ijaneccuṇattu-kurattigaḷ (No. 64), Tirumalar-kurattigaḷ (No. 65), Tirupparutti-kurattigaḷ (No. 67), Perūr-kurattiyār (No. 73) and Kūḍaṇkuḍi-kurattiyār (No. 74). It may be reasonably concluded that these places were important Jaina strongholds and that female lay followers were the mainstay of the Jaina faith in the Tamil country.

For further information on Kaḷugumalai and its inscriptions and their importance, see P. B. Desai *Jainism in South India* (Sholapur 1957), pp. 64-68.

No. 76

Tirumalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District.

On a buried rock in front of the *gōpura* at the base of the hill

Dynasty Cōḷa

King. Rājārāja I

Date Regnal year 21

Language and Alphabet :

(1005 A.D.)

Tamil

Purport: The inscription records that the great monk (*mā-muniyaṇ*) Guṇavīra constructed a sluice near Vaigai-malai and named it after the Jaina teacher Gaṇiśēkhara-Maru-Porcūriyaṇ. Guṇavīra is eulogised as one whose feet are worshipped by kings.

TEXT

(Lines 1-5 are in the nature of royal *prāśasti*)

- 6 Arumolukku yāṇḍu irubattoṅṟāvad-eṇṇuṅ-kalai-puriyu-
matinipuṇaṇ veṇ-kitaṇ
7. Gaṇiccēkara-Maru-Poṟcūriyaṇ-raṇ nāmattāl vāma-
nīlai-nīṟkuṅ-
8 kaling-ittu nimir Vagai-malaikku nīḍūḷi iru-maruṅgum
nel viḷaiya-
9. kkaṇḍōn kulai-puriyum-paḍai-araiṣar koṇḍāḍum
pādam Guṇavīra-māmuniyaṇ
10 kulir-Vagai-kkōvei [| | *]

[Published in *SIH*, Vol. I, No 66]

No 77

Tirumalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District

On a rock buried underneath the steps between the *gōpura* and
the painted cave

Dynasty Cōḷa

King. Parakēsarivarman
(Rājendra I)

Date. Regnal year 12
(1024 A D)

Language and Alphabet
Tamiḷ, Tamiḷ and Grantha

Purport. Records the gift of a lamp to the god of the Tirumalai
temple, called Ārambhaṇandin, and allots money for the main-
tenance of this lamp and of another lamp, donated by Siṅṅavai,
the queen of the Pallava king

TEXT

(Lines 1 to 21 and a part of line 22 contain the usual Tamiḷ
prāśasti of the ruler)

22 kō-Pparakēśaripaṇmar-āṇa uḍaiyār

23 śri-Rājēndracōḷadēvaṟku

yāṇḍu 12 āvadu Jayaṅgoṇḍa-śōḷa-maṇḍalattu-
Ppāṇḡaḷa-nāṭṭu naḍuvīl-vagai Mugai-nāttu-ppaḷḷi-

24. ccandam Vaigāvūr-Tirumalaidēvarku ḷḷaiya-maṇi-
naṅgai vaiṭṭa tiru-nandāvilakk-oṅru [1*] Idaṅku bhūmi
tirutta-kkuḍutta
- 25 kāṣu irubadu [1*] I-ppūmiyāl candrādittavar
r-vvīḷakku i-ppaḷḷi uḍaiya Ārambhaṇandikku
nittam nandāvilakk-oṅru-
- 22 m [1*] Pallavaśāśar dēviyār Śiṅṅavaiyār candrādīt-
tavar vaiṭṭa nandāvilakk-oṅrukku kuḍutta kāṣu
oṇbadu [1*]

Notes . P B. Desai (*Jainism in South India*, pp 43-44) sug-
gests (p. 44, f. n. 2) that the name Ārambhaṇandi may
stand for Ṛṣabhanātha, the first Tīrthaṅkara.

[Published in *ibid.*, No 68]

No. 78

Tirumalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District.

On a smooth piece of rock near a rock-cut Jaina figure on the top
of the hill.

Dynasty : Cōḷa

King : Parakēśarivarman
(Rājendra I)

Date : Regnal year 13
(1025 A D.)

Language and Alphabet .
Tamiḷ, Tamiḷ and Grantha

Purport : Records a gift of money for a lamp and for offerings to
to the Jaina temple, called Kundavai-Jinālaya, on the hill by
Cāmuṇḍabbaḷ, the wife of the merchant Naṅṅappayaṅ of Malliyūr
in Perumbāṇappāḍi.

TEXT

(Lines 1 to 11 and part of line 12 contain the usual Tamiḷ *praśasti*
of the ruler)

12. ... kō-Pparakēśaripaṇmar-āṇa uḍaiyār śrī-Rājēndra-
cōḷadēvaṛku yāṇḍu 13 āvadu Jayaṅgoṇḍa-śōḷa-
maṇḍalattu Pāṅgaḷa-nāṭṭu naḍuvuḷ
13. vagai Mugai-nāṭṭu-ppaḷḷiccandam Vaigāvūr-Ttiru-
malai śrī-Kundavai-Jinālayattu dēvaṛku-Pperum-
bāṇappāḍi-Kkaraivaḷi Malliyūr irukkum vyā-
14. pāri Naṇṇappayaṇ maṇavāṭṭi Cāmuṇḍabbaḷ vaitta
tirunandāviḷakku oṇṇiṇukku-kkāśu irubadum
vaitta kāśu pattum [| | *]

Notes : The name of the temple suggests that 'the shrine owed its foundation to Kundavai, the daughter of Parāntaka II (), elder sister of Rājārāja I () and paternal aunt of Rājēndra I.

Tirumalai is stated to have formed part of Vaigāvūr, a *paḷḷiccandam*, i e., 'a village belonging to a Jaina temple'. The names Cāmuṇḍabbaḷ (Cāmuṇḍabbe) and Naṇṇappayaṇ clearly show that the donor and her husband were of Kannaḍa origin.

[Published in *SII.*, Vol. I, p. 98, *Ep. Ind.*, Vol. IX, pp. 229-33]

No. 79

Tirumalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District.

On a rock to the left of the painted cave

Dynasty : Cōḷa

King : Parakēśarivarmaṇ

Date : 11th century

Language and Alphabet :

Tamiḷ

Purport : Records a gift of gold for the daily offerings to Paḷḷiy-āḷvār (Jaina Tīrthaṅkara) and for feeding one ascetic (*aḍiḷaḷ*) in the *paḷḷi* at Tirumalai near Vaigāvūr in Pāṅgaḷa-nāḍu, a subdivision of Palkuṇṇa-kkōṭṭam, by Viṛcōvagaṇ Piḍāraṇ Būttugaṇ and Viṛcamaṇāyakaṇ Candayaṇ Āyiravaṇ belonging respectively to Irumaḍiśōḷa-Karuṇāḍṇaga-Kaḍuttalai and Madhurāntala-Karu-nāḍaga-Kaḍuttalai, two regiments of the king

TEXT

1. Svasti śrī [| | *] Kō-Pparakēśaripaṇmarkki yāṇḍu
nālā-
2. vadu Palkuṇṇa-kkōṭṭattu-Ppāṇḡaḷa-nāṭṭu Vai-
3. ygāvūr-Tīrimalai-ppaḷḷiyiḷ nīśadam-oru aḍiḡaḷmārkkū-
4. cōṇru vaṭṭār Iruṇaḍiśōḷa-Kkarunāḍaga-Kkaḍuttalai
Vīr-
5. cēvagaṇ Piḍāraṇ Būttugaṇum Madhurāntaka-Kkarunā-
ḍaga-k-
6. Kaḍuttalai Viṇḡamanāyakaṇ Candayaṇ-Āyiravaṇum-
ivv-i-
7. ruvōṇ-candirāḍittar-uḷḷaḷavum nīrka vaṭṭōm-ida-
8. ṇukku nārkkalaṇḡju poṇṇāl vanda paḷīṇaiyyāl-ap-Pa-
9. ḷḷiy-āḷvārai ūṭṭuvittōm [| | *]

Notes · The two personal names Būttuga and Candaya as also the names of the two regiments to which they belonged suggest that the donors hailed from Karnāṭaka. It thus becomes clear that the Jaina temple (*paḷḷi*) of Tīrimalai was of widely acknowledged sanctity.

[Published in *ibid*, Vol III, No 97]

No 80.

Tīrimalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District

On the outer wall of the doorway, which leads to the painted cave

Dynasty Cēra

Kings Adiḡaimāṇ Eliṇḡi
and

Viḍukāḍaḷaḡya-Perumāḷ

Date C 11th century A D.

Language and Alphabet :

Tamiḷ and Sanskrit, Tamiḷ and
Grantha

Purport States that the images of a *Yakṣa* and *Yakṣī*, originally set up on the holy mountain of Arhat by Adiḡaimāṇ Eliṇḡi of the

Cēra family having become decayed in course of time, they were restored and placed under worship by Viḍukāḍaḷagiya-Perumāḷ, son of Rājarāja and a later descendant of Eḷiṇi. The Sanskrit verse in lines 3-7 names Eḷiṇi as Yavanika and describes him as the ruler of Kēraḷa while the name Viḍukāḍaḷagiya is rendered into Sanskrit as Vyāmuktaśravaṇōjvala.

1. Svasti śrī [| | 1] Cēra-vaṁṣattu Adigaṁmāṇ Eḷiṇi
ceyda dharmmam [| *]
2. Yakṣaraiyum Yakṣiyāraiyum eḷund-arūḷu vittu erimaṇi-
yum i-
3. t̥t̥u-kkaḍappērī-kkaluri-kaṇḍu kuḍuttāṇ | | Śrīmat-
Kēraḷa-bhūbhṛ-
4. tā Yavanika-nāmnā sudharmmatmanā Tuṇḍir-āhvaya
maṇḍal-Ārha-sugirau
5. Yakṣōśvarau kalpitau [|] paśchāt-tat-kula-bhūṣaṇ-
ādhiḷa-
6. nṛpa-śrī-Rājarāj-ātmaja-Vyāmuktaśravaṇōjvalēna Ta-
kaṭṭā-nāthēna jīrṇō-
7. ddhṛtau [| | *] Vaṇḍiyar kulapatry-Eḷiṇi vaguttav-
Iyakkar-Iyakkiyarō-
8. ḍ-ēṇḍiyav-aḷivu tiruttīy-iv-ēṇḍuṇav-īrai-Tirumalai vart-
tāṇ a-
9. ācitan vaḷi-varum-avaṇ vaḷi mudal-igal [adigana-
vagan]. nūl viṇḍaiyar
10. [stha] la punaitāga maiyar kāvalaṇ Viḍukāḍaḷagiya-
Perumāḷēi [| | 1]

Notes . The Sanskrit verse names the hill on which the images were carved as Arha[t*]-sugiri while the Tamīḷ portion names it as Eṇḍuṇav-īrai-Tirumalai. "Eṇ-guṇaṇ is an attribute of the Arhat. The eight qualities are, according to Jama books: infinite wisdom, omniscience, omnipotence, boundless happiness, being nameless, without descent, without age and unobstructed "

[Published in *SII*, Vol. I, p 107, footnote 1]

No. 81.

Tirumalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District

In a small shrine below the painted cave,

Dynasty King

Date c 11th century A D Language and Alphabet .

Tamiḷ, Tamiḷ and Grantha

Purport - Records that Ariṣṭanēmi-ācāryar, the disciple of Paravādimala of Kaḍai-kkōṭṭūr-Tirumalai, had the image of *Yakṣi* made

TEXT

- 1 Svasti śrī [| | ~] Kaḍaikkōt-
- 2 ṭūr-Ttirumalai-Pparavādima-
- 3 Ilar māṇākkar Ariṣṭanē-
- 4 mi-ācāryar śey-
- 5 vitta yakṣi-tturu-
- 6, mēpi 11

Notes - (1) It is interesting to note that a Jaina teacher named Paravādimala figures in an inscription from Śravaṇabeḷa-goḷa and was a contemporary of Kṛṣṇarāja

[Published in *SIH*, Vol I, No 73]

No 82

Ammāśattiram, Pudukkottai Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District

At the entrance of the natural cave, east of the rock known as Āḷuruttimalai

Dynasty Pāṇḍya

King Māravarman

Sundarapaṇḍya I

Date 1216 A D

Language and Alphabet

Tamiḷ

No 83.

Bommaimalai, Kulattur Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District.
On the Bommaimalai rock

Dynasty Pāṇḍya

King Kōṇēriṇmaikoṇḍāṇ

Date: (Hijra ?) year 675

Language and alphabet.

(1276 A.D.)

Tamiḻ

Purport Registers a royal gift of the village Koṛramaṅgalam in Teṇṇīruvāyīl-nāḍu along with tax-exemptions and privileges to the trustees (*uḍaiyārgaḷ*) of the two Jaina temples Tiruppaḷḷimalai-ppaḷḷi and Teṇṇiruppaḷḷimalai-ppaḷḷi for offerings and daily services to the deities, including the *tiruppaḍimārru* service Two thirds of the village was given to Tiruppaḷḷimalai-ppaḷḷi-nāyakar and the remaining one third to Teṇṇiruppaḷḷimalai-ppaḷḷi-nāyakar

TEXT

1. Svasti Śrī [| | *] Tribhuvanaccakravartti Kōṇēriṇ-
- 2 maikoṇḍāṇ Tiruppaḷḷimalai-ppaḷḷi u-
- 3 ḍaiyārgaḷukkum Teṇṇiruppaḷḷimalai-p-
- 4 paḷḷi-uḍaiyārgaḷukkum Tiruppaḷḷimalai-nā
- 5 (nā)yakarkkum Teṇṇiruppaḷḷimalai-nāyakarkku-
- 6 m tiruppaḍimārr-uḷḷiṭṭa nitta-nimandaṇ-
- 7 gaḷukku-Tteṇṇīruvāyīl-nāṭtu-Kko-
- 8 rramaṅgalam nāṅg-ellaikk-uṭ-
- 9 paṭṭa nīr-nīlamuṇ-karuṇjey pupṣeyyum
- 10 nattamuṇ-tōṭṭamuṇ-kuḷamuṇ-kuḷapparippum-uṭ-
11. paṭṭa nīlamum kaḍamaiyu antarāya-
- 12 mum vinīyōgamum taruvad-āṇa a-
- 13 ccum kāriyav-arāṭciyum vetṭi-
14. pāṭṭamum paṇḍupali śandivigraha-
- 15 ppēru vāṣaṭpēru ilāṇḷi-

- 16 *ṇaippēru tariyirai śekkīrai-*
 17. *ttaṭṭōli-pāṭṭamum-iḍaiyar-variyum iṇu-vaiyum*
 18. *poṇ-variyum maṭṭum-eppeyarppaṭṭiṇavu-*
 19. *m-uṭpaḍa āṛāvadu mudal paḷḷiccanda-iraiyiliy-āga-*
 20 *Ttiruppaḷḷimalai-nāyakarkku iru-kūrum Teṇṭiruppaḷḷi-*
 21. *malai-nāyakarkku oṟu-kūṟuṅ-kuduttōm [| *]*
ippaḍikku iv-
 22 *v-ōlai piḍipāḍ-āga-kkoṇḍu puravilum variyilun-ka-*
 23 *ḷippittu-ccandirādittavaṛ-śelvad-āga irāṇḍu Tirumalai-*
 24 *yiluṅ-kallilum vetṭi nāṅg-ellaiyilum śrī-mukku-*
 25. *ḍaikkallum nāṭṭi-kkoḷga [| -] ivai Paḷandiparāyaṇ-*
cḷuttu
 26 *āṇḍu 675 [| '] ivai Villavarāyaṇ-cḷuttu*
 27 *ivai Teṇṇavadaraiyaṇ-cḷuttu [| | +]*

Notes · Interestingly enough, in line 26 of the above text, the date of the inscription is stated to be the year 675 which, in view of the fact that the record belongs to the 13th century on internal evidence, could be referred only to the Hijra era. Nothing could better illustrate the traditional religious tolerance of the Indians than this fact that in an era when Islam had ceased to be a major religious force in the Tamil country, the ruling king made grants to two Jaina temples and got them recorded in an inscription dated in the era of the Muslims

While Teṇṭiruppaḷḷimalai is the same as Bommaimalai on which the record is engraved, Tiruppaḷḷimalai is the nearby Āluruttimalai, also an ancient Jaina centre

According to lines 24–25 the trustees were authorised to demarcate their lands with *mukkudai-kkals* i.e., stones bearing the figure of the triple umbrella, such stones being characteristically Jaina in their origin (See P B Desai, *Op cit*, p 54 and footnote 1)

[Published in *Inscriptions (Texts) of the Pudukkottai State*, p 658]

No 84

Tirupparuttikkunram, Kanchipuram Taluk, Chingleput District
On the base of the north wall of the store-room in the Vardhamāna temple

Dynasty : Saṅgama

King : Bukka II

Date : 1362 A.D.

Language and Alphabet .

Tamil, Grantha

Purport . Records that the village of Mahēndramaṅgalam in the division of Māvaṇḍūr was granted to the temple by the minister Irugappa, the son of general Vaicaya. The gift was made for the merit of the king.

TEXT

- 1 Savastī śrīh [| | *] Dundubhi-varṣam Kāttigai-māda-
ttil Pūrvva-pakṣattu-Ttiṅgaṭ-kīlamaiyum paurṇaiyum
perra Tā(Kā)tti-
- 2 gai-nāḷ mahāmaṇḍalēśvarap Arihararāja-kumāraṅ
śrīmat(d)-Bukkarājaṅ dharmmam-āga Vaicaya-daṇḍa-
nātha- putraṅ
- 3 Jainōttamaṅ Irugappa-mahāpradhāni Tirupparutti-
kkuṅṇu-nāyaṇār Trailōkyavallabharkku pūjaikku.
- 4 śālaikkum tiruppanikkum Māvaṇḍūr-ppaṭṭil Mahēnd-
ramaṅgalam nār-pār-ellaiyum irai-ilī paḷḷiccandam-
āga candrāditya-varaiyum naḍakka-ttaruvittār [| | *]
Dharmmō-yaṅ-jayatu [| | *]

Notes . The fact that the deity Vardhamāna is herein called Trailōkyavallabha and as the lord (*nāyaṇār*) of Tirupparuttikkunru is noteworthy.

Irugappa, who is described in the present epigraph as *Jainōttamaṅ*, also figures in a Sanskrit inscription of Bukka II, dated in 1388 A D (*Ep Ind*, Vol VII, p 116) from the same place

[Published in *Ep Ind*, Vol VII, p 115]

Tirumalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District

On the wall of a *maṇḍapa* at the base of the Tirumalai rock, to the right of the entrance.

Dynasty . Śambuvārāya

King : Rājanārāyaṇa

Date Regnal year 12

Language and Alphabet .

(c. 14th century A D)

Tamiḷ, Tamiḷ and Grantha

Purport . Records the setting up of the *utsava-mūrti* (*Vihāra-nāyaṇār*) of Poṇṇeyil-nāthar on Vaigai-Tirumalai by Nallāttāḷ, the daughter of Maṇṇai-Poṇṇāḍai of Poṇṇūr

TEXT

1. Svasti śrī [| | *] Rājanārāyaṇa Śambuvārājarkku yā-
2. ṇḍu 12 vadu Poṇṇūr Maṇṇai Poṇṇāḍai
- 3 magaḷ Nallāttāḷ Vaigai-Tirumalaikkū ḍṛiy-aruḷa-
- 4 ppaṇṇiṇa śrī-Vihāra-nāyaṇār Poṇṇeyil-
5. nāthar [| 1] Dharmmō-yañ-jayatu [| | *]

Notes . With Poṇṇeyil-nāthar, 'the lord of the golden fortress', compare Poṇṇeyiṟkōṇ which is the same as Aruhaṇ (i e., Arhat). *Kōṇ* is only the Tamiḷ rendering of the Sanskrit word *nātha*. "Poṇṇeyil-nātha means the 'Lord of the golden fortress', i e., the Arhat"- P.B. Desai, *ibid*, p 45

[Published in *SII*, Vol I, No. 70]

Topographical index of inscriptions included in the Appendix. —

Village	Taluk	District	Nos.
Ammāsattiram	Pudukkottai	Tiruchirappalli	82
Āḡaimalai	Madurai	Madurai	24-28
Ayyampāḷaiyam	Palani	„	20, 33-40
Bommamalai	Kulattur	Tiruchirappalli	83
Ēḡuvāḡi	Nanguneri	Tirunelveli	12, 16-17
Kaḷjugumalai	Kovilpatti	„	15, 18-19, 41, 46-75
Kiḷśāttamaḡalam	Wandiwash	Nort Arcot	7-8, 14, 42
Māḡḡuḷam	Madurai	Madurai	1-3
Paḷḷaḡkōvil	Tirutturaipundi	Tanjavur	5
Paḷḷimaḡam	Aruppukkottai	Ramanathapuram	10-11, 23
Pañchapāḡḡavamalai	Wandiwash	North Arcot	9, 43
Puḡaḷūr	Karur	Tiruchirappalli	4
Śiḡgavaram	Gingee	South Arcot	6, 45
Śittapḡavāśai	Kulattur	Tiruchirappalli	22
Tēḡimalai	Tirumayyam	„	13
Tirakkōi	Wandiwash	North Arcot	44
Tirumalai	Polur	„	76-81, 85
Tirupparuttikkupḡam	Kanchipuram	Chingleput	84
Uttamapāḷaiyam	Periyakulam	Madurai	29-32
Veḡḡai	Wandiwash	N Arcot	21

Dynastic index of inscriptions included in the Appendix —

Cēra	80
Cōḷa,	21, 42-44, 76-79
Pallava,	5, 7-9, 14
Pāḡḡya,	10-12, 18-20, 22, 82-83
Śāmbuvarāya,	85
Saḡgama,	84
Miscellaneous,	1-4, 6, 13, 15-17, 23-41, 45-75, 81

INDEX

(The figures refer to pages, *n* after a figure to footnotes. The following other abbreviations are also used, *au*=author, *ca*=capital, *ch*=chapter, *ci*=city, *co*=country; *comm.*=commentator, *de*=deity; *do*=ditto; *dy*=dynasty, *fe*=female, *gen*=general, *gr*=grammar, grammatical, *ins*=inscription(s); *k*=king, *l*=locality; *lang*=language, *m*=male, *n*=name, *off*=office, official(s), *peo*=people, *pl*=place; *pr*=prince or princess, *prec*=preceptor, *q*=queen, *ri*=river; *s a*=same as, *Skt*=Sanskrit; *te*=temple, *t.d*=territorial division, *vi*=village, *wk*=work.)

Abhayamatī, <i>fe. Jama</i>	Āditta-paṭārar, <i>donor</i> , .	184
<i>apprentice</i> , 85, 90	Āditya I, <i>Cōḷa k</i> , .	160
Abhayaruci, <i>m Jama</i>	Āditya-bhaṭāra, <i>donor</i> , .	184
<i>apprentice</i> , 85-86, 90	Ādityāpa (dēva), <i>a dēva</i> , 121-22	
ācāras,	Ādityapurāna, <i>wk</i> , .	3n.
ācāryā(s), 'preceptor(s)',	Aḍiyārkkunallār, <i>comm.</i> , .	60
. xiv, 23, 103-05, 134, 161	aga-maṇḍapa,	161
ācārya-piṭhas, Digambara	Aganāṇūṇu, <i>Tamiḷ wk</i> , .	42
Jaina-	agapporuḷ, Love theme, .	47 n
Accaṇandi, <i>s a Ajjaṇandi</i> ,	Agapporuḷ-viḷakkam, <i>Tamiḷ</i>	
<i>Jama prec.</i> , 156, 165, 168, 170	<i>gr wk</i> , . 21n, 127n, 130-31	
Accaṇandi-ācārya,	Agastya, <i>a Rṣi</i> and <i>au</i> , .	18, 21
<i>guru of Jīvaka</i> ,	Agattiyam, <i>Tamiḷ gr wk</i> , .	130
accu, 'coin',	āhāra-dāna,	157-58
Acyutarāya, <i>Vijayanagara k</i> ,	ahimsā doctrine, . v, 1-2, 5-10,	
his gift to a Jama <i>te</i> , . xvii	29, 31, 33-35, 38, 62-63, 88,	
Adakkōṭṭāśāṇ,	90, 105-06, 108-09, 137	
<i>teacher</i> ,	ahimsā, <i>Jaina principle</i> ,	
. 21 and n	45, 105, 107	
Ādidēva, <i>Jama prec</i> ,	ahimsā, religion of- .	5, 35, 106
Adigaṃmāṇ Eḷiṇi,	ahimsā-dharma,	29, 86, 89
<i>Cēra k</i> ,	ahimsā paramō dharmah,	
. 197-98	<i>Skt paraphrase</i> ,	33
aḍigaḷ, <i>Jama ascetic</i> ,	Amperuṇ-kāppiyangaḷ,	
. 51,		
159, 168, 174, 192, 196-97		
Ādipurāṇa, <i>Skt. wk.</i> ,		66 n

'five major Tamil		<i>amman. Savite go lides</i> . . . 14
<i>kāiyas'</i>	48n	<i>Amniśāsttiram. (Skt Śrama</i>
<i>Andra grammar,</i>	20-21	<i>sattrā),</i> 28
<i>Añṇu-kkappiyaṅgaḷ,</i>		<i>Amniśāsttiram ins of Viṇaya</i>
'five minor Tamil <i>kāiyas'</i> ,		<i>man Sundarapāṇḍya I.</i> . . . 19
	83 n.	<i>Amoghavarṣa I, Rājratna</i> . . . 67, 68 n
<i>Aññūruva-perumbaḷḷi,</i>		<i>Amrtamati q of Amrtamati</i> . . . 128
<i>Jaina te. built by the guild</i>		<i>Amrtamati a of</i>
<i>of 'Five Hundred',</i>	xiv	<i>Yasodhara</i> 8-20
<i>Aintinai-eḷubadu,</i>		<i>Amṛtasāgara a</i> 127-28
<i>Tamiḷ uk,</i>	47 n.	<i>Amṛtasēna, son of</i>
<i>Aivarmalai, I,</i>	169, 172	<i>Tiṣṭhā</i> 92
<i>Ājīvaka religion,</i>	107	<i>Āṇimalai</i> 172
<i>Ājivaka-vāda-carukkam, a ch</i>		<i>Āṇimalai J. ins.</i> 104-6
<i>in Nilakēṣi,</i>	107	<i>Ānandamangal</i> 1
<i>Ajjaṇandi, Jaina prec.</i>		<i>Ananta-viśodhaya J.</i> 16
.	156, 165, 168-69, 172	<i>ascetic ch. dōgar</i> 16
<i>Ajjaṇandi-Aṣṭōpavāsi, Jaina</i>		<i>Ananta-viśodhaya J. of Uttar</i>
<i>ascetic,</i>	xiv	<i>Madura,</i> 128
<i>Akalanka, Jaina philosopher,</i> 135		<i>Ānupūr.</i> 125
<i>Ājuruṭṭimalai, I,</i>	199, 202	<i>aṇṇaṇṇa 'f. dōgar</i> 149, 178
<i>āḷvār, Vaiṣṇavite missionary</i>		<i>anṇa (Skt p. m.)</i>
.	xi	<i>executor of</i> 128
<i>Aṇalanēmi-bhūtāra, Jaina</i>		<i>Āṇḍu Iḷḷḍi Puvattāh.</i>
<i>prec.</i>	185-86	<i>donor.</i> 127
<i>amanāṇ, from Skt sraman</i>		<i>anṇaṇṇa dōgar</i> 11
.	163	<i>and p. m. to</i> 12
<i>amanāṇ-kēni, land gifted</i>		<i>creatures</i> 12
<i>to a sramana',</i>	163	<i>anṇaṇṇa dōgar</i> 11
<i>amanappaḷḷi(=),</i>		<i>and p. m. to</i> 12
<i>Jaina settler(s),</i>	142	<i>creatures</i> 12
<i>amannaṇ from Skt sramana</i>		<i>anṇaṇṇa dōgar</i> 11
<i>Amāṇṣērkkai. (Skt Śrama-</i>		<i>Āṇḍu-m</i> 12
<i>śāstama) g. ft.</i>	143, 145	<i>Āṇḍu</i> 12
<i>Amniśāgara Jaina prelate</i>	xv	<i>Āṇḍu</i> 12
<i>Amniśāstram</i>	142	

- Anṇalvāyil, *s.a* Sittanṇavāsai, *Āri*, donor, . 170-71
 vi, . . . 161-63
antādi, a form of
 composition, . . . 134
Antai, father of *Kāṭitika*, 141-42
antam-il, 'one without end', 153
Apabhraṃśa, lang, . . . 18
Appar, *Savite* missionary, xi-xii
araiyaṇ, 'chieftain', 45 n
aṟaiy-ōlai, . . . 143-44
aṟam (=skt *dharma*), 33, 42,
 147-48, 154, 168, 176
Ārambhaṇandin, *s a*
 Ṛṣabhanātha, *Tirthankara*,
 194-95
Ārāndi, *Ārandi*,
 tadbhava of Skt
 Arhantikā, . . . 161
Aṇaniccāram, *Tamiḷ*
 »k., . . . 44 and n, 45 and n
Araṭṭanēmi, from Skt.
 Ariṣṭanēmi, . . . 187-88, 192
Aravōr, . . . 62 n, 63 n
arccanā-bhōgam, . . . 200
Arhacandra, *Buddhist*
 scholars and Kuṇḍalakēśi's
 teacher, . . . 106
arhan-namaskāra, . . . 65
Arhantikā, 'Buddhist or
 Jaina nun', . . . 161
Arhat, . . . x, 45 n, 163,
 . . . 197-98, 204
Arhatparamēṣṭhi,
 invocation addressed to 127
Arhat-sugiri, 'holy
 mountain of the Arhat'. 198
Āri, donor, . 170-71
Arihararāja, *s a*. *Harihara II*,
 Vijayanagara k, 203
Arikēsari Māravarmaṇ,
 Pāndya k., xii
Arikēsari Parāṇkuṣa
 Neḍumāṇaṇ, *do.*, x, xi
Ariṣṭanēmi, *Tirthankara*, 2
Ariṣṭanēmi, *Jaina prec*, xiv
Ariṣṭanēmi-ācāryar, donor, 199
Ariṣṭanēmi-kkurattigal,
 donatrix, . 187
Ariṣṭanēmi-periyār,
 Jaina prec. and donor, 167-68
Arivar, *s a* *Arhat*, 161-63
Arivar-kōil, *Jaina te.* 162-63
Ariyūr, *vi*, . . . 175
Arkakīrti, *brother-in-law of*
 Tiṇiṭaṭṭi, . . . 93
Aṟpagai, *vi*, . . . 135
Ārppākkam, *Jama centre*, xii
artha, one of the *puruṣārthas*, 88
ārūḍha, 'prediction', 136
Aruhaṇ, *tadbhava* of Skt' *Ārhāt*,
 . . . ix, 151, 204
Arumolī, *s.a* *Rājārāja I*, *Cōḷa k.*
 . . . 194
Ārumukha Nāvalār, *comm*,
 . . . 133
Ārunāṭṭār hill, *I.*, . . . 142
Aruṅgalacceppu, *Tamiḷ »k.*
 . . . 45 n.
Aryan culture, . . . 1, 27 n.
Āryan princes, *Seṅguṭṭuvaṇ*
 opposed by . . . 59
Aryans, *peo*, . . . 13, 27

- Aryans, Eastern, *do*, 2-3, 6, 7 and n, 8
 Aryans, Western, *do*, 2-3
 Āryāvarta, *co*, 3 n., 59
 āśirīgar, *tadbhava* of Skt *ācāryaka*, 146
 āśirīyaṇ, *tadbhava* of Skt *ācārya*, 'preceptor', 161-63
 āśirīyaka, āśirīyuka, *tadbhava* of *ācāryaka*, 'preceptor', 140-41, 146
 Aśōka, *k of Avanti*, 87
 Aśōka, *Maurjan emperor*, 26
 āsrama of Jaina ascetics, 54, 65, 88, 113
 astēya (non-stealing), Jaina principle, 45
 Aṣṭōpavāsī-Kaṇakavīra, *Jaina prec*, 167
 Aśvagrīva, *Vidyādhara emperor and a Pratīvāsudēva*, 91-93, 129
 Ātaṇ Cellūrumporai, *k*, 142
 ātman, 'self', 5
 ātmaidyā, *upanīṣadic doctrine*, 6-7
 avaṇīpasēkhara, *tule of Pāṇḍya k Śrīvallabha*, 161-62
 Avanti (-dēśa), *co*, 87, 89
 avatāras, 'incarnations', 8
 Avinayam, *Tamīl gr wk*, 130
 Avinīta, *W Ganga k*, x
 aṇippuran, 154, 172
 Avirōdhi-Āṭṭār, *au*, 134
 Avvaṇandi-kkurattiyār, *fe Jama prec and donor*, 171-72
 Āyarpāḍi, *l*, 55, 56
 Ayōdhyā, *ci*, 5
 Ayyampāḷaiyam ins of Pāṇḍya Varaguna II, 159
 Ayyampāḷaiyam Jaina ins., 166-72
 Ayyapār, *Hindu de*, 173
- B**
- Baladēva(s), 126-27
 Baladēva-bhaṭṭāra, *donor*, 174
 Baladēva-kkuravaḍigaḷ, *Jaina prec*, 180
 Baladēva-piḍāraṇ, *sa*
 Baladēva-bhaṭṭāra, *do*, 174
 Balarām, *brother of Kṛṣṇa and a Baladeva*, 91, 127
 bali, *sacrificial offering*, 62
 Bauddha dai sana, 33, 48
 Bauddhāyana Dharma-sāstra, *wk*, 3 n, 35 n
 Bavanandi-muni, *au*, 130
 Bhadrabāhu, *Jaina guru*, vi, 10 and n, 11 and n, 13, 41
 Bhadrabāhu-Candragupta tradition, vi 10 n
 Bhadrāmītra, *a merchant*, 122-24
 Bhārata, *epic wk*, 91, 127
 Bharata-khaṇḍa, *co*, 68 85
 Bhartṛhari, *au*, 44
 bhaṭṭāra 'Jaina teacher 157-58 192

- Bhaṭṭāra, 'Jaina de', 151, 173
bhaṭṭāraka, 'Jaina teacher', 184, 192
bhaṭṭārikā, 'lady teacher', 193
 Bhavabhūti, *an*, . 9 and n.
 Bhavanandi-bhaṭṭāra, donor, . . 189
 Bhavanandin, *Jaina prec.*, xv
 Bhūmidēvī, *Goddess Earth*, . 81
Bhūta-vāda, school of materialistic thought, 108
Bhūta-vāda-carukkam, *a ch in Nilakēṣi*, . 108
Bōdhāyana, *au*, . . 35, 36 n
Bōdhāyana-Dharma-sūtra, *wk.*, 35-36
 Bommaimalai ins. of Pāṇḍya Kōṇēriṇmaikoṇḍāṇ, 201
brāhmacāryam, *Jaina principle*, . . 45
brāhmadāyam, 'gift to brāhmaṇa(s)', . . xvii
brāhmana(s), one of the four varṇas, . 3 and n, 4, 35 n, 36 n, 62-63, 73, 79-80, 116, 136-37, 153
Brāhmaṇa literature, 2-3
brāhmana Ṛṣi(s), 2, 112
 Brahmanical (Vedic) religion, ix, xi, 14
Brahmasundara(-muni), *brāhmana Ṛṣi*, 112
Brahmasundarī, wife of *Brahmasundara*, . . 112
 Brāhmi characters, . . xii
Brhat-kathā, *wk in Paisāca-bhāṣā*, 110-11
Brhatkathākōśa, *wk*, 10n
Buddha-vāda-carukkam, *a ch in Nilakēṣi*, 107
Buddhiṣēna, *a friend of Jivaka*, . . 79-80
 Buddhism, ix, 106-07, 135
 Buddhism *vis-a-vis Kuṣa*, 30 n.
 Buddhistic doctrines, 106
 Buddhistic Saṅgha, 106
 Buddhists, 13, 34, 107, 109
 Bukka II, *Vijayanagara k*, 203
 Bukkarājan, *a*
 Bukka II, *do*, 203
 Bukkarāya, *do*, . 118
 Būttuga, *n*, 197
 C
Cakra, *a weapon of Tivvitaṇ*, 93
Cakravartin(s), . 126
Cāmundabbai, *donatī* ix, 195-96
Cāmuṇḍarāya, *au*, 126
Caṇḍa-Māridēvī, *a*
Kālī, goddess, 85, 87
Candanam, 'Sandal', . 47
Candaya, *n*, . 197
Candiranandi-āśirgar, *Jaina prec*, 146
Candrābha, *ci*, 75
Candragiri, *l*, . 11
Candragupta, *Maurya k*, 11 and n
Candramatī, *q of Aśōka and mother of Yaśōdhara*, 88-90

- Candranāthasvāmin te , 146, 173
 Candraprabha, *Tirthaṅkara*, *Tamīl kāvya*, . 83 and
 Candraprabha te at n , 90-91, 94, 126
 Jinakāñci, xv *Cūdāmani-miḥaṇṇu, Tamīl*
 Candraprabha-Tirthaṅkara, *lexicon*, 131, 132 n ,
 te. dedicated to 145 133 and n
 Catikaṇ, *donor*, 141 Cuddalore, *Jaina*
 Cauvery (Kāvēri), *ri* , 52, 54-55 monastery at . xi
 Cāvaka, *tadbhava* of Skt
śrāvaka, . ix
- D**
- Ceḍuḷiya Pāṇḍi, *donor*, 165-66 Daḍamittaṇ, *k of*
 Ceṅkāyapaṇ, *Jaina monk*, 142 *Hēmapura*, . 76
 Cēra, *co* , *dy. or peo* , 26, 50- Dādāpuram, *vi* , . xvi
 51, 58-59, 61-62, 197-98 Dakkhina-Mahurā, *s a*
 Cēṣaka (-muni), *father of* Madurai, *ci* , . 17 n.
Mrgāvati, 112 Daṇḍakāraṇya, *forest*, . 70, 78
cētanā, 'consciousness', 108 *dandanātha*, 'general', . 203
 Ceylon, *co* , 60 Dāṇḍāyana, *Vālmiki's*
 Ceylon, *Jainism in* *vi* *disciple*, . . 9 n
 Cheṭṭipatti, *vi* , xiv *Dandiyalankāram, Tamīl wk* ,
 Chetty, *tadbhava* of Skt . . . 127 n
śrēṣṭhin, *caste*, 71, 74 *darśanas*, 'systems of Indian
 Chitral, *Jaina centre*, xiv *thought*', . 24, 33, 49, 107
 Chōḷavandipuram, *vi* , xiv Daśaratha *epic k* , . 7
 Christianity *vis a vis* Dasyus, *natives of the land*, 10
kuṣaḷ, . 30 and n Dāviṣa-saṅgha, *Prākṛit for*
 Cidānanda- kavi, *au* , 11n Drāviṣa-saṅgha, 17 n
 Cintāmani, *s a Jivaka-cintā* Dayāpāla, *disciple of Matisā-*
mani, major Tamīl kāvya, . gara, . . xiv
 48, 49 and n , 50, 64, 66, 91 Dayāpāla-pperiyār, *donor*, 189
 Cōḷa, *co* , *dy. or peo* , Dēvacandra, *au* , . vi, 11n
vi , x, xii, xv , xvi, 26, 50, Dēvadāna, 'gift to the gods',
 52, 54, 160, 172-73, 175-76, . . xvii
 193-97 dēvalōka, 'world of the gods',
 Cōḷa-nāḍu, *co* , 177 73

- Dēvanandi-pūjyapāda, *Jaina grammarian* and *prec*, 15
dēva(s), 'god(s)', . . . 51-52, 58, 73. 75. 119-21. 125, 132
dēvar, 'gods', . . . 171-72
Dēvasēna, *Jaina prec*, . . . xv
Dēvasēna, *au*, . . . 17 n
dēvatā(s), 'goddess(es)', . . .
70, 105
dēvi, 'goddess', . . . 85
dēya dhamma, . . . 148
dhamma, Prākṛit for *dharma*,
148
Dhanmadēva-ācārya, *Jaina prec* . . . 200
Dharaṇēndra, *a dēva*, . . . 119
Dharanēndra, *s a* Saṅjayanta,
. . . 120-22, 125
Dharma, . . . 4. 23. 33-35,
37. 88 125-26. 203-04
Dharmacittaṇ. *m* . . . 157
Dharmam, *a gift of a pious act*
. . . 198
Dharma-sāstra(s), *wk(s)*, . . . 36
and *n* . 37
dharma-upadēśa, . . . 126
Dharmav-urai-carukkam, *a ch.*
in Nilakēśi. . . 106
Digambara *Jaina(s)*. . . viii. 145
Digambara Jainas, Ājīvakas
mistaken for . . . 107
Divākara-muni, *Tamiḷ lexico-*
grapher, . . . 131
Divākara-nighaṇṇu, *Tamiḷ lexi-*
con. . . . 131
Drāviḍa-brāhmaṇ is, . . . 136
Drāviḍa-saṅgha, *s a* Mūla-
saṅgha, . . . viii, 16 and *n*, 17
. . . 30, 44
Dravidian civilisation, early,
26, 27 and *n*.
Dravidians, *peo*, . . . 13, 25, 27

E
ēla, 'cardamom', . . . 47
Ēlācārya, Ēlācāriyar, *s a*
Kundakundācārya, *Jaina*
prec, vii, xv, 30
Ēlādī, *Tamiḷ wk*, 44, 46 *n*, 47
Eḷipī, *s a*. Adigamāp Eḷipī.
Cēra *k*, . . . 198
Eliviruttam, *Tamiḷ wk*, . . . 17n
Eḷuttadikāram, *a ch. in Nēminā-*
tham, . . . 121
Eḷuttadikāram, *a ch in*
Naṇṇūl, . . . 130
eḷuttu, 'letter', . . . 24
Ēnādi. *off*, . . . 187
Eṇcuvaḍi *Tamiḷ arithmetic wk.*,
. . . 135-36
Eṇgunaṇ, *an attribute of the*
Arhat, . . . 198
Eṇṇuṇav-ṇṇai-Tirumalai, *s a*
Arhat-sugri. *I.*, . . . 198
Eṇa-Nandi *alias* Naratōṅga-
Pallavaraiyaṇ, *donor*, . . . 176-77
erikaraśu, 'odorous wood', . . . 47
Ēruvāḍi, *vi*, . . . 172
Ēruvāḍi *ins. of Pāṇḍya*
Māraṇṇaḍaiyaṇ. . . 151-53
Ēraṇāḍi *Jain ins.*, . . . 156
Eṇṇampūdi, *donor*, . . . 166

G

- Gadyacintāmaṇi*, *Skt wk.*,
67 and n
Gajabāhu, *k of Lankā*, 59-61
gaṇadhara(s), 'chief
disciple(s)', 23, 83, 126
ganattār, *a body*, . 164
Gandhamālīnī, *co*, . 119
Gāndharvadattā, *Vidyā-*
dhara pr and q of
Jivaka, 71-73, 77
Gāndharvadattāyār-īlambakam,
a ch in Cintāmaṇi, 71
gāndharva-vivāha of Udayana
and Padmāvatī, . 116
Ganga, Western, *dy*, x
Gaṅgādhara, *s a* Śeṅguḍi
Ānainaditēṇār Perumagaṇ,
donor, . 200
Gangetic valley, migration
of Āryans towards, 2
Gaṇiśekhara-Marū-Porcūriyaṇ,
Jaina prec, 193-94
Gauda-brāhmanas, eating
fish and meat 136-37
Gautama Buddha, 8, 107
Gautama Śākyamuni, *s a*
the Buddha, 8, 106
gōpura, 193
Gōvindā, *wife of Padumahaṇ*, 71
Gōvindāyār-īlambakam,
a ch in Cintāmaṇi, 71
Gōvindarāja, *k of Vidyā-*
nādu and an uncle of
Jivaka, 78, 80-82
- Guḍṇāpur ins of Kadamba
Ravivarman, 148
Gujarātī literature, v
Guṇabhadra(-ācārya),
disciple of Jinasēnācārya
and au, 66, 67 n, 131
Guṇāḍhya, *au*, 110-11
Guṇamālā, *q of Jivaka*, 73-74
Guṇamālayār-īlambakam,
a ch in Cintāmaṇi, 73
Guṇanandī-pperiyār,
Jaina ascetic, . 192
Guṇasāgara, *comm*, . 128
Guṇasāgara-bhaṭṭāra,
Jaina prec, . 178
Guṇasāgara-bhaṭṭāra, *Jaina*
prec and donor, 157-58
Guṇasēna, *Jaina prec*, xiv
Guṇavatī, *Amṛtamatī's*
attendant, 88
Guṇavīra, *Jaina monk*,
xiv, 193-94
Guṇavīra-kkuravaḍigaḷ,
Jaina prec, 159
Guṇavīra-paṇḍita, *au*, 128
guravah, 'teacher(s)', . 193
guravar, 'teacher', 149
guru(s), *Jaina teacher(s)*, vii
gurupādāh, 'teacher(s)', . 192

H

- Harappa, civilization of—
27 and n
Haricandra, *Dīgambara*
Jaina au, . 67 n

- Hariṣēṇa, *au*, . . . 10 *Ilakkanaiyār-ilambakam*,
 Hāthīgumphā ins of *a ch in Cintāmaṇi*, . . . 82
 Khāravēla, 12 and n. *ilambaka(s)*, 'chapters', 68
 Hēma-grāma, Skt for *Ilampūraṇar, Ilampūraṇav-*
 Poṇṇūr, *vi*, . . . xv *aḍigaḷ, comm*, 21 n, 25
 Hēmāṅgada(-nāḍu), *co*, *Ilāñcaṭikaṇ, father of*
 68, 80, 82 *Caṭikaṇ*, . . . 141
 Hēmapura, *ci*, 76-77 *Ilaneccurattu-kkurattiḡaḷ*,
 Hīrā era, Bommaimalai *donatrix*, . . . 186-87, 193
 Jaina ins dated in- 201-02 *Ilāṅgautamaṇ, donor*, 161-63
 Himālayas, . . . 59 *Ilāṅḡ, Cēra pr*, . . . 51
hīṃsā, the sin of— . . . 104 *Ilāṅḡvadigaḷ, Cēra pr, Jaina*
 Hindī literature, . . . v *ascetic and au*, . . . 50-51, 61
 Hindu Āryans, *peo*, 14 *Ilāṅkaṭuāṅkō, pr*, . . . 142
 Hindu deities, Tiruvalluvar *īṅkō*, 'heir-apparent', 142
 included among- 32 *Ilaveṇbaikkuḍi, vi*, 191
 Hindu revival in the 7th *Ilad, Cintāmani compared*
 century A D, . . . 31 *with-* . . . 64
 Hindu revivalists, 31 *inbam = Skt kāma*, 33
 Hosakōṭe plates of *Indiraśēṇaṇ, donor*, . . . 170
 W Gaṅga, Avinīta x *Indra, god*, . . . 51
 . . . *Indra festival*, 53
 . . . *Indranandi, Jaina au.*, xv
 I *Indrasēna, donor*, 170
 Iḍaikkaḷa-nāḍu, *i d*, 180 *Indra worship*, . . . 5
īdar-tirkkum, 'healer of *Indus valley civilisation*, 27 n
 woes', . . . 153 *Indus valley, earliest*
 Ikṣvāku, *dy*, 8 and n *Āryan settlements in—* 1
 Ilāḍa-mahādēviyār, *īraiṇīl-palṭiccandam, tax-*
q of Vira-cōḷa, . . . 175 *free grant to a Jaina te*,
 Ilāḍa-rājar Puḡaḷvippavar- 175, 203
 Gaṇḍar, chief, 175
 Ilāiya-bhaṭṭarar. *Jaina* *Irājarājakēśarivarman, s a*
ascetic, . . . 177-78 *Rājarāja I, Cōḷa k*, . . . 174
 Ilāiya-maṇi-naṅḡri, *Irambāḍi, vi*, . . . 152
donatrix 195 *Irambātṭu-vēḷāṇ śāttap,*
Ilakkaṇai, q of Jivaka, 81-82 *donor*, 151-52

- Irāsakēśariparmar (Skt Rāja-
 kēśarivarman), *s a* Āditya I, *Jainendra, Skt gr wk*, 15, 130
cōḷa k, 160 Jainendra(s), . . x, 143
 Irugappa, *minister of Bukka II*, Jainism, its introduction
 203 in the south, 13
 Irukkuvēḷ, *donor*, 154 Jainism *vis a vis Kuṭal*,
 Irumaḍiśōḷa-Karunāḍaga- 30 and n
 Kaḍuttalai, *a Cōḷa regiment*, *Jainōttamaṇ, Irugappa*
 196-97 described as- 203
iyakkar, tadbhava of Skt yakṣa, Jains, 13
 165-66, 198 Janaka *epic k*, 7 9
iyakki, tadbhava of Skt yakṣi, *janmas, births* 89
 149, 198 Jarāsandha, *k of Magadha and*
Iyakkī-avaiṇṇaḷ, Jaina goddess, *a Pratiśudēva*, 127
 159 Jayamatī, *q. of Pṛajāpati and*
iyal, 'section', 24 *mother of Vijaya*, 91
 J Jayaṅgonḍa-śōḷamaṇḍalam,
 Jaina ācārya(s), . 55, 134 *id*, 195-96
 Jaina culture, . 1 Jayanta (-Dharaṇendra), *son of*
 Jaina *darśana*, 33, 49 *Vaijayanta*, 119
 Jaina ins in Tamil, 139 Jina, *te of* 51
 Jaina kāvya, 49 n *Jina-dikṣā*, . 93
 Jaina literature, 10, 33 Jipaḍiyār, *m* . . 147-48
 Jaina *mums*, 43 Jinagirippaḷḷi, *Jaina monastery*,
 Jaina philosophy, 24 xv
 Jaina Rāmāyana, *wk*, 7, 10 Jinakāñci, *s a Tirupparuttik-*
 Jaina religion, 1 kuṇṇam, *vi* . x, viii, xv-xviii
 Jainas persecuted by the Pāṇḍya *jinālaya at Mylapore*, 130-34
k, xii *jināsēna, au*, 66, 67 and n,
 Jaina *saṅgha*, . 11, 17 n, 85, Jinasēn-ācārya, *guru of*
 128 *Guṇabhadra-ācārya*, 131
 Jaina *sanyāsi*, . 38 Jinendra, x, 143
 Jaina teachers, *impaling of* 31 *Jinēndramālai, Tamil*
 Jaina Tīrthaṅkaras, 19 n *astrological wk*, 135-36
 Jaina tradition, 23, 30, 39 Jīvaka, *hero of Jivaka-*
 91 *Cintāmaṇi*, . 65-67, 70-83

- Jivaka-Cintāmani*, *s a* Kaḷugumalai ins of Pāṇḍya
Cintāmani, mājoī *Tamiḷ* Māraṇjaḍaiyaṇ, 157-59
kāvyā, XIII, 63 and n, 64 n, Kaḷugumalai *Jaina* ins, 155-56, 173, 178-93
65, 66n., 67n, 68 155-56, 173, 178-93
Jivandhara-campū, Kaḷuṣavēga, *Vidyādhara k.*, . 72
Skt wk, 67 and n *kāma*, one of the *puṇyāśāsthas*, 88
jivammukta, 119 *Kāma*, god of love, 79
jīvas, classification of 22-24 *Kāma-Jinālaya*, *Jaina te built*
jñāna, Lord of— 23 by *Kadamba Ravivarma*, 148
ṛōḍi, tax, xvi *Kāmakkōṭṭam*, *Jaina te.*, 147-48
Jvālāmālīnī, *s a* *Vahnidēvatā*, *Kāmakkōṭṭam*, *Śaivite*
Jaina goddess, xv *ammaṇ shrine*, 148
Jvālāmālinīkalpa, *wk*, xv *Kambaṇ*, *Tamiḷ poet*, 63, 64
and n.
K and n.
Kaḍaikkāṭṭūr, *v*, 189 *Kampapaṇmar*, *s.a* *Kampavar-*
Kaḍamba, *dy*, 148 *man*, *Pallava k*, 155
Kaḍandaikkūḍi, *v* 157 *Kampavarman*, *do*, 154
Kaḷakkuḍi-maṅgalam, *v*, 173 *Kanakacandra-panḍita*, *Jaina*
Kāḍavarāya, *dy*, xvi *prec*, 200
Kāḷam, *v*, 159 *Kamakamālai*, *Hēmapura pi*
Kalambagam, 'poetic 135 and *q of Jivaka*, 76-77
mixture', 135 *Kanakamālayāi-ilambakam*,
Kālanāthasvāmīn te, 149-51, 163 *a ch in Cintāmani*, 76
Kalandai, *v*, 128 *Kanakanandi-bhaṭṭāra*,
kaḷaṇṇu, gold coin, 147-48, 172, *Jaina prec*, 186
197 *Kaṇakaṇandi-*
Kālī, goddess, 48 n., 85-87, *bhaṭṭāraka*, *do*, 184
89-90, 103-04, 137 *Kaṇakaṇandi-pperiyār*,
Kalinga, co outside *donor*, 184-85
Āyāvaita, 3 n *Kaṇakavīra-aḍigaḷ*,
Kalithurai, *metre*, 128n *do*, 180
Kalītika, *donor*, 141-42 *Kanakavīra-kkurattiyār*,
Kallāḍar, *comm*, 25 *fe disciple of Gunakīrti-*
Kaḷugumalai, *v*, 173, 192-93 *bhaṭṭāra*, 160-61

- Kanarese co. . . 15 Kattiyañgāraṇ *munster of k.*
 Kāñci Kāñcipuram, ci. . . *Saccardāṇ.* . . 68-69, 72, 74,
 viii. 145 80-83
 Kāñci, Jaina philosophical Kaundhi, *fe Jaina ascetic.* . . 54-55
 system taught at . . iv
 Kandakkōḷḷam, *Jaina te* Kausāmbi, ci. . . 110-11,
mistaken for Murugaṇ te, iv 113, 115, 118
kandankatturi, medicinal Kāvērūpūmpaṭṭinam, *s a.*
root. . . 47 n Puhār, *Cōḷa ca*, . . 50
 Kandukkkaḍiṇ, *kaḷya literature.* . . 27, 48, 90,
a merchant 70-71 74 181
 Kāṇṭi-ḷḷuratti, *fe teacher.* 181
 Kaṇimēdai, *au.* 46 and n. 47 *kaḷya*, minor, . . 94 110
 Kaṇi Nanta, *Jaina prec.* 140-41 *kaḷyas*, five minor Tamīḷ. 10
 Kannaḍa, *co. lang. or pro.* *kaḷyas in Tamīḷ, by Jaina*
 v, 11 n., 126, 196, authors. . . v
 Kaṇṇaki, *Silappadikaram* Kāyālūr, *vi.* . . 152
heroine, 50-51, 63 Kēmapurī, *ci.* . . 76
 55-60 Kēmaṣari, *wife of Jivaka,* 76
 Kāṇḍēśvara Gajipatti, *Kemaṣariyār-ilambakam,*
Oruva k. . . xvi *a ch in Cintamani.* . . 76
 Karaikkūṇa-nāḍu, *i d.* 152, 191 Kēraḷa, *co.* . . 198
karanattar, off. 165 Kēśava, *sa Vāsudēva.* 127
 Karandai, *Jaina te at.* xvi Khāravēla, *Jaina k.* 12 and n.
 Karikāla, *Cōḷa k.* . . 52 Kiḷccēri, *i.* . . 152-53
 Karnāṭaka, *State.* . . vi, 197 Kiḷiviruttam, *Taṇiḷ uḷ.* 17 n.
 Karungalaḷḷuḍi, *vi.* . . 172 *kiḷkkaṇaḷḷu, 'minor*
 Kurunilakkūḍi-nāḍu, *i d.* 150 poems', . . 46 n, 47 n.
 Karuppaṇṇasvāmīṇ *rock. i.* 167-68 Kiḷṣāttamañgalam *vi.* 155, 174
 Kārvetnagar, *i.* 90 Kiḷṣāttamañgalam *ins. of*
 Kāśi *co.* . . 2-3 Cōḷa Rājārāja I, . . 173-74
 195-96 Kiḷṣāttamañgalam *ins of*
 Kāṣakatiyaraiyar, *m.* 155 Pallava Kampavarman, 154-55
 Kattelaṇ Vajutti, *donor* 140-41 Kiḷṣāttamañgalam *ins of*
Kathakōṣa(s), Jaina uḷ(s). vii Pallava Nandivarman II,
 146-48

- Kīrapākkam, centre of
 Yāpaniya-saṅgha, , xiv
 kō, 'king', 142-43, 150-52,
 157-58, 160, 174
 kōḷ, kōyil, '(Jaina) te, '
 160, 162-63
 Kōṇēriṇmaikonḍāṇ,
Pāndya k, 201
 Koṅgudēśa, *co*, . 110
 Koṅguvē] *pr of Koṅgudēśa*
and Tamiz au, 110
 Korṇamaṅgalam, *vi*, 201
 Kosala (Kōśala), *co*,
 2-3, 117-18
 kōḷḷam, 'Jaina te, ' ix, 148
 Kōttūr-nāḍu, *t d*, 182-83
 Kōṇalaṇ, *Śilappadikāram*
hero, 51, 53-59
 Kṛṣṇa, *Bhūmata hero and a*
Vasudēva, 91
 Kṛṣṇadēvarāya,
Vijayanagata k, xvi-xvii
 Kṛṣṇarāja, *Rāṣṭrākūṭa k*, 199
 Kṣatīacūdamanī, *Skt wk*,
 67 and n
 kṣatīya, *one of the four*
varṇas, . 2, 4-6, 8, 10,
 35 n, 36 n, 71,
 83, 91-93, 118
 Kubēradatta, *father of*
Suamañjai, . 80
 Kūḍarkuḍi-kkurattiyār,
donatrix, . 191, 193
 Kuhūr, *vi*, . xvi
 Kūlavāṇigaṇ Ṣāttap, *poet*, 51
 Kulōttunga I, *Cōḷa k*,
Jaina te. named after, xvi
 Kulōttuṅgaśōḷa-paṭṭaṇam
alias Telingakulakālapuram,
ci, 200
 Kuḷuvāṇainallūr, *vi*, 157-58
 Kumārila-bhaṭṭa,
Hindu reformer, 135
 Kumbakōṇam, *pl*, . xvii
 Kumila-gaṇa, . . xv
 Kundakundācārya,
Jaina prec, vii-viii, 17,
 30, 44
 Kundalakēśi, *a story from*
Buddhist legends . . 94
 Kundala'ēśi, *Major Tamiz*
kāvya, 48-49, 94, 103, 103
 109
 Kuṇḍalakēśi, *n assumed by*
the 'nun of the Rose apple'
 102, 106
 Kundala'ēśi-vāda-carukkam,
a ch in Nīlākēśi, 136
 Kundavai, *Cōḷa p*, . xvi, 196
 Kundavai-Jinālaya, *Jaina te*,
 195
 Kuṇṇūr, *vi*, 150
 Kuṇṇūr-yōḍiyār, *donor*, 189-90
 Kūraṇappāḍi, *vi*, . 157
 Kuṛal, *Tamiz wk*, .
 14, 27-28, 34 and n, 36 and
 n, 37-38, 40, 42, 46, 49n, 62
 and n, 64, 109
 Kuṛal-veṇbā, *metre*, . 29
 Kuraṇḍi (-tīrtha), *Jaina centre*,
 150-51, 163-64, 167, 169 183,
 186
 Kuraṇḍi-Veṇbunāḍu, *t d*, xiv

- kurattigal*, 'lady teacher(s)', 185-87, 193
kurattiyār, *do*, 161, 181, 193
kuravadigal, *s a* Skt *gurupādāḥ*,
Jaina prec, 192
kuravaikūttu, *folk dance*, 58
kuravar, from Skt *guravaḥ*,
'Jaina prec', 193
kuṟiṇṇi, *one of the five tinas*,
46 n
Kuru, *dy*, 68, 111
Kuru Pāñcāla, *co* or *peo*,
2-4, 6 and n.
Kuttaṇ, *m*, 187
Kūttaṇ Kāmaṇ, *do*, 183
Kuvaṇaccēri, *vi*, 172
- L**
- Lakṣmaṇa*, *brother of Rāma*
and a Baladēva, 126
Laṅkā, *co*, 59
Lāṭa, *co* or *dy*, xvi
Lāvāṇa, *ci*, 115
Lāvāṇa-kkāṇḍam, *a ch in*
Perunkathai, iii, 115
Lōkapāla, *Pallava pr*, 75
Lōkavibhāga, *Digambara Jaina*
Skt wk, viii, 16 n
- M**
- Māḍalaṇ*, *Kōvalaṇ's*
friend, 55-62
Madapaṇ, *brother-in-law of*
Kaṭṭiyangāraṇ, 74
Mādēvanakkaṇ, *m*, 158
Mādēvi, *donatrix*, 155
Mādēvi-Ārāṇḍimaṅgalam, *vi*,
160-61
Mādhari, *a shepherdess*, 55, 57
Mādhavi, *dancing girl*, 53-54
Madhurāntaka-Karunāḍaga-
Kaduttalai, *a Cōḷa regiment*,
196-97
Mādhva philosophy, 85
Madhvācārya, *Vēdāntic scholar*,
84
Mahdya-dēśa, *co*, 76
Madirai, *s a* *Madurai*, *Pāṇḍya*
ca, 162-63
Madura, *Madurai*, *do*, vii, x-xii,
xvii, 15, 17 n, 31, 42 n, 47,
51, 54-55, 57-58, 64, 161
Maṭṭurai-kkāṇḍi, *Tamiḷ wk*, ix
Madurai Saṅgam, 47, 66
Magada-kkāṇḍam, *a ch in*
Perunkathai, 111, 115
Magadha(-dēśa), *co*, 2-3, 5,
115-16, 127
Māgadhi Prākṛit, *lang*, 6
Magarai, *Jaina centre*, xi¹
mahākāvyas in Tamiḷ, v, 63, 94
Mahāpurāṇam, *Skt wk*,
66 and n, 67, 91, 118, 126,
131
Mahāvamsa, *Buddhist wk*,
61 and n
Mahāvīra, *Tīrthaṅkara*, 5, 8,
71, 83, 107 149
Mahēndramaṅgalam, *vi*, 203
Mahēndravarmān I, *Pallava k*,
x-xii, xv
Mailamāthar, *comm*, 130

- Mailaināthar, *s a* Nēmināthar, *Maṇṇai-Poṇṇāṇḍai, n*, 204
Jaina de., 130
 Mākkāriyāśāṇ, *au*, . 47 n
 Mākkāyaṇēr, *teacher*, 47 and n
 Malabar Coast, Jain influence
 on the people of—, 61
 Malaikkūḷam, *vi*, . 179
 Malai-nāḍu, *i d*, . 58
 Malaiyadhvaṇ, *Jaina ascetic*,
 154
malapañcama-rāga, 88
 Mālava(-dēśa), *co.*, . 59, 87
 Malliśēṇa, *Jaina Ṛṣi*, xv
 Malliśēṇa-ppariyār, *donor*,
 171
 Malliyūr, *vi.*, . 195-96
 Mammai-kkurattigaḷ, *fe.*
 teacher, . . 187-88
 Maṇakkuḍavar, *comm*, 39
 Mānanikā, *pr of Kōśala and*
 q. of Udayana, 117-18
 Mā Nāyakaṇ, *a merchant*, 53
maṇḍagam, maṇḍapa, from Skt
 maṇḍapa, xii, 162-63
 Maṇḍalapuruṣa, *Tamiḷ lexico-*
 grapher, xv, 131
 Mandara (Mandira), *pr of*
 Uttara-Madurā, 118, 125-26
 Māṇḍuḷam Brāhmī ins, 140-42
 Manimēkalai, *major Tamiḷ*
 kāvya, . . viii-ix, 48, 49 n,
 50-51
maṇipravāḷa style of composi-
 tion, 67, 126
Maṇmagal-ilambakam, a ch
 in Cintāmaṇi, . . . 80
Maṇṇai-Poṇṇāṇḍai, n, 204
 Manu, *law-giver*, . 36 n
 Mārañjaḍaiyaṇ, *Pāṇḍya k*,
 150-52, 157-58
 Māṇavarmaṇ Avaṇiśūḷamaṇi,
 do, . . xi-xii
 Māṇavarmaṇ Sundarapāṇḍya I,
 do, . . 199
 Māṇavarmaṇ Sundarapāṇḍya
 II, *do*, xvi
 Māridatta, *k. of Ouḍaya-dēśa*,
 85-86, 90
marudam, one of the five tṛṇais,
 46 n
 Maruttuvaṇ, *m*, . 149
 Māśattuvaṇ, *merchant pr*, 52
 Matisāgara, *Jaina prec*, xiv
 Māvaṇḍūr-pparru, *i d*, . 203
 Mēru, *pr of Uttara-*
 Madurā, 118, 125-26
 Mērumālinī, *q of*
 Anantavīrya, 125
Mērunandirapurānam,
 Tamiḷ wk, 22, 94, 118, 126
 Middle or Second
 Sangam period, 26
 Mīḷalai-kūrram, *i d*, . 158
 Mīḷalūr-kkurattigaḷ,
 donatrix, , 191
 Mīḷalūr-kkurattiyār, *do*,
 181, 192-93
 Mīnākṣi te, xii
 Mīṇṅaikumāṇār, *m*, 191
Mutākṣarā, wk, . 3 n
 Mohenjodaro, *l*, . 27 and n

- Makkala, *disciple of the Buddha*, . . . 106
 Makkala-vāda-carukkam, *a ch in Nilakēṣi*, . . . 106
 Mṛgāvati, *q of Prajāpati and mother of Tvittaṇ*, 91
 Mṛgāvati, *q of Śatānīka*, 111-13
 mudal-nūl, 'primary wk', 23 and n.
 Mugai-nāḍu, *t d*, . . . 195-96
 mugamaṇḍagam, *from Skt mukha-maṇḍapa*, 155, 161, 163
 mukkuḍai-kkal, 'stone bearing the triple umbrella figure', 202
 mukti, 'salvation', . . . 93, 120, 125-26
 Mūla sangha, *s a Drāvīda sangha*, vii-viii, 16-17
 mullai, *one of the five tinais*, 46 n
 Munibhadra, *Jaina prec*, xv
 Muniandra(-ācārya), *Jaina yōgin*, 103-05
 Muni Sarvānandi, *au*, 45 and n
 Munivamśābhyudaya, *wk*, 11 n
 Muṇṇurai-araiyār, *au*, 45 and n
 Murugaṇ, *Hindu de*, . . . ix
 Mūṭṭa-Aṛiṣṭanēmi-bhaṭṭāra, *Jaina prec*, . . . 192
 Muttaraiyar, *dy*, 43 and n
 Muttaraiyar, 'k of pearls', 43
 Mutṭi-ṭlambakam, *a ch in Cintāmaṇi*, 82
 Mūvāvadaiyār, *au*, . . . 47
 Mūvēndaṇ donor, . . . 170
 Mylapore, *l*, 64, 128-30, 134-35
- N**
- Naccinārkkiniyar, *comm.*, 19 n, 25, 39, 46, 60, 83
 Nāḍāruppōkku, *vi*, . . . 156
 Nāgakumāra-kārya, *minor Tamiḷ kārya*, . . . 83, 110
 Nāganandi, *Jaina prec*, . . . xiv
 Nāganandi-guravar, *do*, 149
 Nāgaṇandi-kkuravaḍigaḷ, *do*, . . . 155-56
 Nakkīraṇār, *comm*, 25n
 Nāladi, Nāḷaiyār, *Tamiḷ wk*, 12-13, 27-28, 39, 40 and n, 41, 42 and n, 43 n, 44, 46 and n, 109
 Nalagiri, *n of an elephant*, 115
 Nālkūr, *vi*, 170, 185-86
 Nālkūr-kkurattigaḷ, *fe teacher*, 185-86, 193
 Nallāttāl, *donaetrix*, . . . 204
 Nāmagaḷ, *s a Sarasvatī, goddess of speech*, . . . 71
 Nāmagaḷ-ṭlambakam, *a ch in Cintāmaṇi*, . . . 68, 71
 Nandakōṇ, *shepherd chief*, 71
 Nandaṭṭap, *cousin of Jivaka*, . . . 77
 Nandi-saṅgha, . . . x, 146
 Nandivarman II, *Pallava k*, 147-49

- Nāṇmanikkadigai, Tamil* wk, . . . , . 46
- Naṇṇappayaṇ, a merchant,* 195-96
- Naṇṇūl, Tamil* wk, xiii, 127n., 129 and n., 130
- Nāraṇaṇ, donor,* . . . 148
- Narasimha, Sāṣura k,* . . . xvi
- Narasīṅgamaṅgalam, vi,* 165
- Naravāhana, Naravāṇadatta, son of Udayana,* . 118
- Naravāna-kkāṇdam, a ch. in Perunkathai,* . . . 111
- Nariviruttam, Tamil* wk, 17 n, 65
- Nāṅkavirāja Nambī, au,* 130
- Nārttāmalai, Jaina monastery at,* xvi
- nāṭṭār, a body,* 144
- Nāṭṭārruppōkku, t d.,* 151-52
- Nāṭṭiga-bhaṭṭār, donatrix,* 185
- Nāṭṭukkuṣumbu, vi.,* 152-53
- Natural caverns in Tamilnāḍu, Jaina vestiges in* . vi-vii
- Nāyaṇār, śaivite missionary,* xi
- Nāyaṇār Tirumāṇaimalai-Ālvār, Jaina de,* . . 200
- Neccura-nāḍu, t d.,* 157-58
- Neḍumāraṇ, s a. Arikēsari Parāṅkuṣa Neḍumāraṇ, Pāṇḍya k,* xi
- Nelvōli, w.,* . . . 177
- Nelvōli-nāḍu, t d.,* . . . 177
- Nēmīdatta. au,* . . . vii
- Nēminātha, Tirthaṅkara,* 128, 134-35
- Nēminātham, Tamil* gr wk, 127 n, 128
- neruṇṇi, medicinal root,* . 47 n
- Neṭi(ṭu)ṅcaliyaṇ, m,* . 140-41
- neydal, one of the five tinais,* 46 n
- nigama (nikama), mercantile guild,* 141-42
- nigantavādi, Jaina sanyāsi,* 38
- nighaṇṭu, 'lexicon' .* 131 and n
- nikkanda, from skt. nirgrantha, a Jaina,* ix
- Nikkandakkōṭṭam, te of Arhat,* ix
- Nikkandappaḷli, do,* . . ix
- Nilakēṣī, chief of dēvatās,* 104-06, 108-09
- Nilakēṣī, minor Tamil kāvya,* 22, 37, 49, 83, 94 and n 103, 107, 109 and n, 118
- nirgrantha(s),* . ix, 107
- Nirvāṇa,* . . 68, 71, 83
- nīṣṭidigai, Jaina tomb,* 146, 78
- Nīṣṭataka, Skt* wk, 44
- O**
- Oḍeyadēva-Vādībhasimha, Digambara Jaina au,* . 67 n
- Oṭṭakkūtṭar, Tamil* poet, 49 n.
- Ouḍaya-dēśa, co,* . 85
- P**
- Pādamulattāp, m,* . . 178-79
- pādamūlittāṇ, te off,* 170-71
- Paḍikkamaṇa, tadbhava of Skt Pratikramaṇa,* . 189

- Paḍimaiyōṇ*, epithet of
Tolkāppiyar, 21 and n
paḍimam, *padumam*, *tadbhava*
of Skt *pratimā*, 166, 182-84,
190-91, 193
Paḍinen-kīḷkkaṇakku, 'eighteen
minor poems in Tamil',
viii, 42 n, 44 n
Pāḍirippuliyūr, *pl*, . 16 n
Padmā, *Pallava pr* and *q* of
Jīvaka, 75
Padmāvatī, *pr* of *Magadha* and
q of *Udayana*, 116-17
Padunaiyār-ilambakam, *a ch*
in *Cintān aṇi*, . . 75
Padumaṇār, *Jama scholar*, . 42
Padumubaṇ, *a friend of Jīvaka*,
71, 77
Paḍuvūrkkōṭṭam, *t d*, . 175
Pāhatam, *Pākatam*, *s a*
Prākṛita, *lang*, . . 18
pālai, *one of the five tinais*, 46n
Paḷaiyāṇai, *ii*, 181
Pāḷḷaiyam, *n* of *a cremation*
ground, . . 103
Paḷamoḷi, *Tamiḷ uk*, 44, 45
and n
paḷaṇkāṣu, *money*, 152
pal-guṇatt-ādi, 'source of many
qualities', 153
Palgunattōp, *s a* *Arhat*, 163
pāḷi, 'Jaina monastery', 855
pālī, *s a* *pālī* 'Jaina te', 140-42
Palkupra-kkōṭṭam, *t d*,
196-97
Paḷḷaṇkōvil copper-plate ins of
Pallava *siṃhavarman*, x,
143-46
Pallava, *dy* or *co*, x-xii,
xv, 43 and n, 75, 143, 147,
149, 154-55, 194-95
pālī(s), 'Jaina te(s)', vii,
ix, xiv-xv, xvii, 142, 147-48,
155, 168, 174, 176-77, 195-97
pālīccanda-iṇaiyil, *tax-free*
grant to a Jaina te, , 202
pālīcandam(s), *grant(s) given to*
Jaina te or *institution* or *prec*,
xvi-xvii, 143-46, 151-52, 154
175-176, 200, 202
Paḷḷimaḍam ins of *Pāṇḍya*
Nāraṇjaḍaiyaṇ, 149-51
Paḷḷimaḍam *Jaina* ins, 163-64
Pālī-udai-aḍigaḷ, *ascetic in*
charge of a Jaina te, 16,
Pālī-udaiyār, *staff of Jama te*,
147, 201
Pālī-uḍaiyār, *Jama de*, 196-97
Pāmbaiyūr, *ii*, 200
Pāmbūrūr, *do*, 151
Papaiyūr-nāḍu, *t d*, 177
Panampāraṇār, *Tamiḷ au*, 21
Pañca-gauḍa brāhmanas of
Bengal, . 137
Pāñcāla, *co*, 115-17
Pāñcāla-dēśa *alias* *Pārtti-nāḍu*,
do, . 103
Pañcapāṇḍavamalai ins of
Cōḷa Rājakesarivarman
175-76

- Pañcapāṇḍavamalai ins. of . . . 148-49
 Pallava Nandivarman II, . . . 148-49
 Pañcaviṃśa Brāhmaṇa, Skt. 5
 wk, 5
 pañca-vratas, Jaina principles, 45
 Pāṇḍi-maṇḍalam, *t d.* 131
 Pāṇḍya, *co., dy., or peo.,*
 vi, x-xii, xv-xvi, 12 and n,
 21, 26, 31 and n., 41-43, 51,
 54-55, 58, 64, 150-51, 157-59,
 161, 166, 199, 201
 Pāṇḍya-nāḍu, *t d.* 195-96
 Paṇṇiraṇḍu-niḥaṇṭu, *s a*
 Cūḍamaṇi-niḥaṇṭu, *Tamiḻ*
 lexicon, 132 n.
 Paṇṇirupaḍalam, *Tamiḻ wk,*
 21 n
 Paruttippaṭṭu, *vi,* 145
 Paruttiyūr, *do.,* 145
 Pāṭalikā, Pāṭalipura, Pāṭalipu-
 tra, *s a.* Pāḍirippuliyūr or
 Tiruppāppuliyūr, *ci,* viii,
 xi-xii, 16 n. 30
 Paṭṭārakar, from Skt Bhaṭ-
 ṭāraka, *Jama de.,* 152
 paṭṭarar, from Skt bhaṭṭāra,
 'Jaina prec.',
 157, 178, 183-89, 191-92
 paṭṭariḡaḷ, from Skt. bhaṭṭaritā,
 'fe teachers', 193
 paṭṭārakar from Skt. bhaṭṭā-
 raka, 'Jaina prec'. 184, 192
 Paṭṭinattu-kkurattu. 'fe
 teacher', xiv
 Paṭṭini-kkuratti-aḍiḡaḷ, *fe*
ascetic, 172
 Paṭṭini-kkurattiyār, *fe.*
teacher, 172
 Pavaṇandi, *Jaina au.,* xiii
 Pavanandi, *s a.* Āndai ḷḷiyār
 Pavaṇandi, *donor,* 148
 Pavanandi-pperiyār, *do,* 189
 Perānandi-bhaṭṭāra, *donor,* 190
 Pērāṣiriyar, *comm.,* 25
 Pēreyirkuḍi, *vi,* 178
 Periyapaḷḷivayal, *do.* 200
 Periyapurānam, *Tamiḻ wk.,*
 viii, xii
 periyār 'Jaina teacher', 192
 Perumaḍai, *vi,* 171
 perumalli, *medicinal root,* 47 n.
 Perumandūr, *Jaina vi,* xvi, 131
 Perumbaḷaṇḍi, *l.,* 193
 Perumbaḷḷi, 'Jaina te,' xv
 Perumbāṇappāḍi, *t d.,* 195-96
 Perumbarrūr, *vi,* 183
 Perumbatti-ūr, *do.,* 171
 perumbiḍugu, *Pallava title,* 43n
 Perumbiḍugu-Muttaraiya,
Chef, 43n
 Peru-Mutturaiyar, *do,* 43 n.
 Perunāvalūr *vi,* 158
 Peruṅkathai *Tamiḻ wk,*
 110 and n, 111, 118
 Peruṅkaṭuṅkō, *pr,* 142
 Perun-Timiri-nāḍu, *t d.,* 175
 Pērūr-kkurattiyār
fe. teacher, 191. 193
 Peruvembārūr. *vi.* 165-66

- Piccai-kuratti, *fe teacher*, 180
 Piḍaṅguḍi, *vi*, . . . 191
 piḍāraṅ, from Skt *bhaṭāra*,
 'Jaina prec', . . . 174
 Piṅgaḷa-muni, *Tamiḷ*
lexicographer, . . . 131
 Piṅgaḷa-niḥaṅṣu, *Tamiḷ*
lexicon, . . . 131, 134
 Piṣāca-bhāṣā, *lang*, . . . 110
 Poṅṇeyil-nāthar, Poṅṇeyiṭ-kōṇ,
Jaina de, . . . 204
 Poṅṇiyakki, *sa*,
Siddhāyikā, . . . 149
 Poṅṇūr, *vi*, . . . xv, 204
 Poṅṇūr-nāḍu, *t.d.*, . . . 176-77
 Poṟkōḍu, *vi*, . . . 165
 Poruḷ-ilakkanam, *a ch. in*
Tolkāppiyam, . . . 131
 Pōtanapura, *ca*, . . . 91-93
 Prabhācandra, *au*, . . . vii-viii
 Prabōdhacandrōdaya, *Tamiḷ*
wk, . . . 37 and n, 38 n
 Prabodhacandrōdaya,
Skt drama, . . . 38
 Pracchōdana, *k. of Ujjain*,
 . . . 113-14
prācyā, *Eastern Aryans*, . . . 2
 Pradhāna-Yōgadhāris,
Jaina yōgis, . . . 21
 Prākṛit, Prākṛita, *lang*,
 . . . v, 6, 17-18, 110
 Pratīkramaṇa-bhaṭāra,
Jaina prec, . . . 189
 Pratimā-yōga, *Jaina technical*
term, . . . 21 and n
 Prativāsudēva(s), . . . 126
 Puḡaḷālamaṅgalam, *vi.*, . . . 149
 Puḡaḷūr Brāhmī ins., . . . 142
 Pūhar, *sa*. Kāvēripūmpaṭṭiṅgam,
Cōḷa ca, . . . ix, 50-52
 Puḷḷapāda, *sa* Pūḷḷapāda,
Jaina prec, . . . viii, 17 n.
 Puḷḷiyaṅguḍi, *vi*, . . . 130
 Pūmagal-ilambakam, *a ch. in*
Cintāmani, . . . 81
 Puṇḍravardhana, *ca of*
Pārtti-nādu, . . . , 103-04
 Pūṇḍi Muppāvai, *donatrix*,
 . . . 147-48
pūppali, 'worship with
 flowers', . . . , 62
purāṇas in Tamiḷ, by
Jaina authors, v
 Purāṇic Hinduism, . . . 8
 Purāṇic story, *Cūḷāmaṇi*
 based upon, . . . , 91
 Purāṇic story, *Mērumandua-*
purāṇam based upon, . . . 118
 Purāṇic story of Jivaka, . . . 66
 Puḡapporuḷ-veṇbā,
Tamiḷ wk, . . . 127 n
 Puḡapporuḷ-veṇbāmālai, *do*,
 . . . 21 n
 Puḡattiraṭṭu, *Tamiḷ*
anthology, 49 n.
 Puravuvāri-kkanattār,
revenue off., . . . 166
puravuvāriyār, do., . . . 165
 Pūṇḡacandran, *donor*, . . . 186
puruṣārtha(s), . . . 88
 Puṣpaṇandi, *donor*, . . . 178

- Puṣpaṇandi-bhaṭṭāia, *Jaina*
prec., . . . 190-91
 Puṣpasēna, *Jaina Rṣi*, . . xv
 Puṣppaṇandi, *s.a* Puṣpaṇandi,
Jaina prec., . . . 179-191
- R**
- Rādānūpura, *Vidyādharīa co*,
 91-92
 Rājagrha, *ci*, . . 94, 115-17
 Rājakēśarivarman, *Cōla k*,
 175
 Rājamahāpura, Rājamāpuram,
ca. of Hēmāṅgada, . 68-69,
 72, 78, 80-81
 Rājanārāyaṇa, *Sambuvārāya*
k., . . 204
 Rājapura, *ci*, . . . 85
 Rājarāja, *Cēra k.*, . . 198
 Rājarāja I, *Cōla k.*, xiv, xvi,
 173, 193, 196
 Rājurājapuram, *s.a* Dādā-
 puram, *Jaina*, *te. built by*
Kundavarī at- xvi
 Rājasimha II, *Pāṇḍya k*, xvi
 Rājasthānī literature, . v
 Rājasūya-yāga, *sacrifice*, 4
 Rājāvalī-kathe, *Kannaḍa wk.*,
 . . . vi, 11 n
 Rājēndra I, *Cōla k.*, . 194-96
 Rāma, *Rāmāyana hero and a*
Kēśava, 7, 126
 Rāmadattādēvī, *q of*
Sīṃhasēna, 122-25
 Rāmappa-nāyak, *Vijayanagara*
agent, xvi
- Rāmāyana, *Skt epic*, . 10
 Rāmāyaṇa, *Tamiḻ epic*, . 64
 Rāṣṭrakūṭa, *dy.*, . . 68
 Ratnanandi, *Jaina au*, . vi
 Ratnapura, *ci*, . . 122
 Rāvaṇa, *a Prativāsudēva*,
 . . . 126-27
 Ravivarman, *Kadamba k.*, 148
 Rgvēda, . . 1, 2 and n
 Roman empire, contact of the
Tamiḻ co with— . 26
 Rṣabha, referred to in the
Rgvēda, . . 2 and n
 Rṣabha, Rṣabhanātha,
Tirthankara, 5, 195
 Rṣi-samudāya of Jinakēści, xv
- S**
- sabhā, *sabhaiyār, a body*,
 156, 165
 Saccandaṇ, *k of Hēmāṅgada*,
 68
 Śaḍaiyaṇ Korri, *m*, . . 182
 Śaḍayappārai, *Jaina monastery*
at, xvi
 Śarva faith, Śaivism, xi-xiv
 śarvam, 'a strict vegetarian',
 136
 śaivan, 'follower of the Śarva
 faith', . . 136
 Śaivism *is a vis Kuṇal*,
 30 and n
 Śaivite revival, . 136
 Śāgaradatta, *a merchant*, 78
 Śākya clan, 8 and n.

- Śālaṅkāyana, *minister of*
Pracchōdana, 113-14
saṁlākhanā, *Jaina religious*
practice, 18, 19 n
 Sāḷva, *dy*, . xvi
 Śamanappaḷḷi, 'Jaina settle-
 ment', . ix
 Śamanar, *Jainas*, . ix
 Samantabhadra, *Jaina prec.*,
 vii, xv
*Samaya*divākara *commentary*
on Nīlakēśī, 94
 Saṁbandhar, *Śaivite missionary*,
 xi
 Śambavarāya, *dy*, . xvi, 204
 Saṁhīṭā *literature*, . 2
 Samudrasēna, *k of Pārtti-*
nāḍu, . 103
 Sandhyātaka, *Valmiki's*
disciple, . 9 n
 Saṅgam, 'College of Jaina
 ascetics and/or scholars',
 viii-ix, 14-16, 18, 26-27,
 42 n., 46-47
 Saṅgama, *dy.*, . . . 203
 Saṅgha, *Buddhist*, . 106
 Saṅgha, 'College of Jaina
 ascetics and scholars',
 viii, xv, 85, 128
 Saṅjayanta (-bhaṭṭāraka),
son of Vaijayanta, 119-21, 125
 Saṅkhyā-darśana, . 33, 107
 Saṅkhyā-vāda-carukkam,
a ch. in Nīlakēśī, . . 107
 Sanskrit, *lang*, v, 6, 10n, 11n,
 15, 18, 22, 24-25, 36, 38,
 44, 66, 67 and n., 84, 90,
 126-28, 130, 143, 145,
 161, 198
 Sanskritic languages, . 18, 20
 Śāntisēṇa-aḍiga], *donor*,
 183-84, 190
 Śāntisēṇa-pperiyār, *do*, 179-80
 Śāntivīra-kkuravar,
Jaina prec, . . . 159
 Śarabha, *a bird in Hindu*
mythology, . . 111
 Sārīputta, *Buddhist Elder*, 100
 Sarvajña (-Vitarāga), 23, 119
 Sarvanandi, *au*, . viii
 Sarvaśrī, *q of Vaijayanta*, 119
 Śatānika, *k of Kauśāmbī*
and father of Udayana,
 111, 113
 Śatapatha Brāhmana, *wk*,
 3 and n, 7 n
 Śāttamaṅgalam, vi, . 174
 Śāttan, *s a Kūlavāṇigaṇ*
 Śāttan, *Tamil poet*, . 51
 Śāttan Dēvaṇ, *donor*, 178
 Śāttan-Guṇattāṇ, *do.*, 150
 Śāttan Kāḷi, *do*, . . 173
 Śāttan Kārī, *do*, . . 163-64
 satya, *Jaina principle*, . . 45
 Satyaghōṣa alias Śrībhūti,
minister of Siṃhasēna, 122-25
 Sāvatti, *ci*, . . 100
 śavikitti, *from Skt*
 śrāvikā, . . . 172

- Second (Middle) *Ṣaṅgam* period, 25
- Sēnāyāraiyar, commen*, 25
- Sēndamaṅgalam, ca.*, xvi
- Sēndaṇ, m.*, 191
- Sēndañcātti, do.*, 182
- Seṅguḍi Ānainaditēṇār*
Perumagaṇ alias Gaṅgādhara,
donor., 200
- Seṅguṭṭuvaṇ, Cēra k.*,
 58-59, 61
- śidaṇ, tadbhava* of Skt.
śiṣya, 'disciple', 178
- śiḍa-praśāraṇ, s a śiṣya-*
bhaṭāra, 'Jaina disciples,
 157-58
- Siddha, s a Arhat.* 163
- Siddhāntam-uraikkum paṣārar,*
'expounder of the sacred
doctrine', 157-58
- Siddhahāyikā, attendant de.*
of Mahāvira, 149
- Śilappadikāram, major Tamil*
kāvya, viii, 48, 49n.,
 50 and n., 52 and n.,
 60 and n., 66
- Siṃhapura, ci*, 122
- Siṃhasēna (-mahārāja), k of*
Siṃhapura, 122, 125
- Siṃhavarman, Pallava k,*
 x, 143
- Siṃhaviṣṇu, do.*, x
- Siṅgaṇandi-kkuravaḍigal,*
Jaina prec, 155-56
- Siṅgapura-nāḍu, t d,* 160
- Siṅgavaram Jaina ins.,*
 146, 177-78
- Śiṅṅavai, Pallava q and*
donatrix, 194-95
- Śiṅṅāmūr, Jaina te. at—*
 xvi-xvii
- Śiṣu-bhaṭāra, donor,* 183
- śiṣumalli, medicinal root,* 47 n.
- Śiṣupaṇcamūlam, Tamil wk.,*
 47 n.
- Śiṣupolal, vi,* 180
- śiṣuvaḷutuṇai, medicinal root,*
 47 n.
- Śitā, epic heroine,* 7
- Śittarṇavāśal ins. cf Pāṇḍya*
Śrīvallabha, 161-63
- Śittarṇavāśal Jaina cave te*, xv
- Śitta-Perumbaḷi, Jaina te*,
 176-77
- Śiva, Hindu de*, xv, xvii, 137
- Śivaṇ, s a., Arhat,* 45 n.
- Śiya-Gaṅga, patron of*
Bhavanandi-muni, 130
- Śolladikāram, a ch in*
Nēminātham, 129
- Śolladikāram, a ch in*
Naṅṅūl, 130
- Śramaṇ-āśrama, s a*
Amṇāśērkkai, vi,
 x, 143, 145
- Śrāvaka-nōṇbigal, Jaina*
laymen, 62 n
- Śravaṇa beḷagoḷa, Jaina*
stronghold, 11 and n., 199
- Śrībhūti alias Satyaghōṣa,*
minister of Siṃhasēna, 122
- Śrīdaṇḍapuram, vi,* 176-77
- Śrīdatta, a merchant,* 72
- Śrīdattaṇ, k. of Viḍēha,* 68

- Śrī-Kandappaḷi, *tc of* *Takkayāgapparaṇi, Tamīl wk*
 Arhat, . . . ix 49 n
 Śrī-Kṛṣṇa, *epic hero and a* Tāmar, vi, 143-44
Vāsudēva, . . . 2, 127 *Tamīl, co, lang or peo*, . . .
 Śrī-mukkuḍaikkal, *stone* v, vii-viii, xiii, xvii-xix, 10-11
bearing the triple umbrella 13-14, 16-18, 19 n, 20-23,
 figure, 202 25-30, 31 and n, 32-33, 37-44,
 Śrinandi, *donor*, . . . 179 48 n, 50, 60, 62-64, 66 n,
 Śripurāṇa, *Tamīl wk*, 126-27 67-68, 83, 90, 94, 103, 110-11,
 Śripurāṇam, *Skt. wk*, . . . 67 118, 126-27, 128 and n, 129-
 Śrivalabbha, *Pāṇḍya k*, 161 31, 134, 136-37, 139, 145,
 Śrīvardhamāna, Śrīvattamāna, 148-49, 151, 161, 172, 193,
Jaina prec, . . . 179 202
 Śrīvijaya-kkurattiyār, *Tamīlāsiriyar*, . . . 47
donatrix, . . . 182 *Tamīl Brāhmī ins*, 140-42
 Subhaddiraṇ, *a merchant*, 76 *Tamīl literature*, v, xviii,
 Sudaṇjaapa (dēva), *a dēva*, 1, 9, 12 and n, 14, 19, 21,
 73-75, 82 25, 27, 29, 33, 38-39, 50,
 Sudattācārya, *Jaina ascetic*, 85 62-63, 84, 110-11, 127-28,
 Sudharma, *a ganadhara*, 83 136
 śūdra(s), *one of the four varnas*, *Tamīl nāḍu*, . . . v, vii-xii,
 35 and n 17, 139-50, 142, 145, 148,
 Sunaḥśēpha, *story of*— . . . 1 172
 Sundarapāṇḍya, *Pāṇḍya k*, 31n *Tamīl Sangam(s)*, . . . 14,
 Surama-dēśa, *co*, . . . 91 16-17, 25, 64
 Suramañjarī, *q of Jīvaka*, 73, 79 *Tamīl Vēda, s a Kuṇal, Tamīl*
Suramañjarī-ilambakam, a ch *wk*, . . . vii, 29
in Cintāmaṇi, . . . 79 *tantra wk*, *classification of*
 Svarṇa-yakṣi, *attendant de.*, 149 *jivas in*— 23
 Svayamprabhā, *Vidyādhara pr*, *tavappalī, hermitage*, 77-78
 91-93 *Teliṅgakulakālapuram, alias*
 Kulōttuṅgaśōḷa-paṭṭaṇām,
 Taccar-Śaṅga-kkurattigaḷ, *ci*, . . . 200
fe. teacher, . . . 182 *Tēṇimalai Jaina ins*, . . . 154
 Takaṭā, *ci*, . . . 198 *Tēṇkaḷavaḷi-nāḍu, t d*, 165-66
 Takka-nāḍu, *co*, . . . , 76 *Tēṇṣiṅuvāyil-nāḍu, do*, 201

- Teṭṭiruppaḷḷimalai, *s.a.*
 Bommailalai, . 201-02
 Teṭṭiruppaḷḷimalai-ppaḷḷi, *Jaina*
 te., 201
 Third (last) *saṅgam* period, 27, 45
tiṇaikkolaṭṭūr, off, . . 164
Tiṇaimālai-nūṟṟaimbadu,
 Tamiḷ wk, 46 and n.
 Tirakkōḷ ins. of Cōḷa Parakēsa-
 rivarman, . 176-77
 Tīrthaṅkara(s), , 23, 71,
 126-27, 195-96
 Tiruccāṇṭūṟṟumalai, *s.a.*
 Chitral, *Jaina centre*, . xiv
 Tiruccāraṇattu-kkurattigaḷ, *fe*
 teacher, . . . 182-83, 193
 Tiruccāraṇattu-paṭārigaḷ,
 donatrix, , . . 181, 193
 Tiruguṇagiridēva, *Jaina de.*,
 168-69
 Tiruṇānasambandhar, *s.a.*
 Sambandhar, *Saivite*
 missionary, . . xi-xii, 17n.
 Tirukkalambagam, *Jaina*
 devotional wk. in Tamiḷ,
 45n., 135 and n.
 Tirukkāṭṭāmbaḷḷi, *Jaina te. at*
 Kuṟaṇḍi, . . . xiv,
 150-51, 163-64
 Tirukkōṭṭāṟu, *vi.*, 178-79, 183-
 84, 190
tirukkōyil, Jaina te, . . 155
Tirukkuṟaḷ, Tamiḷ wk., . vii,
 28 n., 29 n.
 Tirumalai, *vi.*, xiv, xvi-xvii,
 187, 196
 Tirumalaidēva, *Jaina de.*,
 157-58, 195
 Tirumalai ins. of Rājanārāyaṇa,
 204
 Tirumalai ins. of Rājarāja I,
 193-94
 Tirumalai ins. of Rājēndra I,
 194-96
 Tirumalai *Jaina ins* . . 199
 Tirumalai-kkurattigaḷ, *fe.*
 teacher, . . . 187, 193
 Tirumalaimeḷ-bhaṭārar, *Jaina*
 de., 173
 Tirumalaippaḷḷi, *Jaina te.*,
 196-97
 Tirumalaiyār-Mōṇi-bhaṭāra,
 Jaina prec, 189
 Tirumaḷiṣai, *Vaiṣṇavite*
 missionary, . . . xi-xii
 Tirumaṅgai, *do*, . . . xi-xii
 the triple umbrella figure xvii
 Tirumuṇaippāḍiyār, *Jaina*
 poet, . 44n., 45 and n
tirunāmatukkāṇi, te. land, xvii
 Tirunaṟuṅgoṇḍai, *Jaina te. at*
 xvi, 180
 Tirunāvukkaraṣu, *s.a. Appar*,
 Saivite missionary, . xi, 17n.
 Tiruneccuṟam, *vi.*, 157-58, 173
Tirunūṟṟantādi, Tamiḷ wk.,
 134, 135 and n.
 Tiruppādirippuliyūr, *vi*, viii
 Tiruppaḷḷimalai-ppaḷḷi,
 Jaina te., 201
 Tiruppāṇmalaidēva, *Jaina de.*,
 175-76

- Tiruppāṇmalai-ppalli, *Jaina te*,
xvi *Triṣaṣṭilakṣaṇa-Mahāpurāṇa*,
s a Mahāpurāṇa, skt wk., 66 n.
- Tiruppāppuliyūr, *s a* Southern
Pāṭaliputra, . xii, 16 and n *Triṣaṣṭisālākāpuruṣa-purāṇa*,
Kamaḍa wk, 126
- Tirupparuttikkunṅam, *Jama*
centre, . xiii, xv *Triṣaṣṭisālākāpuruṣa-purāṇa*,
s a Śrīpurāṇa, Tamīl wk, 126
- Tiaupparuttikkunṅam ins of
Bukka II, . 203
- Tirupparutti-kkurattugal,
donatrix, 188, 193 *Tuḍiyūrmāmalaī, Jaina image*
at, . xvi
- Tirutakkadēva, *au*, 64 and n *Tumbūr-kkūrṅam, t d*, 157
- 65-66, 83 *Tuṇḍira-maṇḍala, do*, 198
- Tiruvalļuvar, *au*, 30 n, *Turavū-kkāṇḍam, a ch in*
32, 38 n *Perunkathai*, 111
- Tiruveṇṇāyil, *s a* Chetṭipaṭṭi,
vi, xiv
- tiruvilāppuram, an endowment*,
xvii
- Tiruviruttalai-Aruvāḷam, *vi.*,
151-53 *Udayana, pr of Kauśāmbī*,
110-18
- Tiviṭṭaṅ, *hero of Cinjāmanī*
and a Vāsudēva, 91-93, 126 *Udayana-kāvya, s a Udayanaṅ-*
kathai, minor Tamīl kāvya, 110
- Tiviṭṭaṅ, corresponding to
Kṛṣṇa, . 91 *Udicidēva, au*, . 135
- Tolāmoḷittēvar, *au*, 90 *Ugraperuvāḷudī, Pāṇḍya k*,
42 and n
- Tolkāppiyam, Tamīl gr wk.*,
14, 19 and n, 20 and n, 21-23, 26, 130-31 *Ujjain, ca*, 87, 113-15, 118
- Tolkāppiyaṅār, Tolkāppiyar*,
au, . 21, 24 n *Uḷaga-nōḷḷigaḷ, Jaina laymen*,
ix
- Toṇḍamaṇḍalam, *t d*, . 135 *Uñjai-kkāṇḍam, a ch in*
Perunkathai, 111, 115
- Toṇḍaraḍippodī, *Vaiṣṇavite*
missionary, xi-xii *Upamāṣads*, . 6 and n
- Trailōkyavallabha, *s a*
Vardhamāna, *Jaina de*, 203 *Uṇṇai, stone abode*, 142
- Uraiyūr Śrī Kōṭi Nāyaṅār*,
Jaina de, . ix
- Urār. Urām a body* 164 173
- Uttamapāḷaiyam Jaina ins*
167-69

- Uttaraṇandi-kkuravaḍigaḷ, *Jaina prec.*, 179-80
 Uttara-Madurā, *ci.*, . . . 125
 Uttara-purāna, *skt. wk.*, 66 n., 131
 Uttararāmacarita, *skt. drama*, 9 and n
 Uttaravēda, *s a. Kuṣaḷ*, 29
- V**
- Vaccananda-muni, *Jaina guru*, 128
 vadakkiruttal, *Jaina religious practice*, 18, 19 n
 Vādībhasiṃha, *s a Oḍeyadēva-*
 Vādībhasiṃha, Digambara Jama au., 67 n.
 Vaicaya, *Vijayanagar gen*, 203
 Vaigai, *ri.*, 41, 57
 Vaigai-malai, *l*, 193-94
 Vaigāvūr, *a paḷḷiccandam ri.*, 195-96
 Vaiśālī, *ci*, 113
 Vaiśeṣika-daiśana, 107
 Vaiśya-purānam, *Tamiḷ wk*,
 Vaiṣṇaviṣm *vis a vis Kuraḷ*, 30 and n.
 Vajjanandi, *s a*
 Vajranandi, *vii, x, 15, 17 and n*, 47 n.
 Vajranandi-gaṇi, *s a. Vajranandi-kuravar, Jaina prec*, 143-46
 Vaḷaiyāpaṭi, *major Tamiḷ kāvya*, 48 and n., 49 and n.
 Veḍāḷ ins. of Cōḷa Āditya I, 160-61
 Vēda-vāda-carukkam, *a ch.* in Nilakēṣi, 108
 Vēlāyudampāḷaym, *vi*, 142
 Veṇbaikkudī, *vi.*, . . . 166, 182
 Veṇbaikkudī-nāḍu, *i d*, 166
 Virasōḷiyam, *Tamiḷ wk.*, 127 n.
 Vircamanāyakaṇ Candayaṇ
 Āyiravaṇ, *donor*, 196-97
 Viruttam, *metre*, 38, 49 n., 128 n., 131
 Viṣṇu, *Hindu de*, xv, xvii, 8, 137
- Y**
- Yājñavalkya, *Eastern Āryan Scholar*, 7 and n
 Yājñavalkya-smṛti, *wk.*, 3 n.
 Yāpaniya-saṅgha, xv
 Yāpparuṅgalakkārigai, *Tamiḷ wk.*, 91, 127 and n.
 Yāpparuṅgalam, *do.*, 49 n., 128
 Yāpparuṅgala-virutti, *do.*, 128
 Yaśōdhara, *son of Aśōka and k of Avantī*, 88-90
 Yaśōdhara-kāvya, *minor Tamiḷ kāvya*, 83-84, 90
 Yaśōdhara-kāvya, *skt. wk*, 90
 Yaśōmati, *son of Yaśōdhara and k. of Avantī*, 88-90
 Yavanika, *s a. Eliaḷ, Cēra k.*, 198
 Yūgi, *son of Brahmasundara and friend of Udayana*, . 112-16

